

INDEX

- AAA. *See* American Anthropological Association (AAA)
- AAUP. *See* American Association of University Professors (AAUP)
- Academia, xiv
- Academy of Social Sciences (AcSS), xxiv, 3, 5, 80, 104, 112, 126, 136–137, 159, 172
- cross-disciplinary discussions, 113
- implications for research
- governance and ethics review, 118
- model clauses for ethics codes, 122–123
- principles, 114
- privacy, autonomy, diversity and dignity of individuals, 114–116
- research integrity in social sciences, 120–121
- social science researchers should
- acknowledge social responsibilities, 116–117
 - virtuous researcher, 119–120
 - work collectively towards common code, 119
 - work towards common modules, 119
- ‘Acceptance’, 22
- Accountability, 52
- AcSS Working Group, xxiv
- Adoption by research
- organizations, 90–91
- All European Academies (ALLEA)*, 201, 204
- American Anthropological Association (AAA), 66
- American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 45*n*1, 46*n*6
- Annual Meeting of Global Research Council, 207
- Anthropological reflections on collaborative process
- absence of philosophers, 133–136
 - code of ethics, 136–137
 - ethical governance, 126–127
 - medicine, 131–133
 - reflecting on remaking of research ethics, 128–130
- REG principles, 138
- socio-historical differences, 139–140
- Anthropological sensibility, 33
- Anthropologists, 64
- Anticipatory controls, 115
- ARC/NHMRC funding, xviii
- Aristotelian analysis, 12
- Articulating social science research ethics, 130
- Association of Research Ethics Committees (AREC). *See* UK Association of Research Ethics (AfRE)
- ‘Atrocity tale’, 130
- Autoethnography, xxv

- Autonomy, 63–64
 Autonomy of individuals, 114–116
 Awareness, xlvii
- Belmont principles, 24
 Belmont Report, xv
 Benchmarks, 6–7
 Big Data, xlii, 119
 analyses, 178–179
 Big Society, 14
 Bioethicists, 133
 Bioethics, 129, 130
 principles of, 58
 Biomedical ethics, 6
 Biomedical models, 48, 112
 Biomedical research, 40, 42, 140
 frameworks, 62, 63
 of research ethics, 131–132
 Biomedical research ethics,
 132–133, 137, 139
 history of medicine's unique
 capacity, 57
 oldest rule in medical ethics, 56
 'possibly public role', 60
 principle-based ethics for social
 sciences, 58
 See also Social science research
 Biomedical researchers, 136
 Biomedical sciences, 38
 normative objectives of ethical
 regulation in, 39
 powerful/knowledgeable position
 in, 42–43
 Bottom-up process, 134
 Boyd, Kenneth M., xlv, liii
 Brey, Philip, liii–liv
 British Humanities Index, xii
 British Library, 113
 British Psychological
 Association, 163
 British Psychological Society (BPS),
 xxxix
 British Sociological Association
 (BSA), xxxix, xlii
 Bureaucratic nature, 62
- Canada's research ethics policies,
 70
 Canadian experience
 develop standards for research
 practice, 104
 governance of responsible
 conduct of research, 108
 indigenous community, 106
 questionable research practices,
 107
 TCPS, 2, 105
 Canadian Institute of Health
 Research (CIHR), 66
 Canadian research ethics boards,
 106
 Canadian Tri-Council Policy
 Statements (TCPS), xvi,
 xviii
 Carpenter, David, xliii, liv, 20,
 51–52
 Casuistry, 133
 Caveat, 81
 CECs. *See* Clinical Ethics
 Committees (CECs)
 CFRS recommended the
 establishment, 206
 Charter, 202
 CIHR. *See* Canadian Institute of
 Health Research (CIHR)
 Civil society organisations (CSOs),
 192
 Clinical Ethics Committees (CECs),
 140
 'Coalface', xix

- Code, 163
- Codification, 31
consequences of, 137
- Codified principles, 137
- CoIME Issues. *See* Committee on Conflict of Interests, Scientific Misconduct and Ethical Issues (CoIME Issues)
- Collaborative process, 134
- Collegiality, 52
- Colonisation process, 69
- Colonizer and the Colonized, The* (1965), 69
- 'Commitments', 31
- Committee on Conflict of Interests, Scientific Misconduct and Ethical Issues (CoIME Issues), 203
- Committee on Freedom and Responsibility in Conduct of Science of International Council for Science, 205
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), xvi, 216–217
- Common good, 9, 24
- Common principles, 113, 186, 207, 213
- Communitarian principles
deontology, 21–22
ESRC, 24–25
principlism, 20–21
research ethics principles, 20
social acceptability, 22–23
- Communitarianism, 15–16
- Competence, 52
- Comprehensive literature reviews, xii, xiii, 79
- Concordat to Support Research Integrity* (2012), 79, 85, 97
- Conference, xli
group's priorities after, xli–xliii
- Confidentiality, conceptions of, 67
- Consensus, 80–82
- Consequentialism, 10, 21
- CONSIDER, xx
- Contemporary societies, 113
- Corporate Social Responsibility standards
(CSR standards), 193
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS), xv, 191, 192
- Council of EU Research Ministers, 203
- Council of the European Union, the*, 203
- Creative arts, 114
- CRID. *See* Research Centre on IT and Law—University of Namur (CRID)
- Cross-cultural research, xlii, 119
- Cross-disciplinary principles, 5–6
- CSOs. *See* Civil society organisations (CSOs)
- CSR standards. *See* Corporate Social Responsibility standards (CSR standards)
- Cultural difference/pluralism, respect for, 52
- Danish Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, 205
- 'Datascape', 135
- De-identification, 212

- Declaration of Helsinki, 178–179
for World Medical Association,
xv
- Democratic participation, 52
- Deontology, 10, 21–22
- DG. *See* Directorate General (DG)
- Dignity of individuals, 114–116
- Dingwall, Robert, xxxviii, liv, 132
- Directorate General (DG), 191
Information Society, 159, 169
- Discrepancy of virtues, 70–72
- Dissemination ethics, 217
- Diversity of individuals, 114–116
- Double effect, 46n3
- E-research, xxv
- Economic and Social Research
Council (ESRC), xvii–
xviii, xxxvii, 24–25, 38,
127, 138, 159, 160
Framework for Research Ethics,
43, 144n4
- Editorial advisory group,
xxxi–xxxii
- EGE. *See* European Group on
Ethics in Science and
New Technologies (EGE)
- EHRC. *See* Equality and Human
Rights Commission
(EHRC)
- Embedded ethics, 31
ethical project, 30
ethics principles, 33–34
respect, 32–33
social science research, 31
up-front ethics, 31–32
- Embracing codification, 140
- Emmerich, Nathan, xlvi, liv–lv
- Empathy, 53
- Equality and Human Rights
Commission (EHRC),
218
- ERC. *See* European Research
Council (ERC)
- ERC Executive Agency (ERCEA),
202–203
- ERC Scientific Council, 203
- ERCEA. *See* ERC Executive
Agency (ERCEA)
- ESF. *See* European Science
Foundation (ESF)
- Ethical
analysis, 6–7
assessment, 187–188, 213
boundaries, 200
decision-making, 49–51
guidance, 187–188, 213
guidelines, 159, 164–165, 169
implications, 53
issues, 129–130
principles, 49, 118, 216
regulation, 20, 24, 38
review, 5, 49–51, 152–153
- Ethical Guidelines for International
Comparative Social
Science Research, 160
- Ethical protocols and standards
aims of workshop, 179–180
'Declaration of Helsinki',
178–179
follow-up activities, 183–184
issues, 180–181
recommendations, 181–183
and social sciences research, 178
- Ethics
assessors, 188
across disciplines, xvi
of ethics governance, 126

- governance, 127
- on ground and ethics templates, 68
- of medical practice, 56
- policies, 66
- principles in social science research, 51
- regimes, 62, 69
- review, 118
- Ethics assessment, 187–188
 - in scientific fields, 188–190
 - in types of organisations, 192–193
- Ethics codes, 62
 - for ‘trust’ and ‘trustworthiness’, 71
 - of academic and professional societies, 73
- Ethics rupture, 152, 212–213
 - ethics guidelines, 155
 - professional codes of ethical practice, 154
 - SRA ethics guidelines, 153
- Ethics Rupture Summit, 172
- Ethnography, 4, 31
 - sensibility, 33
- Ethos, 136
- EU. *See* European Union (EU)
- Eudaimonia, 13
- Europe, safeguarding research integrity in
 - initiatives at EU level, 201–205
 - international organizations, research integrity and, 205–207
 - Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200
- European Anti-Fraud Office, 203
- European Charter for Researchers*, 202
- European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, 201–202, 204
- European Commission (EC), xxix, 186, 191, 210n18, 213
 - Framework Programme, 159
- European Federation of Academies of Sciences and of Humanities, 204
- European Group on Ethics in Science and New Technologies (EGE), 191, 203
- European Research Area (ERA), xix, 162
- European Research Council (ERC), 179, 202
- European Research Funding Organisations (RFO), 184n8
- European Research Integrity Initiative, 204
- European Research Performing Organisations (RPO), 184n8
- European Research Values post-FP7, xx
- European Science Foundation (ESF), 201–202, 204, 205
- European Standards of Social Science Research, 161–162
- European Union (EU), 201
 - countries, 193–195
 - and global ethics assessment and guidance, 188–189, 190–192
- Fabrication, 210n2
- Far-reaching implications, 119–120

- Fifth Framework Programme (FP5), xvi
- Finch, Dame Janet, 215
- 'Fluid social mediums', 59, 60
- Fostering Responsible Research, 206
- Foundations for ethical research, xv–xxiv
- Framework for Research Ethics (FRE), xliii, 41, 131
sixth key principle, 46*n*7
- Freedom of Information Act in UK, 43
- Generalisation, 117
- Generic ethics principles, 83, 104, 138
background, xxxvii–xxxviii
basic standards, 83–84
conference, xli
constructing principles, 8–9
cross-disciplinary principles, 5–6
ethical analysis, 6–7
group's priorities after conference, xli–xliii
initial work, xxxviii–xxxix
Kantianism, 10–11
less contentious theories, 9
ongoing process, 83
prescriptive or non-restrictive principles and standards, 87–88
principlism, 7–8
prioritization, 14–15
regulatory function, 4–5
researcher virtues, 13–14
sample documents, 85–87
in social science research, 3–4
socio-political issue, 15–16
symposia, xxxix–xl
virtues, 12–13
- Generic principles, 136–138, 153
- Global Ethics Code, xxi
- Global Research Council (GRC), xxx, xxxi
- Global Summit of National Bioethics Advisory Bodies, 192
- Golden Rule of perspective-taking, xxvii
- Gorup, Meta, lv
- Government Social Research Unit and Department of Health, 160
- h-index, xiv
- Hammersley, Martyn, xliii–xliv, lv
- Harmonisation, xxi, xxii
- Health Research Authority (HRA), xl
- Hemmings, Annette, 65, 72
- Higher Education Funding Councils (HEFCE), xxxviii
- Hippocratic Oath, 56
- HIVA. *See* Research Institute for Work and Society–University of Leuven (HIVA)
- Honesty, 25
- Hoonaard, Will C. van den, xlv, lx, 202
- Horizon*, 2020, xxix, lvi, 172, 202–204
- Human dignity, 105
- Humility, 25
- Hunter, Rosemary, xlv, lv–lvi
- Hybrid approach, 84

- IES. *See* Institute for Employment Studies (IES)
 ‘Impact agenda’, 214–215
 Impact assessment, 215
 Imperialism, 127
 Inclusiveness, 53
 Independence, 52
 Individual autonomy and dignity, 52
 Information Society, 162
 Information Society Technologies (IST), xvi
 Institute for Employment Studies (IES), 164
 Institute for Information, Telecommunications and Media Law (ITM), 164
 Institutional approach, 130
 Institutional legitimacy, 112
 Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), xviii–xix, xxii, 45*n*1, 152
 Institutionalised approach, 130
 Institutionalised medical research, 114
 Integrity, xlvi–xlix, 52
 Interagency Advisory Panel on Research Ethics, 106–107
 Interdependence, 63–64
 Interdisciplinary ethics, xlvi–xlix
 Interdisciplinary research, 162
 International organizations, research integrity and, 205–207
 International research, 159, 162
 International Sociological Association (ISA), 160
 Internet, xiv
 Internet-mediated research, xxvi
 Inverted totalitarianism, 65
 Iphofen, Ron, xxxviii, xlvii, xlix, lvi
 ISA. *See* International Sociological Association (ISA)
 ITM. *See* Institute for Information, Telecommunications and Media Law (ITM)
 Jansen, Philip, lvi
 ‘Jobbing’ researchers, xiii–xiv
 Journalism, 115, 216
 Kantianism, 10
 Kritikos, Mihalis, xlvii, lvi–lvii
 Kudos, xiv
 Learned Societies, 3–4, 128, 129
 Legal boundaries, 200
 Legal partners, 164
 Less contentious theories, 9
 Lewis, Janet, xxxviii, lvii
 LinkedIn, xiv
 Lombardo, Gabi, xlvii, lvii
 Lower/middle-income countries (LMICs), xxiii
 MacDonald, Sharon, xlv, lvii, 52, 126–127
 ‘Machinery’ for searching, xii
 Mandatory guidance, 89–90
 Mandatory principles and standards, 88–91
 ‘Marginalised’ communities, 215
 Market research, 215
 Mass media, 217–218
 Medical model of ethical codes and ethics committees, 57–58
 Medical research, 57
 unspoken ethics of, 56–57
 Medical Research Council, xvii
 Medical sociologist, 129
 Medicine, 131–133

- Member States, 204
 Memorandum, 201
 Mendeleev, xiv, 121n8
 Mental Capacity Act (2005),
 121, n8
 Milgram's experiments, 158
 Model clauses for ethics codes,
 122–123
 Montreal Statement on Research
 Integrity in Cross-
 Boundary Research
 Collaborations,
 206–207
 Moral philosophy, 133
 Morality, 9
 Motherhood and apple pie, 117
- National ethics committees (NECs),
 192
 National Ethics Councils Forum
 (NEC Forum), 191
 National Institutes of Health
 (NIH), 138–139
 National Research Ethics Service
 (NRES), 131
 National Science Foundation
 (NSF), xxx
*National Statement on Ethical
 Conduct in Human
 Research* (2007), xviii
 National Union of Journalists
 (NUJ), 160–161
 Natural sciences, 132, 134
 NEC Forum. *See* National Ethics
 Councils Forum
 (NEC Forum)
 NECs. *See* National ethics
 committees (NECs)
 New Brunswick Declaration, 153,
 154–155
- NIH. *See* National Institutes of
 Health (NIH)
 Non-EU countries, 193–195
 Non-restrictive principles and
 standards, 87–88
 Normative ethical theory, 5
 Normative ethics, 32
 Normative principles, 38
 Normative social structure, 134
 NRES. *See* National Research
 Ethics Service (NRES)
 NUCLEUS, xx
 NUJ. *See* National Union of
 Journalists (NUJ)
 Nuremberg Code (1947), xv, 43
- Oates, John, xxxviii, lvii
 OLAF, 203
 Ongoing process, 83, 92
 Open-mindedness, 53
 'Ordinary' researchers, xiv
 Organisation for Economic
 Co-operation and
 Development (OECD),
 xxx, 191–192, 205
 Osmosis theory of medical
 education, 56
- PAR. *See* Participatory action
 research (PAR)
 Paradigmatic closure, xii
 Parry, James, lvii–lix
 Participant protection model
 (PPM), xl, xlv, 38–40,
 48, 49–51, 114–115, 131
 Participatory action research
 (PAR), 21
 Patient protection model. *See*
 Participant protection
 model (PPM)

- Performance management, 112
 Philosophers, 136
 Philosophical approach, 136
 Philosophical project, 133
 Phronesis, 13
 Plagiarism, 210*n*2
 Pluralistic approach, 213
 Popularisation, 216
 Practical machinery, 64
 Practitioners, 115
 Preference satisfactions, 9
 Prescriptive principles and standards, 87–88
primum non nocere (oldest rule in medical ethics), 56
 Principle-based ethics for social sciences, 58
 Principle-based medical ethics, 58
 Principles of justice, 46*n*4
 Principles of research integrity, 200
 Principlism, 5, 6, 7–8, 20–21, 113
 Principlism approach, 190
 Privacy of individuals, 114–116
 Professional ethics, 139
 Professional researchers, 139–140
 Professional standards, 164, 168, 170
 Programming rules, 118
 Promoting Integrity as Integral Dimension of Excellence in Research project (PRINTEGER project), xx, 172–173
 Putative principles, 5

 Quasi-legal phrase, 200
 Questionable research practices, 82, 107
 Questionnaire survey, 116

 R&I. *See* Research and innovation (R&I)
 Rawlins, Sir Michael, xxxviii
 Rawlins Review, xxxviii
 Rawlsian notions, 15
 RCR Framework. *See* Responsible Conduct of Research Framework (RCR Framework)
 REB. *See* Research Ethics Board (REB)
 REF. *See* Research Ethics Framework (REF); Research Excellence Framework (REF)
 Reflexivity, 48, 52
 REG. *See* Research Ethics Group (REG)
 Remote communities, 215
 Research, 7
 assessment, 215–216
 community, xxvi
 governance, 112, 118
 misconduct, 200–201
 organizations, 204
 participants, 39, 48, 122
 standards, 94–95
 Research and innovation (R&I), 186, 187, 201
 Research as social practice
 ethical decision-making, 49–51
 ethical review, 49–51
 PPM, 49–51
 values, 51–53
 Research Centre on IT and Law–University of Namur (CRID), 164
 Research Councils UK (RCUK), xxxviii

- Research ethics, xvi, xxxii, 6, 25, 48, 80, 97–98, 112–113, 114, 127, 164, 168, 170, 173, 179, 182–183, 211–212
- approach and treatment, xxv–xxvi
- COPE, 216–217
- developments in ethics, 214
- ethics rupture, 212–213
- fourth key principle of ESRC's Framework for, 43–44
- impact agenda, 214–215
- integrity in, 218
- mass media, 217–218
- principle of, 42
- professionals, 138
- recommendations, 218–221
- research assessment, 215–216
- SATORI Project, 213–214
- and social sciences, xliii–xlvi
- Research Ethics Board (REB), 65
- Research Ethics Committees (RECs), xxxii, 57, 128, 152, 192, 212
- Research Ethics Framework (REF), 131
- Research Ethics Group (REG), 126–128, 130
- activities of, 136
- principles, 138
- purpose of, 134
- Research ethics review process
- biomedical research, 74
- colonised societies, 69
- conceptions of confidentiality, 67
- critique or affirmation of status quo, 65–66
- differences between social and biomedical research, 63
- discrepancy of virtues, 70–72
- ethics committees, 72
- ethics on ground and ethics templates, 68
- policy makers, 73
- principle of autonomy flies, 64
- REBs, 66
- social science paradigm, 62
- Research Excellence Framework (REF), 215
- Research Institute for Work and Society–University of Leuven (HIVA), 164
- Research integrity, xvi, 32, 77–78, 97–98, 104, 120–121
- ethical project, 30
- ethics principles, 33–34
- initiatives at EU level, 201–205
- international organizations, research integrity and, 205–207
- respect, 32–33
- Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200
- social science research, 31
- United Kingdom issues of, 78
- up-front ethics, 31–32
- Research practice, developing standards for
- consensus, 80–82
- generic ethics principles, 83–88
- mandatory principles and standards, 88–91
- relevance of standards for research, 94–97
- research ethics, 97–98
- research integrity, 78
- research integrity, 97–98
- self-evident, 80

- standards for research, 91–94
- UKRIO, 79–80
- voluntary principles and standards, 88–91
- Researcher/participant relationship
 - conceptualisation, 42–44
- Researchers, 48, 200
 - ‘jobbing’, xiii–xiv
 - ‘ordinary’, xiv
 - virtues, 13
- ResearchGate, xiv
- RESPECT project, xvi, xvii, xix, xx, xxvii, xlvii, 158
 - balancing conflicting requirements, 165–167
 - DG Information Society, 159
 - dissemination and impact of project, 167
 - DG Information Society, 169
 - Europe-wide code, 168
 - Internet search in, 168–169
 - embarking on project, 162
 - European Standards of Social Science Research, 161–162
 - harmonising heterogeneous team, 164–165
 - idea surfaces, 160–161
 - professional standards guidelines, 171
 - publications in, 176
 - recent initiatives, 171–173
 - social scientists, 170
 - SRA, 160
 - ‘tick box’ approach, 170
 - See also* SATORI project
- Responsible conduct of research, 200
 - global guide to, 2063
- Responsible Conduct of Research Framework (RCR Framework), 105
- Responsible research, principles for, 206
- Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI), xx, 187
- RFO. *See* European Research Funding Organisations (RFO)
- Rhode, Barbara, xix
- Rights, 6
- Rodrigues, Rowena, lix
- Rousseau’s notion, 22
- RPO. *See* European Research Performing Organisations (RPO)
- ‘Rule of As’, 56–57
- Scandals, 78
- Scientific community, 201
- Scientific integrity, 77–78
- Second World Conference on Research Integrity, 201–202
- Seduction of Ethics, The*, 151
- Self-evident standards, 80
- Seventh Framework Programme (FP7), xx, 186
- Shelley-Egan, Clare, lix
- Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200, 206
- Sixth framework programme (FP6), xix
- Sleat, Matt, xlv, lix–lx, 48, 56, 62, 131–132
 - argument, 50
- SLSA. *See* Socio-Legal Studies Association (SLSA)
- Social ‘impact agenda’, 32
- Social acceptability, 9, 22, 51–52
- Social activity, 48
- Social and political philosophy, 5

- Social anthropological research, 30
- Social Care Research Ethics Committee (SCREC), xl
- Social community, 72
- Social good, 66
- Social media, 119
- Social research, 215
- Social Research Association (SRA), xxxvii–xxxviii, xlii, 159, 160, 164
- Social researchers, 63
- Social responsibility, 52
- Social science research, 82, 83, 158, 168, 170, 178
- conceptualisation harm, 40–42
 - conceptualisation researcher/participant relationship, 42–44
 - ethics, 51, 127
 - European Standards of, 161–162
 - PPM, 38–40
 - in United Kingdom, 172
 - See also* Biomedical research ethics
- Social science researcher, 122, 127
- acknowledge their social responsibilities, 116–117
- Social sciences, 32, 38, 62, 63, 65, 106, 116, 132, 215, 216
- based on plurality of interests, funding, methods and perspectives, 114
 - carried out to highest degree of scientific integrity, 116
 - events history involvement in research ethics work, l-li
 - maximise benefit and minimise harm, 117
 - principle-based ethics for, 58
 - research ethics and, xliii–xlvi
 - research integrity in, 120–121
- Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC), 152
- Social scientific methodology, 62
- Social scientific research, 136
- Social scientists, 71, 80–81, 92, 112, 116, 117, 127–128, 129, 134, 139–140
- Socialscapes, 135–136
- Societal treatment of journalism, 114
- Societies, 118, 120
- Socio-Legal Studies Association (SLSA), 48, 128–129
- Statement, 49, 53
- Socio-political concepts, 5
- Socioeconomic research, 161
- Sociologists, 64–65, 70
- Sociology, 129–130, 134–135
- SSHRC. *See* Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC)
- Stakeholders, 90
- relationship with standards, 94
 - of UK's *Concordat to Support Research Integrity*, 97
- Stakeholders Acting Together On ethical impact assessment of Research and Innovation Project (SATORI Project), xxi–xxii, xlvi, 172, 186, 213–214
- ethics assessment, 187–188, 193–195

- in scientific fields, 189–190
 - in types of organisations, 192–193
- ethics assessors, 188
- EU and global ethics assessment and guidance, 190–192
- phases, 186–187
- SATORI D1., 1, 190–194
- See also* RESPECT project
- Standards for research practice, 91–94, 104
 - relevance of, 94–97
- State legislatures, 118
- Statements of principle, 112, 113, 207
- Surveillance methods, application of, xxv
- Symposia, xxxix–xl, 120
- Symposium on Generic Ethics Principles in London (2013), 62
- ‘Thick’ moral arguments, xxviii
- ‘Thin’ moral arguments, xxviii
- ‘Tick box’ approach, 170
- ‘Top-down’ approach, 30
- Transparency, 52
- Tri-Council model, 118
- Tri-Council Policy Statement:
 - Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (TCPS 2), xlvi, 65, 74n5, 74, 105
- Trust, 53
- Trustworthiness, symbols of, 56
- 2015 Workshop (*Science Europe*), xxii–xxiii
- UK Academy of Social Sciences, xxxvii
- UK Association of Research Ethics (AfRE), xxxviii, 118
- UK Council for Science and Technology (CST), xxviii
- UK Government Social Research Unit (GSRU), xvii
- UK Learned Societies, 137
- UK Research Integrity Office (UKRIO), xl, xlv, 79–80, 138
- UN. *See* United Nations (UN)
- Un- or under-disclosed research, 129
- Uniform Law Commission, 118
- United Nations (UN), 191–192
- United Nations Educational, Social, and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), xxx, 191–192
- Universal Ethical Code for Scientists, xxviii
- Universal research ethics codes, xxvi–xxxi
- Universities UK (UUK), xxxviii, xl
- Up-front ethics, 31–32
- US National Research Council, 118
- Utilitarianism, 9
- Values, 49, 51–53
 - distinction between ‘principles’ and, 49
- Verstehen*, 135
- Virtue ethics, xlii, 23, 33
- Virtues, 62
 - discrepancy of, 70–72
- Virtuous researcher, 119–120
- Voluntary guidance, 88
- Voluntary principles and standards, 88–91

- Working Group, xxxviii, 113,
120–121
- World Conferences on Research
Integrity (WCRI),
205–206
- World Health Organization
(WHO), 192
- World Science Forum, xxx
- World Wide Web, xiv
- Worthiness of research and social
good, 66
- Zimbardo's prison experiment,
158
- Zimmerman, Susan V., xlv–xlvi, lx,
118