

Effect of employee emotional competence on customer emotional attachment: the roles of service recovery satisfaction and service failure severity

Salman Saleem

Business and Media, TAMK, Tampere, Finland

Rana Muhammad Umar

Department of Economics and Statistics, University of Udine, Udine, Italy, and

Stephen Oduro

*Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy and
University of International Studies of Rome, Roma, Italy*

Abstract

Purpose – This study aims to enhance our understanding of employee emotional competence (EEC) in the context of service failure and recovery. Accordingly, the present study investigates the relationship between perceived EEC and customer emotional attachment (CEA) through the mediating role of service recovery satisfaction (RES). Furthermore, the study examines the moderating impact of service failure severity (SFS) on the relationship between perceived EEC and RES.

Design/methodology/approach – A self-administered online survey was carried out to collect data. Using a convenience sampling technique, 195 US consumers were recruited from Prolific Academic. To test the hypotheses, this study employed partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM).

Findings – According to the analysis, perceived EEC impacts CEA directly and indirectly via RES. Additionally, the study finds that consumers reported feeling more emotionally connected to the restaurant when they were satisfied with service recovery. Finally, the study identified that the connection between perceived EEC and RES increases with service failure severity.

Practical implications – This study emphasizes enhancing EEC through organization-wide training to increase customer satisfaction and emotional attachment to the service organization. Furthermore, it underscores the need for comprehensive employee training to categorize service failure severity and formulate appropriate recovery strategies.

Originality/value – The authors believe this is the first RES study to examine perceived EEC's effect on CEA. By combining the affect infusion and cognitive appraisal theories to examine recovery satisfaction, this study contributes to the existing body of research on service recovery by shedding light on the relationship between perceived EEC and CEA. Furthermore, the study offers preliminary findings indicating an increase in the impact of perceived EEC on RES during high failure severity (SFS).

Keywords Consumer emotional attachment, Employee emotional competence, Service failure severity, Restaurant

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

Service failure and recovery have garnered substantial research attention in recent decades (Koc, 2019). There is a surging body of research underscoring that good service recovery can



exert a positive influence on a myriad of service outcomes, including repatronage intentions, loyalty, word of mouth and customer satisfaction (e.g. [Ali et al., 2023](#); [de Mesquita et al., 2023](#)). Strong emotions are prevalent among consumers in service encounters ([Song et al., 2022](#)) and significantly influence customers' value judgments ([de Mesquita et al., 2023](#)). For instance, the emotional content of service failures and customers' affective reactions to service breakdowns can determine their switching, negative word of mouth and post-recovery satisfaction levels ([Zhu et al., 2021](#)). Thus, while redressing service failure, promoting strong emotional attachments is vital for achieving profitable, devoted and customer repurchasing ([Grisaffe and Nguyen, 2011](#); [Oliver, 1999](#)).

Within this context, employee emotional competence (EEC), the ability to identify, interpret and manage customers' emotions accurately, plays a vital role in service recovery circumstances ([Delcourt et al., 2012](#); [2016](#); [Matute et al., 2018](#); [Fernandes et al., 2018](#); [Huang et al., 2020](#)). EEC "involved in creating and maintaining an appropriate climate for service" helps "reduce some of the emotional problems inherent in high levels of interpersonal interactions" ([Bardzil and Slaski, 2003](#), p. 98). Prior literature demonstrates that the emotional regulation and well-being of individuals depend on the emotional support of other individuals ([Moussa and Touzani, 2013](#)). Therefore, emotionally competent employees are more likely to regulate customer emotions and manifest emotional attachment after a service failure incident. However, despite the significance of perceived EEC in addressing customer emotions, the literature provides a minimal understanding of how consumers' perceptions of EEC influence their emotional attachment after service failure. For instance, prior scholars suggest a strong emotional attachment may prevent consumers from switching service providers ([Brocato et al., 2015](#)), while emotional attachment has received limited attention in customer-service provider relationships ([Moussa and Touzani, 2017](#)).

Given the potential significance of emotional attachment in service failure and recovery context, the present study considers affect infusion and cognitive appraisal theories to examine the effect of perceived EEC on CEA through the mediating role of recovery satisfaction. The affect infusion theory posits that people's affective states impact their evaluative judgments ([Forgas, 1995](#)). Hence, a positive affective state induced by emotionally competent employees can positively influence the service recovery evaluations of consumers (e.g. [Umar and Saleem, 2022](#)). Since cognitive appraisal theory emphasizes that cognition drives emotions ([Bagozzi et al., 1999](#); [Watson and Spence, 2007](#)), it is likely that positive evaluation of service recovery results in positive emotions such as consumer emotional attachment (CEA). Given this background, the present study proposes that service recovery satisfaction is an underlying mechanism between perceived EEC and CEA such that perceived EEC affects recovery satisfaction (affect infusion theory), which, in turn, affects CEA (cognitive appraisal theory).

Though most of prevailing studies have considered service failure as constant, severity of service failures can vary from minute failures to even more severe scenarios ([Weun et al., 2004](#)). Therefore, understanding the impact of failure severity on customer experience could give service providers insight into customers' emotions and determine appropriate solutions ([Cho et al., 2017](#); [La and Choi, 2019](#)). In the literature, there is converging evidence that service failure severity moderates the impact of service recovery strategies on recovery satisfaction (e.g. [Mafael et al., 2022](#); [Smith et al., 1999](#); [Weun et al., 2004](#); [El-Manstrly et al., 2021](#)). Previous research, however, has not explicitly examined the tenet of whether the EEC and recovery satisfaction relationship varies under varying levels of service failure severity. Thus, the present study examines the moderating role of service failure severity on the relationship between perceived EEC and CEA in the restaurant industry.

The restaurant sector is one of the most important sectors of the overall tourism industry since consumer spending in the restaurant sector goes hand in hand with hotel and tourism stays ([Meyer-Waarden and Sabadie, 2023](#)). Besides, it is also considered a highly competitive sector where firms try to make intense efforts to minimize customer switching behavior ([So et al., 2013](#)). In the long run, customers establish an emotional connection with restaurants ([Nyamekye et al., 2023](#)). Therefore, after a service failure, for most consumers, service

recovery is not centered only on product replacement but on reestablishing an emotional connection with service providers (Ringberg *et al.*, 2007). Hence, the present study considered the restaurant sector as the context of this research.

Given the above background, the present study contributes to the hospitality literature in numerous ways. First, this is among the pioneer studies to explicitly examine the influence of perceived EEC on the emotional attachment of restaurant consumers after service failure and recovery encounters. This investigation endorses the notion that restaurant managers and waiters need to recognize emotional attachment as an essential element in establishing relationships with customers (Ha and Jang, 2009). Second, the present study extends research on perceived EEC by providing an understanding of how perceived EEC leads to emotional attachment through the mediating role of recovery satisfaction. Third, this study examines the moderating effect of service failure severity on the relationships between perceived EEC and recovery satisfaction. Given this contribution, this study highlights the significance of perceived EEC by explaining that employee emotional competence is more effective in severe failures. From a managerial viewpoint, the study offers valuable insights to service providers on using EEC to stimulate CEA and recovery satisfaction. Furthermore, service failures are rife; managers need to understand that various types of service failures based on the severity of the failure can influence consumer behavior and attitude.

2. Literature review

2.1 Theoretical background

This study used affect infusion theory (Forgas, 1995) and cognitive appraisal theory (Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999) as theoretical lenses to design its theoretical framework. According to the affect infusion theory, consumer evaluative judgment is influenced by individual affective states. In this study, we considered the effect infusion theory to explain the effect of perceived EEC on service recovery satisfaction. Accordingly, we argue that perceived EEC induces a positive affective state in consumers, which propels their evaluative judgments, such as satisfaction with service recovery. Cognitive appraisal theory describes the cognitive process through which customers evaluation of a situation elicits specific emotions (Smith and Lazarus, 1993). The present study uses cognitive appraisal theory as a theoretical lens to explain the relationship between recovery satisfaction and CEA. We argue that recovery satisfaction is a positive assessment of a service failure situation that activates positive emotions in consumers, such as CEA. Given the above discussion, we considered that service recovery satisfaction is an underlying mechanism such that perceived EEC affects recovery satisfaction (affect infusion theory), which, in turn, affects CEA (cognitive appraisal theory). Furthermore, the present study considered affect infusion theory to explain service failure severity as a moderator between perceived EEC and recovery satisfaction. Since theory suggests customers affective states influence their service evaluation, it can be argued that severe service failure elicits severe negative emotions, therefore making employees emotional becomes more serious and leads to better service recovery. On the contrary, mild service failure is likely to elicit mild negative emotion; therefore, the effect of employee emotional competence might be less evident as compared to severe service failures.

2.2 Employee emotional competence

EEC is defined as an “employee demonstrated ability to perceive, understand, and regulate customer emotions in a service encounter to create and maintain an appropriate climate for service” (Delcourt *et al.*, 2016, p. 77). Several scholars have noted that in adverse service encounters, customers expect employees to handle their emotional needs with intelligence, tact, care and skills (Delcourt *et al.*, 2012, 2016; Matute *et al.*, 2018; Fernandes *et al.*, 2018; Song *et al.*, 2022). Also, services marketing and hospitality scholars have documented that while recovering from service failure, front-line employees’ emotional interventions help in

managing customer emotions (Kidwell *et al.*, 2020; Liu and Gursoy, 2022). For instance, a growing body of empirical evidence shows that emotionally competent employees can better handle customers' emotional needs, stating that consumers' perceptions vis-à-vis EEC can influence their service recovery evaluations (e.g. Delcourt *et al.*, 2017; Umar and Saleem, 2022). In general, researchers concluded that while redressing failure, service providers should focus on customers' emotional needs due to service failure (Matute *et al.*, 2018), which may result in unfavorable outcomes (Xu *et al.*, 2019). Accordingly, the present study considers EEC to examine its effect on customers' emotional attachment through recovery satisfaction. In addition, this study considers the moderating role of service failure severity in EEC and recovery satisfaction links. Next, we turn to the literature on CEA, recovery satisfaction and service failure severity and establish a theoretical framework using the theoretical foundation of affect infusion and cognitive appraisal theories (see Figure 1).

2.3 Employee emotional competence and customer emotional attachment

Emotional attachment is "a psychological state of mind in which a strong cognitive and affective bond connects a brand to an individual in such a way that the brand is an extension of the self" (Park *et al.*, 2007, p. 7). Psychological literature essentially uses emotional attachment to explain closed relationships among people (Hazan and Shaver, 1994). Emotional attachment has received significant attention in marketing and customer behavior (Malar *et al.*, 2011; Park *et al.*, 2010; Vlachos *et al.*, 2010; Moussa and Touzani, 2017). Accordingly, services marketing studies have empirically and theoretically evidenced that customers develop emotional attachments toward service providers such as banks and retailers (e.g. Vlachos *et al.*, 2010; Moussa and Touzani, 2017). Vlachos *et al.* (2010) established that when customers feel trust in employees, they develop an emotional attachment toward the firm. Hyun and Kim (2014) noted that employee rapport-building behavior positively influences customers' emotional attachment. Positive service experiences like staff emotional support result in CEA to grocery retailers and hair salons (Moussa and Touzani, 2017). This aligns with Brocato *et al.* (2015) observation that social bonds with staff significantly impact CEA to service providers. Considering the attributes of EEC, encompassing the abilities to perceive, understand and regulate customer emotions, it is theoretically plausible to expect a substantial and positive correlation between EEC and CEA. Thus, we hypothesize the following relationship.

H1. There is a positive relationship between perceptions of EEC and CEA.



H4: Mediation effect

Source(s): Authors' work

Figure 1. Theoretical framework

2.4 *Employee emotional competence and recovery satisfaction*

Recovery satisfaction has been extensively researched in the hospitality industry. From the consumer's perspective, service recovery transcends a mere cost–benefit analysis, encompassing the infusion of specific emotional elements to assist customers in navigating challenging service failure situations. Consequently, consumer evaluations of service recovery are shaped by a dual influence: the nature of actions taken (e.g. tangible compensations) and how these actions are executed (e.g. the quality of customer–employee interactions) (Levesque and McDougall, 2000). In accordance with this assertion, Fernandes *et al.* (2018) posit that service providers who surpass the scope of their professional duties to aid customers and exhibit elevated emotional competence can establish enduring positive impressions and achieve customer satisfaction, even in the presence of service delivery errors. Customers become emotionally engaged in service failure and recovery scenarios (Smith *et al.*, 1999). Given that employees with heightened emotional competence are proficient in offering personalized emotional assistance (Garbas *et al.*, 2023), it is posited that emotionally competent employees are better equipped to attend to customers' emotional requirements arising from service failures (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018). For instance, two consecutive studies demonstrate that employee emotional competence has a significant positive effect on service recovery satisfaction (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018; Umar and Saleem, 2022). Thus, we can assume that perceived EEC has a significant positive effect on the recovery satisfaction of restaurant consumers. Previous scholars used the theory of affect infusion as a theoretical lens to explain the relationship between perceived EEC and customer satisfaction (Delcourt *et al.*, 2012). Affect infusion theory posits that individuals' affective states influence their judgments (Forgas, 1995). Therefore, it can be argued that customers' positive affective state induced by emotionally competent employees makes them less critical and more satisfied (Delcourt *et al.*, 2012). Thus, we have hypothesized that.

H2. There is a positive relationship between perceptions of EEC and recovery satisfaction.

2.5 *Recovery satisfaction and customer emotional attachment*

Prior literature provides evidence that recovery satisfaction leads to many favorable emotional outcomes, such as customer attachment to the organization (Moussa and Touzani, 2017), customers' liking, warm feelings and affection for the firm (Ali *et al.*, 2023). Besides, customer affective commitment (having positive feelings for the organization) underpins emotional attachment (Lai, 2015). In their influential article on branding, Thomson *et al.* (2005, p. 79) argued that “an individual who is emotionally attached to a brand is likely to be satisfied with it.” Consistent with their contention, they did find a strong correlation between satisfaction and emotional attachment. Also, Moussa and Touzani (2017) documented that customers develop an emotional attachment to a service provider that is responsive to and meets their needs. A service failure can trigger negative emotions; however, successful recovery can improve customers' attachment to the organization (Ali *et al.*, 2023). Cognitive appraisal theory offers promising avenues for the examination of emotions in the field of marketing (Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999). For instance, cognitive appraisal theory asserts that emotions are elicited by a preliminary cognitive evaluation of the encountered events (Cai *et al.*, 2018). Thus, drawing upon cognitive appraisal theory, one may anticipate that consumers positive evaluation of service recovery (i.e. satisfaction from recovery efforts) can lead to positive emotions (i.e. CEA) (Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999; Watson and Spence, 2007). Building on empirical studies and cognitive appraisal theory, we hypothesize:

H3. There is a positive relationship between recovery satisfaction and CEA.

2.6 *Mediating role of service recovery satisfaction*

Recovery satisfaction is a pivotal mediator in the interplay between recovery efforts and their outcomes (Smith *et al.*, 1999). For example, multiple studies have identified recovery

satisfaction as a mediating factor between employee-related efforts, such as interaction justice, and favorable recovery outcomes, including overall satisfaction (Chang and Chang, 2010) and customer service loyalty (Kamath *et al.*, 2020). Extending the discourse, research suggests that a customer's emotional attachment to a firm can be expressed as loyalty, satisfaction, commitment, retention and more (Prentice, 2016). Within the scope of this investigation, which delves into the role of emotionally competent employees, prior scholars underscore that post-recovery satisfaction mediates the intricate relationships between EEC and favorable outcomes such as word of mouth and repurchase intention (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018).

The affect infusion theory posits that affect leads to judgment (Forgas, 1995). Following affect infusion theory, customers' affective state resulting from perceived EEC affects their service recovery appraisal and satisfaction. According to cognitive appraisal theory, favorable judgments of events produce positive emotions (Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999; Watson and Spence, 2007); hence, recovery satisfaction may increase a customer's emotional attachment. To sum up, it can be posited that positive affective states in consumers, induced by perceived EEC, contribute to recovery satisfaction (i.e. affect infusion theory); subsequently, the engendered recovery satisfaction nurtures CEA (i.e. cognitive appraisal theory). Given these empirical and theoretical explanations, we hypothesized that.

H4. Recovery satisfaction will mediate the relationship between EEC and CEA.

2.7 Moderating role of service failure severity

Service failure severity is defined as the perceived intensity of a service failure (Wang *et al.*, 2011, p. 351). The magnitude of failure severity is considered more critical in service failure and recovery (La and Choi, 2019). For instance, multiple studies noted that the relationship between service recovery strategies and satisfaction is moderated by service failure severity (El-Manstrly *et al.*, 2021; Mafael *et al.*, 2022; Smith *et al.*, 1999; Weun *et al.*, 2004). Previous studies demonstrated that certain moderating variables influence the effect of perceived EEC on positive recovery outcomes. For instance, the relationship between EEC and post-recovery satisfaction is stronger for high-contact personalized services than for standardized services (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018). Similarly, the effect of perceived EEC on recovery satisfaction is significantly weaker for process failure than outcome failure (Umar and Saleem, 2022). Cho *et al.* (2017) suggest that negative emotions elicited by a service failure and subsequent dissatisfaction depend on the severity of the failure. Previous studies claim that the effect of recovery efforts on positive customer emotions is stronger for minor failures than for significant failures (Fan and Niu, 2016). Based on affect infusion theory, it can be argued that severe failure evokes severe negative emotions in consumers. Given the higher emotional stake of the consumer for severe service failures (e.g. food safety issues, severe hygiene issues), employee emotional competence is more effective and leads to a better service recovery. On the other hand, in mild failures, consumers emotional state is not that high; therefore, the effect of perceived EEC on recovery satisfaction will be lower in mild failures than in severe failures.

Thus, we have hypothesized that.

H5. The positive relationship between perceived EEC and recovery satisfaction will be stronger for high failure severity than for low failure severity.

3. Methodology

The study considered a survey-based research design to examine the proposed theoretical framework. The survey questionnaire was managed in the following manner: First, the concepts of service failure and recovery in a restaurant setting were explained to the participants, and we asked them to recall and describe their most recent service failure and recovery experience at a restaurant. Subsequently, participants were asked to respond to the survey questions by referring to recalled and described experiences. Hospitality scholars

classify restaurants into several categories, including casual dining, fine dining, fast food, etc. (Lee *et al.*, 2020). The scope of the present study includes overall restaurant consumers in the United States as the population of inquiry and examines the positive emotional outcomes of service recovery. Prior scholars suggest that US consumers have a higher dining out frequency (Kim and Ham, 2016). A web-based cross-sectional survey was designed using Lime Survey, and considering a convenience sampling technique, data were collected from US consumers who frequently visit restaurants through Prolific Academics. A total of 195 complete responses were received as a result of the data collection. A recommended sample size for PLS-SEM is five to ten observations per variable (Hair *et al.*, 2018). Accordingly, in this study, cases per variable are more than 35, exceeding the minimum criteria (de Oliveira *et al.*, 2020). Thus, we considered the sample size satisfactory for further analyses.

3.1 Measures

The present study considered pre-validated scales from existing literature. For instance, we used a five-item scale to measure perceiving customer emotions, three three-item scales to measure understanding customer emotions, and a five-item scale to measure regulating customer emotions (Delcourt *et al.*, 2016). For recovery satisfaction, a three-item scale was adapted from Fernandes *et al.* (2018). In addition, CEA was measured using a six-item scale adapted from (Park *et al.*, 2010) and (Malar *et al.*, 2011). Finally, service failure severity was measured with four items adapted from Tsarenko and Tojib (2012). This study used a seven-point Likert scale to measure all variables, ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 7 (strongly disagree). This did not find any significant influence for any of the control variables.

4. Results

The sample of the present study includes male consumers 52.6% and 46.9% were female. 11.2% of respondents were under the age of 25, 40.8% were between the ages of 25 and 39, 42.3% were between the ages of 40 and 64, and 4.6% were beyond the age of 64. Similarly, 35.7% of consumers had a college degree and 51.5% of consumers had an annual income of more than 60,000 USD. Overall, 65.8% of consumers dine out one or more times per week. We considered Harman's single-factor method to check common method bias, and the study reported no common method bias. Our theoretical framework includes a higher-order construct of employee emotional competence, a mediating variable of recovery satisfaction and a moderating variable of failure severity. Therefore, we considered PLS-SEM since it is appropriate for complex models (Hair *et al.*, 2014) with formative constructs and a small sample size (Ramayah *et al.*, 2018). Accordingly, we perform a measurement model to validate the construct, a higher-order model to validate the dimensions of perceived EEC, and a structural model to validate the hypotheses.

4.1 Measurement model

This study considered a measurement model to assess reliability and validity (Hair *et al.*, 2014). Composite reliability is used to assess internal consistency and individual items' reliability. The measurement model demonstrated that the composite reliability for all constructs was greater than the threshold of 0.70 (see Table 1). Validity was measured through convergent validity as well as discriminant validity. Convergent validity was examined through average variance extracted (AVE) (Hair *et al.*, 2019) and as shown in Table 1, this study reported AVE for all variables greater than the cut-off value of 0.05. Hence, convergent validity was considered appropriate. In addition, this study considered the heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) criterion (Henseler *et al.*, 2015) to examine discriminant validity. As shown in Table 2, all values are less than 0.90, thus validating the discriminant validity as per (HTMT) criterion.

Table 1. Reliability and validity

	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)	Composite reliability (rho_c)	Average variance extracted (AVE)
CEA	0.924	0.939	0.940	0.723
EEC_ PCE	0.942	1.007	0.955	0.808
EEC_ RCE	0.952	0.953	0.963	0.839
EEC_ UCE	0.910	0.947	0.943	0.846
RES	0.983	0.984	0.989	0.968

Note(s): 196
Source(s): Authors' work

Table 2. Discriminant validity – HTMT

	CEA	EEC_PCE	EEC_RCE	EEC_UCE	RES
CEA					
EEC_PCE	0.136				
EEC_RCE	0.640	0.312			
EEC_UCE	0.118	0.695	0.458		
RES	0.615	0.167	0.816	0.293	

Source(s): Authors' work

4.2 Higher-order model

To specify perceived EEC as a higher-order latent variable determined by three dimensions of PCE, UCE and RCE, we employed a higher-order model. Accordingly, a variance inflation factor (VIF) for each dimension was determined. VIF values were observed from 1.854 to 2.013, which are quite lower than the threshold value of 5 (Hair *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, the potential multicollinearity issue among the dimensions was not expected. As shown in Table 3 the outer loading of all three dimensions was found statistically significant, thereby suggesting that all dimensions qualify the lower-order constructs of the higher-order latent variable of perceived EEC. Although the outer weight for PCE is not significant, its outer loading is significant; hence, it was maintained (Sarstedt *et al.*, 2019). Thus, the condition of higher-order construct validation is verified.

4.3 Structural model

This study employed a structural model to examine the hypothesized relationships. The impact of perceived EEC on CEA was supported with $\beta = 0.426, p = 0.000$ (H1). The effect of perceived EEC on recovery satisfaction was supported with $\beta = 0.792, p = 0.000$ (H2). The effect of

Table 3. Higher order validation

HOC	LOC	Outer weights	T-values	p-values	Outer loadings	p-values	VIF
EEC	PCE	0.007	0.114	0.909	0.221	0.013	1.854
	UCE	-0.179	2.342	0.019	0.283	0.000	1.176
	RCE	1.062	39.376	0.000	0.988	0.000	2.013

Source(s): Authors' work

recovery satisfaction on CEA (H3) was also supported with $\beta = 0.276, p = 0.012$ (see Table 4). To examine the mediating role of recovery satisfaction, we considered Preacher and Hayes (2008) approach using a bootstrapping procedure with subsamples of 5,000 repetitions to examine confidence intervals and t-values. Table 5 shows that the mediating role of recovery satisfaction between EEC and CEA (H4) was also supported since the confidence intervals did not include zero (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). As direct and indirect hypotheses both were significant, it can be argued that recovery satisfaction partially mediates the EEC–CEA link.

Service failure severity was considered as a moderator in the relationship between EEC and recovery satisfaction. Table 6 presents the results of the full model (with all study variables) with the moderating effect of service failure severity. The moderating effect was performed by multiplying EEC (predictor) and service failure severity (moderator) to create an interaction to understand the impact they jointly have on the dependent outcome (Henseler and Fassott, 2010). This way, we considered the bootstrapping procedure with 5,000 repetitions to examine the effect of the predictor on the criterion variable, the effect of the moderator on the criterion variable and the effect of interaction on the criterion variable. Results revealed that the coefficient of interaction is one-tailed significant ($\beta = 0.07, p = 0.079$ and $t = 1.76$). Thus, it could be concluded that service failure severity exhibits a positive, marginal moderating effect on the relationship between EEC and recovery satisfaction, thus supporting H5 (see Figure 2). This implies that higher levels of service failure severity are related to a higher

Table 4. Hypothesis testing

	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	p values
EEC_ → CEA	0.426	0.438	0.110	3,865	0.000
EEC_ → RES	0.792	0.793	0.033	23,964	0.000
RES → CEA	0.276	0.266	0.109	2,527	0.012

Source(s): Authors' work

Table 5. Mediating effect


	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	p values	Bias	CI 2.5%	CI 97.5%
EEC_ → RES → CEA	0.219	0.210	0.085	2,560	0.010	−0.009	0.047	0.383

Source(s): Authors' work

Table 6. Full model with the moderating variable SFS

	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	p values
EEC_ → CEA	0.426	0.439	0.110	3,865	0.000
EEC_ → RES	0.711	0.713	0.041	17,415	0.000
RES → CEA	0.276	0.266	0.109	2,525	0.012
SFS → RES	−0.206	−0.206	0.044	4,672	0.000
SFS × EEC_ → RES	0.071	0.070	0.041	1,759	0.079

Source(s): Authors' work



Source(s): Authors' compilation

Figure 2. Moderation analysis

impact of EEC on recovery satisfaction, and vice versa. In other words, the higher the level of service failure severity, the higher the impact of EEC on recovery satisfaction, and the lower the level of service failure severity, the lower the impact of EEC on recovery satisfaction. By implication, this demonstrates that EEC is highly important for recovery satisfaction in cases where service failure severity is high. However, it should be noted that the moderating effect is marginal, as our finding is acceptable only at one significant tail, but it is very important because of the sensitivity of the study's context—the service setting (restaurant).

5. Discussion

Our data analysis shows several interesting results. First, this study found that consumers perceiving higher emotional competence in service employees also have higher service recovery satisfaction. These findings align with prior studies indicating that perceived EEC is an essential skill for delivering exceptionally personalized service (e.g. Huang *et al.*, 2020) and that emotional service recoveries significantly enhance customer satisfaction (Delcourt *et al.*, 2012; 2016; Matute *et al.*, 2018). Thus, it is noteworthy that perceived EEC directly contributes to the satisfaction derived from service recovery (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018).

CEA has emerged as a noteworthy component within the context of customer evaluation, satisfaction and loyalty to services (e.g. Park *et al.*, 2010; Vlachos *et al.*, 2010; Brocato *et al.*, 2015; Moussa and Touzani, 2017). According to psychology literature, individuals have a psychological predisposition to establish affectionate bonds (Hazan and Shaver, 1994). Consistent with our argument, this study found that in the context of service failures, consumers perceiving higher levels of EEC tend to demonstrate greater emotional attachment to the service provider. These findings align with previous research, which indicated that customers' emotional attachment is fostered by trust in employees and their ability to build rapport (Vlachos *et al.*, 2010; Hyun and Kim, 2014; Moussa and Touzani, 2017).

Employing cognitive appraisal theory, which emphasizes an interplay between cognition and emotion (Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999; Watson and Spence, 2007), we contend satisfaction with service recovery drives emotional attachment. This study did find that consumers who have higher satisfaction with service recovery tend to exhibit greater emotional attachment to the service provider. These findings support the notion that highly satisfied customers show greater emotional attachment to the firm (Moussa and Touzani, 2017; Kumar *et al.*, 2019).

Also, these findings are congruent with previous research that suggests ensuring satisfactory service helps establishing an emotional bond with the service provider (Brocato *et al.*, 2015; Xiaofei *et al.*, 2021; Ali *et al.*, 2023).

Prior research has noted service recovery satisfaction as an underlying mechanism in several types of loyalty outcomes such as customer service loyalty (Chang and Chang, 2010; Kamath *et al.*, 2020), repurchase intention (Ali *et al.*, 2023), to mention a few. Using affect infusion and cognitive appraisal theories, we hypothesized that service recovery satisfaction plays an underlying mediation role in the link between perceived EEC and CEA. The study documents a significant indirect effect of perceived EEC on CEA via service recovery satisfaction. This finding suggests that achieving customer recovery satisfaction serves as a pathway through which perceived EEC can exert its influence on fostering customer emotional bonds with the restaurant.

Preceding research has emphasized that service failure severity has determinantal effects on the customers' emotions, satisfaction, and future behaviors (Cho *et al.*, 2017). For instance, the severity of service failure plays a crucial role in shaping customers' perceptions of the failure (El-Manstrly *et al.*, 2021) and negatively affects both service organizations and consumers alike (Koc, 2019). As expected, the results indicated that as the severity of service failure escalates, the connection between perceived EEC and recovery satisfaction strengthens. These findings highlight the greater significance of EEC in the restaurant industry. Despite the notion that the seriousness of service failures poses greater challenges for recovery (Ma *et al.*, 2020), as severe failures have adverse effects on satisfaction, trust and subsequently repurchase behavior (Alnawas *et al.*, 2023; de Mesquita *et al.*, 2023), this finding suggests that EEC is more effective in severe failures. One of the possible explanations for this finding is that consumers put in more cognitive efforts to understand and register the emotional competence of service employees in severe failures. On the contrary, in lower failure-severity situations, consumers do not put much cognitive effort into the emotional competence of service employees.

5.1 Theoretical and managerial implications

This study contributes to the literature in several ways. This is the first research that contributes to service failure research by considering CEA as a noteworthy outcome of service recovery strategies (e.g. Kumar *et al.*, 2019; Xiaofei *et al.*, 2021; Ali *et al.*, 2023). The study document perceived EEC as a way to cultivate an emotional connection with customers. These results align with previous studies that have documented that the attachment system drives individuals to seek supportive figures for emotional regulation and protection (e.g. Moussa and Touzani, 2013; Moussa and Touzani, 2017). In other words, in the face of service failure, emotionally competent employees, who can perceive, understand and regulate customer emotions (Delcourt *et al.*, 2016), can foster CEA with restaurants. Second, the study adds to the evidence in the literature that in the context of service failure, a positive affective state induced by emotionally competent employees makes customers less discerning and more content. This is in line with affect infusion theory: individuals' affective states influence their judgments (Forgas, 1995). Third, we extend the cognitive appraisal theory, which posits that an individual's cognition drives emotions (e.g. Bagozzi *et al.*, 1999; Watson and Spence, 2007), to service recovery encounters by demonstrating that service recovery satisfaction fosters CEA in a restaurant.

Fourth, this research contributes to service failure research by considering recovery satisfaction as an underlying mechanism of perceived EEC and CEA. The study found that consumer positive affective states induced by perceived EEC affect their judgment (i.e. recovery satisfaction), and consumer cognition (i.e. recovery satisfaction) in turn affects their emotion (i.e. CEA with restaurant). In doing so the study contributes to the literature customer recovery satisfaction that has documented its mediation between recovery strategies and

behavioral intention (e.g. [Chang and Chang, 2010](#); [Ali et al., 2023](#); [Kamath et al., 2020](#)). These findings again support cognitive appraisal and affect infusion theories.

The fifth contribution is study document moderating effect of service failure severity in the relationship between perceived EEC and recovery satisfaction in restaurant context. This contributes further evidence to the existing literature, emphasizing the importance for restaurant operators to categorize service failures into either trivial or critical incidents ([Cho et al., 2017](#)).

To sum up, this study contributes to several key theoretical understandings within the service failure and recovery literature. The study reinforces the affect infusion theory by demonstrating that employees' emotional competence influences customer satisfaction during recovery, which highlights the role of affect in improving recovery outcomes. The study also extends cognitive appraisal theory by illustrating recovery satisfaction as a crucial appraisal process, serving as an underlying mechanism between perceived employee emotional competence and CEA. Accordingly, it enriches our understanding of the emotion-driven evaluation of customers. The study also shows that the severity of the service failure has a moderating effect. This shows that service organizations need to adjust their recovery strategy based on the severity of the service failure, which makes theoretical applications more useful in real life.

Similar to earlier studies (e.g. [Delcourt et al., 2016](#)), this study also advocates implementation of organization-wide training programs aimed at enhancing employees' emotional competence skills. Emotionally competent employees alleviate the negative consequences of service failure ([Alnawas et al., 2023](#)). Furthermore, emotional service recovery contributes to customer satisfaction, subsequently nurturing CEA to the service organization (e.g. [Kumar et al., 2019](#); [Xiaofei et al., 2021](#); [Ali et al., 2023](#)). The implication here is that in the event of service failure, emotionally competent employees in a restaurant can not only satisfy customers but also form an emotional bond with them. Another important implication is that managers must understand that the satisfaction that comes from employees' ability to perceive, understand and manage customer emotions is essential for building long-term relationships, such as emotional attachment. Hence, it is imperative for managers to ensure that employees thoroughly comprehend the pivotal role of emotional competence in securing recovery satisfaction and subsequent emotional attachment to the restaurant.

This study also shows that, in restaurant service failure, the impact of perceived EEC on recovery satisfaction strengthens as perceived service failure severity escalates. The implication is that consumer emotional stakes are higher for severe service failures; therefore, employee's emotional competence improves service recovery. On the other hand, in minor failures, consumers' emotional state is lower; therefore, perceived EEC has a lower effect on recovery satisfaction. Thus, like previous researchers (e.g. [Tsarenko and Tojib, 2012](#); [Cho et al., 2017](#)), we also underscore the necessity for employees to undergo comprehensive training in categorizing the severity of service failures and corresponding recovery strategies. Recently, [Alnawas et al. \(2023\)](#) have suggested that employees' secure interpersonal attachment style mitigates the adverse influence of service failure severity on the brand. Hence, managers, in addition to prioritizing employees' emotional competence, must also consider the severity of service failures. In other words, managers should prioritize emotional competence for severe service failures, such as food safety and hygiene issues, as it improves post-recovery satisfaction.

6. Limitations and future research

This study acknowledges several limitations. This study is done among restaurant consumers; thus, findings are limited to restaurants, not to the services in general. Given that employee emotional competence could potentially be applicable in other service settings, particularly in health and personal care due to their more personalized nature, future studies may consider extending the scope of this research to explore this model among services in various

categories. The limitation of a restricted sample size may also restrict the generalizability of the research; therefore, future research should aim to expand the sample to enhance the applicability of the findings. To enhance the validity of our study, future research could incorporate control variables, such as failure type, restaurant type and demographic factors (Fernandes *et al.*, 2018). In this study, we have utilized the critical incident analysis method, which possesses certain drawbacks that depend on users recalling events accurately and truthfully. Besides, professional creative writers may help in creating scenarios incorporating EEC. Nevertheless, experiments employing videos that depict employees' emotional competence in service failure situations provide a promising avenue (e.g. Delcourt *et al.*, 2017) for validating this study. Furthermore, field experiments, owing to their naturalistic settings, present a significant opportunity for studying the influence of EEC on real consumers (Matute *et al.*, 2018). The study found that service failure severity has a slightly positive moderating effect on the connection between EEC and recovery satisfaction in the context of a restaurant service failure. Future researchers could verify these results in various other service settings such as hotels, airlines, banking and retail.

References

- Ali, M.A., Ting, D.H., Isha, A.S.N., Ahmad-Ur-Rehman, M. and Ali, S. (2023), "Does service recovery matter? Relationships among perceived recovery justice, recovery satisfaction and customer affection and repurchase intentions: the moderating role of gender", *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 308-326, doi: [10.1108/jabs-02-2021-0060](https://doi.org/10.1108/jabs-02-2021-0060).
- Alnawas, I., Al Khateeb, A., Abu Farha, A. and Ndubisi, N.O. (2023), "The effect of service failure severity on brand forgiveness: the moderating role of interpersonal attachment styles and thinking styles", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 35 No. 5, pp. 1691-1712, doi: [10.1108/ijchm-03-2022-0290](https://doi.org/10.1108/ijchm-03-2022-0290).
- Bagozzi, R.P., Gopinath, M. and Nyer, P.U. (1999), "The role of emotions in marketing", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 184-206, doi: [10.1177/0092070399272005](https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070399272005).
- Bardzil, P. and Slaski, M. (2003), "Emotional intelligence: fundamental competencies for enhanced service provision", *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 97-104, doi: [10.1108/09604520310466789](https://doi.org/10.1108/09604520310466789).
- Brocato, E.D., Baker, J. and Voorhees, C.M. (2015), "Creating consumer attachment to retail service firms through sense of place", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 43 No. 2, pp. 200-220, doi: [10.1007/s11747-014-0381-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0381-x).
- Cai, R.R., Lu, L. and Gursoy, D. (2018), "Effect of disruptive customer behaviors on others' overall service experience: an appraisal theory perspective", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 69, pp. 330-344, doi: [10.1016/j.tourman.2018.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.06.013).
- Chang, Y.W. and Chang, Y.H. (2010), "Does service recovery affect satisfaction and customer loyalty? An empirical study of airline services", *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 340-342, doi: [10.1016/j.jairtraman.2010.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2010.05.001).
- Cho, S.B., Jang, Y.J. and Kim, W.G. (2017), "The moderating role of severity of service failure in the relationship among regret/disappointment, dissatisfaction, and behavioral intention", *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 69-85, doi: [10.1080/1528008x.2016.1169470](https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008x.2016.1169470).
- de Mesquita, J.M.C., Shin, H., Urda, A.T. and Pimenta, M.T.C. (2023), "Measuring the intention-behavior gap in service failure and recovery: the moderating roles of failure severity and service recovery satisfaction", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 57 No. 7, pp. 1826-1853, doi: [10.1108/ejm-03-2022-0235](https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-03-2022-0235).
- de Oliveira, A.S., Souki, G.Q., Gandia, R.M. and Boas, L.H.D.B.V. (2020), "Coffee in capsules consumers' behaviour: a quantitative study on attributes, consequences and values", *British Food Journal*, Vol. 123 No. 1, pp. 191-208, doi: [10.1108/bfj-02-2020-0116](https://doi.org/10.1108/bfj-02-2020-0116).
- Delcourt, C., Gremler, D.D., Van Riel, A.C. and Van Birgelen, M. (2012), "Effects of perceived employee emotional competence on customer satisfaction and loyalty: the mediating role of

- rapport”, *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 5-24, doi: [10.1108/09564231311304161](https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231311304161).
- Delcourt, C., Gremler, D.D., van Riel, A.C. and Van Birgelen, M.J. (2016), “Employee emotional competence: construct conceptualization and validation of a customer-based measure”, *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 72-87, doi: [10.1177/1094670515590776](https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670515590776).
- Delcourt, C., Gremler, D.D., De Zanet, F. and van Riel, A.C. (2017), “An analysis of the interaction effect between employee technical and emotional competencies in emotionally charged service encounters”, *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 85-106, doi: [10.1108/josm-12-2015-0407](https://doi.org/10.1108/josm-12-2015-0407).
- El-Manstrly, D., Ali, F. and Line, N. (2021), “Severe service failures and online vindictive word of mouth: the effect of coping strategies”, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 95, 102911, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102911](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102911).
- Fan, Y. and Niu, R.H. (2016), “To tweet or not to tweet? Exploring the effectiveness of service recovery strategies using social media”, *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 36 No. 9, pp. 1014-1036, doi: [10.1108/ijopm-10-2013-0461](https://doi.org/10.1108/ijopm-10-2013-0461).
- Fernandes, T., Morgado, M. and Rodrigues, M.A. (2018), “The role of employee emotional competence in service recovery encounters”, *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 835-849, doi: [10.1108/JSM-07-2017-0237](https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-07-2017-0237).
- Forgas, J.P. (1995), “Mood and judgment: the affect infusion model (AIM)”, *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 117 No. 1, pp. 39-66, doi: [10.1037//0033-2909.117.1.39](https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-2909.117.1.39).
- Garbas, J., Blaurock, M., Büttgen, M. and Ates, Z. (2023), “How can customers cope with cognitive demands of professional services? The role of employee coping support”, *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 40 No. 5, pp. 867-887, doi: [10.1002/mar.21788](https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21788).
- Grisaffe, D.B. and Nguyen, H.P. (2011), “Antecedents of emotional attachment to brands”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 64 No. 10, pp. 1052-1059, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.11.002).
- Ha, J. and Jang, S.S. (2009), “Perceived justice in service recovery and behavioral intentions: the role of relationship quality”, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 319-327, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhm.2008.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2008.12.001).
- Hair, J.F., Hufit, G.T.M., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2014), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hair, J.F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M. and Gudergan, S.P. (2018), *Advanced Issues in Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), “When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM”, *European Business Review*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24, doi: [10.1108/ebv-11-2018-0203](https://doi.org/10.1108/ebv-11-2018-0203).
- Hazan, C. and Shaver, P.R. (1994), “Attachment as an organizational framework for research on close relationships”, *Psychological Inquiry*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 1-22, doi: [10.1207/s15327965pli0501_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0501_1).
- Henseler, J. and Fassott, G. (2010), “Testing moderating effects in PLS path models: an illustration of available procedures”, in Esposito Vinzi, V., Chin, W., Henseler, J. and Wang, H. (Eds), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares, Springer Handbooks of Computational Statistics*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 713-735, doi: [10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8_31).
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2015), “A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modelling”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 115-135, doi: [10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8).
- Huang, Y., Zhang, M., Gursoy, D. and Shi, S. (2020), “An examination of interactive effects of employees’ warmth and competence and service failure types on customer’s service recovery cooperation intention”, *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 32 No. 7, pp. 2429-2451, doi: [10.1108/IJCHM-01-2020-0028](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-01-2020-0028).
- Hyun, S.S. and Kim, I. (2014), “Identifying optimal rapport-building behaviors in inducing patrons’ emotional attachment in luxury restaurants”, *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp. 162-198, doi: [10.1177/1096348012451458](https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348012451458).

- Kamath, P.R., Pai, Y.P. and Prabhu, N.K. (2020), "Determinants of recovery satisfaction and service loyalty: the differing effects of service recovery system and service recovery performance", *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, Vol. 30 No. 6, pp. 643-679, doi: [10.1108/jstp-12-2019-0251](https://doi.org/10.1108/jstp-12-2019-0251).
- Kidwell, B., Lopez-Kidwell, V., Blocker, C. and Mas, E.M. (2020), "Birds of a feather feel together: emotional ability similarity in consumer interactions", *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 215-236, doi: [10.1093/jcr/ucaa011](https://doi.org/10.1093/jcr/ucaa011).
- Kim, E. and Ham, S. (2016), "Restaurants' disclosure of nutritional information as a corporate social responsibility initiative: customers' attitudinal and behavioral responses", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 55, pp. 96-106, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhm.2016.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2016.02.002).
- Koc, E. (2019), "Service failures and recovery in hospitality and tourism: a review of literature and recommendations for future research", *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, Vol. 28 No. 5, pp. 513-537, doi: [10.1080/19368623.2019.1537139](https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2019.1537139).
- Kumar, V., Rajan, B., Gupta, S. and Pozza, I.D. (2019), "Customer engagement in service", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 138-160, doi: [10.1007/s11747-017-0565-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0565-2).
- La, S. and Choi, B. (2019), "Perceived justice and CSR after service recovery", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 206-219, doi: [10.1108/jsm-10-2017-0342](https://doi.org/10.1108/jsm-10-2017-0342).
- Lai, I.K.W. (2015), "The cross-impact of network externalities on relationship quality in exhibition sector", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 48, pp. 52-67, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2015.04.007).
- Lee, S., Chua, B.L. and Han, H. (2020), "Variety-seeking motivations and customer behaviors for new restaurants: an empirical comparison among full-service, quick-casual, and quick-service restaurants", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, Vol. 43, pp. 220-231, doi: [10.1016/j.jhtm.2020.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2020.04.004).
- Levesque, T.J. and McDougall, G.H. (2000), "Service problems and recovery strategies: an experiment", *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 20-37, doi: [10.1111/j.1936-4490.2000.tb00204.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-4490.2000.tb00204.x).
- Liu, J. and Gursoy, D. (2022), "Emotional intelligence similarity in service recovery", *Annals of Tourism Research*, Vol. 96, 103465, doi: [10.1016/j.annals.2022.103465](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2022.103465).
- Ma, K., Zhong, X. and Hou, G. (2020), "Gaining satisfaction: the role of brand equity orientation and failure type in service recovery", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 54 No. 10, pp. 2317-2342, doi: [10.1108/ejm-06-2019-0542](https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-06-2019-0542).
- Mafael, A., Raithel, S. and Hock, S.J. (2022), "Managing customer satisfaction after a product recall: the joint role of remedy, brand equity, and severity", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 50 No. 1, pp. 174-194, doi: [10.1007/s11747-021-00802-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-021-00802-1).
- Malar, L., Krohmer, H., Hoyer, W.D. and Nyffenegger, B. (2011), "Emotional brand attachment and brand personality: the relative importance of the actual and the ideal self", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 75 No. 4, pp. 35-52, doi: [10.1509/jmkg.75.4.35](https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.35).
- Matute, J., Palau-Saumell, R. and Viglia, G. (2018), "Beyond chemistry: the role of employee emotional competence in personalized services", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 346-359, doi: [10.1108/JSM-05-2017-0161](https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-05-2017-0161).
- Meyer-Waarden, L. and Sabadie, W. (2023), "Relationship quality matters: how restaurant businesses can optimize complaint management", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 96, 104709, doi: [10.1016/j.tourman.2022.104709](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2022.104709).
- Moussa, S. and Touzani, M. (2013), "Customer-service firm attachment: what it is and what causes it?", *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 337-359, doi: [10.1108/ijqss-01-2013-0002](https://doi.org/10.1108/ijqss-01-2013-0002).
- Moussa, S. and Touzani, M. (2017), "The moderating role of attachment styles in emotional bonding with service providers", *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 145-160, doi: [10.1002/cb.1605](https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.1605).

- Nyamekye, M.B., Adam, D.R., Boateng, H. and Kosiba, J.P. (2023), "Place attachment and brand loyalty: the moderating role of customer experience in the restaurant setting", *International Hospitality Review*, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 48-70, doi: [10.1108/ihr-02-2021-0013](https://doi.org/10.1108/ihr-02-2021-0013).
- Oliver, R.L. (1999), "Whence consumer loyalty?", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 63 No. 4, pp. 33-44, doi: [10.1177/00222429990634s105](https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429990634s105).
- Park, C.W., MacInnis, D.J. and Priester, J.R. (2007), "Brand attachment and management of a strategic brand exemplar", in Schmitt, B.H. (Ed.), *Handbook of Brand and Experience Management*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- Park, C.W., MacInnis, D.J., Priester, J., Eisingerich, A.B. and Iacobucci, D. (2010), "Brand attachment and brand attitude strength: conceptual and empirical differentiation of two critical brand equity drivers", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 74 No. 6, pp. 1-17, 835-849, doi: [10.1509/jmkg.74.6.1](https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.6.1).
- Preacher, K.J. and Hayes, A.F. (2008), "Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models", *Behavior Research Methods*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 879-891, doi: [10.3758/brm.40.3.879](https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.40.3.879).
- Prentice, C. (2016), "Leveraging employee emotional intelligence in casino profitability", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 33, pp. 127-134, doi: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2016.08.011).
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H. and Memon, M.A. (2018), "Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) using smartPLS 3.0", in *An Updated Guide and Practical Guide to Statistical Analysis*, Pearson.
- Ringberg, T., Odekerken-Schröder, G. and Christensen, G.L. (2007), "A cultural models approach to service recovery", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 71 No. 3, pp. 194-214, doi: [10.1509/jmkg.71.3.194](https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.71.3.194).
- Sarstedt, M., Hair Jr, J.F., Cheah, J.H., Becker, J.M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "How to specify, estimate, and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM", *Australasian Marketing Journal*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 197-211, doi: [10.1016/j.ausmj.2019.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2019.05.003).
- Smith, C.A. and Lazarus, R.S. (1993), "Appraisal components, core relational themes, and the emotions", *Cognition and Emotion*, Vol. 7 Nos 3/4, pp. 233-269, doi: [10.1080/02699939308409189](https://doi.org/10.1080/02699939308409189).
- Smith, A.K., Bolton, R.N. and Wagner, J. (1999), "A model of customer satisfaction with service encounters involving failure and recovery", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 356-372, doi: [10.2307/3152082](https://doi.org/10.2307/3152082).
- So, K.K.F., King, C., Sparks, B.A. and Wang, Y. (2013), "The influence of customer brand identification on hotel brand evaluation and loyalty development", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 34, pp. 31-41, doi: [10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.02.002).
- Song, M., Du, J., Xing, X. and Mou, J. (2022), "Should the chatbot 'save itself' or 'be helped by others'? The influence of service recovery types on consumer perceptions of recovery satisfaction", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 55, 101199, doi: [10.1016/j.elerap.2022.101199](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2022.101199).
- Thomson, M., MacInnis, D.J. and Park, W.C. (2005), "The ties that bind: measurement the strength of consumers' emotional attachments to brands", *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 77-91, doi: [10.1207/s15327663jcp1501_10](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1501_10).
- Tsarenko, Y. and Tojib, D. (2012), "The role of personality characteristics and service failure severity in consumer forgiveness and service outcomes", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 28 Nos 9-10, pp. 1217-1239, doi: [10.1080/0267257x.2011.619150](https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257x.2011.619150).
- Umar, R.M. and Saleem, S. (2022), "Employee emotional competence and service recovery satisfaction: the mediating role of consumer forgiveness", *British Food Journal*, Vol. 124 No. 13, pp. 445-459, doi: [10.1108/bfj-04-2022-0386](https://doi.org/10.1108/bfj-04-2022-0386).
- Vlachos, P.A., Theotokis, A., Pramataris, K. and Vrechopoulos, A. (2010), "Consumer-retailer emotional attachment: some antecedents and the moderating role of attachment anxiety", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 44 Nos 9/10, pp. 1478-1499, doi: [10.1108/03090561011062934](https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561011062934).

- Wang, Y.S., Wu, S.C., Lin, H.H. and Wang, Y.Y. (2011), "The relationship of service failure severity, service recovery justice and perceived switching costs with customer loyalty in the context of e-tailing", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 350-359, doi: [10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2010.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2010.09.001).
- Watson, L. and Spence, M.T. (2007), "Causes and consequences of emotions on consumer behaviour: a review and integrative cognitive appraisal theory", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 41 Nos 5/6, pp. 487-511, doi: [10.1108/03090560710737570](https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560710737570).
- Weun, S., Beatty, S.E. and Jones, M.A. (2004), "The impact of service failure severity on service recovery evaluations and post-recovery relationships", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 133-146, doi: [10.1108/08876040410528737](https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040410528737).
- Xiaofei, Z., Guo, X., Ho, S.Y., Lai, K.H. and Vogel, D. (2021), "Effects of emotional attachment on mobile health-monitoring service usage: an affect transfer perspective", *Information and Management*, Vol. 58 No. 2, 103312, doi: [10.1016/j.im.2020.103312](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103312).
- Xu, X., Liu, W. and Gursoy, D. (2019), "The impacts of service failure and recovery efforts on airline customers' emotions and satisfaction", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol. 58 No. 6, pp. 1034-1051, doi: [10.1177/0047287518789285](https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287518789285).
- Zhu, T., Liu, B., Song, M. and Wu, J. (2021), "Effects of service recovery expectation and recovery justice on customer citizenship behavior in the E-retailing context", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 12, 658153, doi: [10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658153](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.658153).

Further reading

- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50, doi: [10.2307/3151312](https://doi.org/10.2307/3151312).
- Huang, M.H. (2008), "The influence of selling behaviors on customer relationships in financial services", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 19 No. 4, pp. 458-473, doi: [10.1108/09564230810891905](https://doi.org/10.1108/09564230810891905).
- Laros, F.J. and Steenkamp, J.B.E. (2005), "Emotions in consumer behavior: a hierarchical approach", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 58 No. 10, pp. 1437-1445, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2003.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2003.09.013).

Corresponding author

Salman Saleem can be contacted at: salman.saleem@tuni.fi