

# INDEX

- ABI/INFORM Global database, 119
- Abnormal distracting events  
(ABN\_DISTRACT),  
79–80
- Absolute forecast error (ABS\_FE),  
61, 66–69, 73
- Absolute value of abnormal return  
(ABS\_aret), 61, 71,  
75
- Accountability pressure, 4, 7
- ANCOVA, mean scores and  
standard deviation for  
budgetary slack, 16
- relationship with budgetary slack  
creation, 5–6
- relationship with subordinates’  
perceived levels of honesty,  
8–9
- two-way interaction, 18
- Accounting
- education, 130–131
- financial, 119–122
- management, 122–126
- profession, 131–132
- Adverse selection, 5
- Agency theory, 5
- Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA),  
14–17
- Analyst portfolio complexity, 53–54
- Analysts, 58–59
- Analysts’ earnings forecast accuracy
- limited attention and, 54–56
- and price discovery process, 56–57
- Analysts’ earnings forecasts, 52, 56–57
- ANCOVA. *See* Analysis of  
covariance (ANCOVA)
- AS3. *See* Auditing Standard No. 3  
(AS3)
- Attention span variation, 79
- Audit, 126–128
- litigation, 91–92
- quality benefits, 90–91
- quality reduction, 126–127
- work, 94–96
- Audit documentation, 88
- audit litigation, 91–92
- audit work, 94–96
- auditor litigation research, 90–91
- auditors expectations, 94–96
- dependent variables, 100
- descriptive statistics, 100–108
- disclosure, 92–94
- expectancy deviations, 92–94
- experimental task, 97–98
- independent variables, 99
- legal professional, 91–92
- participant demographics, 100
- participants, 97
- procedures, 98–99
- regression analysis, 100–108
- research design, 97
- Audit work quality (QUALITY), 100
- cell means plot for, 107
- impact on AUDRESP, 108
- perceptions, 100–101
- Auditing Standard No. 3 (AS3), 88,  
95–97
- Auditor responsibility (AUDRESP),  
99
- cell means plot, 105
- judgments, 100–101
- legal professionals’ judgment of, 94
- QUALITY impact on, 108
- impact of the manipulations and  
interactions, 103–105
- Auditors, 127
- expectations, 94–96
- litigation research, 90–91

- AUDRESP. *See* Auditor responsibility (AUDRESP)
- Behavior controls, 121–122
- Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 119
- Bias, 1–2
- Bias-mitigation strategies, 90
- Budget negotiation process, 3, 12–13, 21
- Budgetary slack creation, 1–2
- accountability, 4
  - impact of availability of information and accountability pressure, 7
  - descriptive statistics, 15
  - experimental procedures, 11–13
  - laboratory experiment, 3
  - manipulation checks, 14
  - mediational effect of honesty, 10
  - method, 11–14
  - operational measures of variables, 13–14
  - process, 8
  - relationship between
    - accountability pressure and subordinates' perceived levels of honesty, 8–9
    - relationship between subordinates' perceived levels of honesty, 9–10
  - relationship with accountability pressure, 5–6
  - relationship with information availability, 5
  - results, 14–20
  - supplementary analyses, 19–20
- Budgeting
- managers, 1–2
  - studies, 1–2
- California Business and Professions Code*, 91–92
- Carhart Four Factor model, 60
- Committee on Model Civil Jury Instructions, 99
- Competing tasks, 79
- and forecast error, 69–71
  - hypothesis, 52–53, 57–59
  - measurement, 62
  - and price adjustment process, 71–73
- Contracts, 117–118
- Corporate social responsibility, 121–122
- Defined benefits plans, 121–122
- Defined contribution plans, 121–122
- Dependent variables, 61
- in audit documentation, 100
  - Pearson correlation matrix for, 15
- Descriptive statistics
- in audit documentation, 100–108
  - in limited attention, 63–69
- Disclosure, 92–94
- Distracting event
- and forecast error, 73–75
  - hypothesis, 52–53, 57–60
  - measurement, 62–63
  - and price reaction, 75–77
- Distractions, abnormal levels of, 79–81
- Diverse expertise, 79
- Diversity of analyst experience, 77–78
- DOC condition, 97–99, 102, 104–105
- Documentation, 90–91
- requirements, 95–96
- Dummy-coded variables, 102
- EFA. *See* Exploratory factor analysis (EFA)
- Effective monitoring, 20
- Endogeneity issues, 79–80
- abnormal levels of, 79–81
- Escalation of commitment, 119–120
- Ethical environment, 30, 38–40, 41–42
- manipulation, 48–49

- results, 38–40
- EVT. *See* Expectancy Violations Theory (EVT)
- Expectancy deviations, 92–94
- Expectancy Violations Theory (EVT), 93–96
- Experimental treatment conditions, 7
- Expert information intermediaries, 55–56
- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA), 130–131
- Fama-French three factors plus momentum, 60
- Feedback, 4
  - pressure, 5–6
- Financial accounting, 119–122
- Firm-level information environment, 71
- Forecast error, 79
  - competing tasks and, 69–71
  - distracting events and, 73–75
- Gama Company, 11
- Gift-exchange contracts (GE), 123–124
- Global Competitiveness Reports, 129
- Global Settlement, 81
- GS. *See* Self-set goals (GS)
- Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC), 129–130
- High moral intensity, 34–35, 47–48
- HMRC. *See* Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC)
- Honesty, 2–3
  - mediational effect of, 10
  - subordinates' perceived levels of, 8–9
- Hours (Bygg) Inc., 47–48
- IC. *See* Instrumental commitment (IC)
- Impression management, 8
- Independent variables, 37
  - in audit documentation, 99
  - Pearson correlation matrix for, 15
- Information availability
  - ANCOVA, mean scores and standard deviation for budgetary slack, 16
  - impact, 7
  - relationship with budgetary slack creation, 5
  - two-way interaction, 18
- Information impactedness condition, 7
- Information uncertainty, 56–57
- Instrumental commitment (IC), 125
- Intrusion, 122–123
- Justification pressure, 4
- Legal proceedings, 88
- Legal professionals, 91–92, 109–110
  - judgment of auditor responsibility, 94
- Limited attention, 52–54
  - abnormal levels of distractions and forecast error, 79–81
  - and analysts' earnings forecast accuracy, 54–56
  - attention span variation, 79
  - competing tasks, task complexity, diverse expertise, and forecast error, 79
  - competing tasks and forecast error, 69–71
  - competing tasks and price adjustment process, 71–73
  - competing tasks and price reaction, 72
  - correlations, 63–69
  - data and variables, 58–59
  - descriptive statistics, 63–69
  - distracting events and forecast error, 73–75

- distracting events and price reaction, 75–77
- issues of endogeneity, 79–80
- propensity score matched analysis, 81
- research questions and hypotheses, 57–60
- robustness checks and limitations, 81–82
- task complexity and diversity of analyst experience, 77–78
- Low moral intensity, 32–34, 47
- Machiavellianism, 30–31
  - high moral intensity, 34–35, 47–48
  - independent variables, 37
  - low moral intensity, 32–34, 47
  - MTurk and undergraduate participants comparisons, 42–44
  - MTurk vs. student participants, 35–36
  - research methodology, 36–37
  - results, 38–44
- Macroeconomic environment (MAI), 129
- Magnitude of consequences, 33–34
- MAI. *See* Macroeconomic environment (MAI)
- Management accounting, 122–126
  - Management Accounting Quarterly*, 119
- Manipulation checks, 14
- Market reaction, 71
- Means, 2–3
- Mechanical Turk (MTurk), 30–31
  - regression results, 38
  - student participants, vs., 35–36
  - and undergraduate participants comparisons, 42–44
- Mediational effect of honesty, 10
- Mergers and acquisitions (MERGE), 63
- Microeconomic environment (MII), 129
- MII. *See* Microeconomic environment (MII)
- Minimum rate of return targets, 119–120
- Moral hazard, 5
- Moral intensity, 30
  - high, 34–35, 47–48
  - low, 32–34, 47
- Multi-tasking, 58–59
- Multiple regression analysis
  - for judgments of audit work quality, 106
  - for judgments of auditor responsibility, 104
  - for judgments of auditor responsibility–controlling for quality, 108–109
- NC. *See* Normative commitment (NC)
- “Negligent” response, 100
- Negotiated budget, 3, 13
- Negotiation
  - process, 2
  - rule, 118
  - subordinates’ perceived levels of honesty during, 9–10
- NO PUR condition, 97–99, 102, 104–105
- Non-profit organizations, 130
- Normative commitment (NC), 125
- “Not negligent” response, 100
- One-tailed Bonferroni adjusted tests, 17
- Online labor pool, 31, 33
  - participants, 30–31
- Operational measures of variables, 13–14
- Opportunistic behavior, 5
- Optimal contracts (OPT), 123–124

- Organization culture, 21
- Output controls, 121–122
- P/E ratio. *See* Price-to-earnings ratio (P/E ratio)
- Participants, 3, 11, 13  
in audit documentation, 97
- PCAOB. *See* Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB)
- Pearson correlation matrix for independent and dependent variables, 15
- Perceived responsibility, 33–34, 37, 42, 43–44
- PII. *See* Soundness and quality of public institutions (PII)
- Portfolio size, 53
- Positive impression, 9
- Post-earnings announcement price adjustment, 52
- Pre-AS3 standards (PRE-AS3), 97–98, 104–105
- Price adjustment process, 52–53  
competing tasks and, 71–73
- Price discovery process, 56–57
- Price reaction  
competing tasks and, 72  
distracting events and, 75–77
- Price-to-earnings ratio (P/E ratio), 63–64
- Private information conditions, 12
- Process, 2–3, 8
- Propensity score matched analysis, 81
- PSO. *See* Public service organization (PSO)
- Psychological contracts, 118–119  
accounting applications, 119–132  
accounting education, 130–131  
accounting profession, 131–132  
audit, 126–128  
breach, 120–128, 132–133  
financial accounting, 119–122  
future research, 132–133  
management accounting, 122–126  
non-profit organizations, 130  
research, 119  
tax, 129–130
- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 88–94, 110
- Public information conditions, 12
- Public service organization (PSO), 129–130
- PUR condition, 97–99, 102
- Quality-reducing behaviors, 126–127
- Rebuttable presumption, 88–92, 109–110
- Reciprocity rule, 118
- Regression analysis, 127  
in audit documentation, 100–108
- Reputation, 9
- Responsibility pressure, 4–6
- Robustness checks and limitations, 81–82
- SAS No.96. *See* Statement on Auditing Standards No.96 (SAS No.96)
- Scrutiny, 122–123
- Self-set goals (GS), 125
- SEM. *See* Structural equation modeling (SEM)
- Serial processing, 58–59
- Slack, 125
- Social exchange theory, 118
- Soundness and quality of public institutions (PII), 129
- Statement on Auditing Standards No.96 (SAS No.96), 88, 97
- Strategic performance measurement systems (SPMS), 124–125
- Structural equation modeling (SEM), 124–125
- Student participants, 30–31  
MTurk vs., 35–36, 42–44

- Subordinates, 1–2
  - perceived levels of honesty, 8–9
  - perceived levels of honesty during negotiation, 9–10
- Superior-imposed budget, 3, 13
- Task complexity, 52–53, 79
  - of analyst experience, 77–78
- Tax, 129–130
- Technological innovativeness (TI), 129
- Trial-run and training session, 3, 11–12
- Unrelated distraction, 52–53
- Wharton Research Data Services (WRDS), 60
- Whistle-blowing, 31–32
- Work accuracy, 53
- World’s Economic Forum, 129
- WRDS. *See* Wharton Research Data Services (WRDS)
- WRDS-COMPUSTAT quarterly database, 60
- WRDS-CRSP database, 60
- WRDS-IBES database, 60
- WRDS-Thompson Reuters 13f database, 60