

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by *n* indicate notes.

- Access to justice, 14, 47, 59, 64
 data, innovation, and
 collaboration, 15–25
 higher education, 25–35
 programs, 41
“Access to justice for all”, 25,
 35, 100
Accountability, 63, 76, 100, 111
 lack of accountability culture,
 52–53
Advocacy skills of law students, 65
African Moot, 64–65
2030 Agenda, 38–39, 43, 47,
 80–81
American Bar Foundation’s Justice
 Data Observatory
 initiative, 34
American Civil Liberties Union of
 Michigan, 28
American exceptionalism,
 116–123, 125
American poverty, 126
American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA),
 118, 133
Anti-corruption offices, 41
Applied research, 31, 74
Artificial intelligence, 29, 52, 142
Atlanta, 116, 118, 122, 131,
 133–135, 137, 142–143
Authoritarian forces, 5

Big data, 29, 33
Black communities, 119–120
Branding, 63, 69
Brazilian judiciary, 44
Brookings Institution, 9, 118, 121

Capitalism, 119
Carnegie Mellon University, 82, 137
Center of Law and Technology at
 Duke University Law
 School, 31, 33–34
Centers for Disease Control and
 Prevention (CDC),
 134, 137
Central American and Caribbean
 Judicial Council, 47, 56
CHANGE Network, 106, 111
Cities, 38, 121, 124, 129, 131–139
Citizen participation, 41, 53–55, 59
City government officials, 106, 112
City-academic partnerships,
 102–104
Civil society, 5, 7, 42, 53, 57, 91
Closing space phenomenon, 5–6
Cohort 2030, 3, 63, 76, 140, 142
Cold War, 4, 141
Collaboration, 15–25
 with cultural, social,
 education, or health
 institutions, 31
Colleges, 15, 26
Community of Practice, 8, 11, 87,
 116, 139
Conrad Hilton Foundation,
 128ⁿ42
Costa Rica judiciary, 43–44
Court-sanctioned online tools, 22
COVID-19 pandemic, 7, 22, 25
Credibility in US foreign policy,
 6, 49
Cross-sectoral collaboration,
 23–25, 31

- d.school, 31
- Data, 7, 15–25, 71, 108–111
 - gaps, 18–19, 93, 134, 138–139, 142
 - governance mechanisms, 52
 - lags, 93, 134, 138, 142
- Data science, university strengths in, 26–32
- Death Gap: How Inequality Kills, The*, 124
- Democracy delivering, 5, 115–116
- “Developing” countries, 3, 120
- Disaggregation, 72, 122
- Domestic violence, 24
- Domestication, 71–72, 75

- Economic development, 91, 99
- Education, 18, 21, 39, 48
- Educational innovation, 33
- Effective communications
 - strategies, 31
- Electoral Tribunal of the Federal Judiciary, 44–45
- Environment, 33, 39, 60
- Equality, 111
- Ethical engagement, 87
- Evidence-based decision-making
 - culture, lack of, 51–52
- Executive Branch agencies, 41, 48–50
- Exercise, 76–77
- Experiential learning, 64, 115, 122, 139
 - lessons in, 127–131
 - models, 115
 - exercise, 122
- Expertise and insights of scholars, 29
- Exponential smoothing, 130

- Family justice, 24
- Food insecurity, 121–122, 131
- Formal justice institutions, 21–22

- Gender, 7, 39, 59, 93, 106
- Georgetown University Law Center’s Institute for Technology Law and Policy, 31

- Global community, 2
- Global justice gap, 16–17, 36
- Global south, 3, 65, 93
- Government Accountability Office (GAO), 16, 121

- Hague Institute for Innovation of Law, 24–25
- Health, 5, 18, 31, 33, 39, 95, 127
- High Level Political Forum (HLPF), 55*n*26
- Higher education, 9–10, 25, 85–86
 - innovations in, 3, 140
 - institutions of, 13
 - lessons learned and recommendations, 32–35
 - SDG 17, 83
 - university strengths in data science, innovation, and multidisciplinary collaboration, 26–32
- Higher Education Institutions (HEI), 26–27, 39, 81, 84
- Housing advocate, 28
- Human rights, 5, 9, 34, 39, 54, 60, 62, 80, 86–87
 - data and building capacity for, 108–111
 - economy, 123, 127, 139–142
 - guiding principle for new human rights agenda, 86–88
 - lessons in, 127–131
 - at local level, 98–102
 - opportunity for, 35–36
 - moots, 64
 - politics, 67
 - practitioners, 64
 - shadow reports, 64
- Human Rights Council, 80
- Human rights education, 62, 75
 - examples from, 64–69
 - potential, 75–77
- Human Rights Movement, 35–36
- Human Rights Promotion, 105–108

- Ibero-American Judicial Summit of Supreme Courts, 46–47
- Index of Deep Disadvantage, 126
- Indigenous mediators, 21
- Indivisibility, 111
- Inequality, 2, 59–60, 110, 119, 125
- Inequities, 4, 11, 119, 122, 134, 141
- Innovation, 15–25
 - in justice services, 19–22
 - university strengths in, 26–32
- Instagram, 58
- Institutional culture, 53
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 58
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 100
- International Development Law Organization, 17
- International nongovernmental organizations, 57–58
- International Open Justice Network, 53
- International organizations, 17, 46, 56, 69
- Judicial Council of Central America and the Caribbean, 46
- Judicial institutions, 38–39, 47, 50, 54–59
- Judiciaries, 10, 38–43, 46–47
 - state of the art, 43–48
 - Strategic Institutional Plan, 44
- Jurisdictions, 16, 19, 22, 25
- Just recovery, 9, 118, 122
- JustFix.org, 22
- Justice, 2, 8, 14–15, 18, 39
 - data ecosystem, 15, 18–19
 - gaps, 88
 - problems, 20
 - sector institutions, 39, 41, 54
- Justice Action Coalition, 17
- Justice Index, 27
- Justice services, 10, 14, 20, 26, 32
 - required innovation in, 19–22
- Latin America and the Caribbean, 41
- Law and social science schools, 39
- Law schools, 16, 33, 54
- Leadership in higher education, 15
- Leave No One Behind (LNOB)
 - principle, 2, 7, 14, 68, 122, 141
- Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable, 25
- Legal frameworks, 4–5, 8
- Legal needs, 19, 27, 57
- Localization, 46, 71, 101–102, 112, 141
- Los Angeles, 101, 107, 123, 128
 - city-academic partnerships, 103–104
 - data and building capacity for human rights, 108–111
 - efforts, 102–103
 - and SDGs, 102–111
 - TFs and Human Rights Promotion, 105–108
- Los Angeles Sustainable Development Goals (L.A. SDGs), 98
- Major Groups and other Stakeholders (MGoS), 99
- Maternal mortality ratio, 109–110
- Maternal Mortality Review Committees (MMRCs), 135
- Mayor's Office of International Affairs (MOIA), 103
- Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), 99, 125
- Ministries of justice, 19, 41
- Ministries of public security, 41
- Moots, 65
- Mortality, 109, 124
- Multi-disciplinary centers of innovation and excellence, 15
- “Multi-door courthouse” model, 24
- Multi-sectoral collaboration, 25, 36
- Multidisciplinary collaboration, 29
 - university strengths in, 26–32
- Multidisciplinary initiatives, 30

- National Center for Access to Justice, 27
- National Endowment for the Arts, 31–32
- National nongovernmental organizations, 57–58
- National Pact, 43
- National Schools Moot, 66
- Nondiscrimination, 111
- Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), 5–6, 57
- Occidental College, 104, 106, 111
- Ombudsmen, 38
- Open Government Partnership, 53, 55
- Open justice, 53, 55
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 17
- Outdated educational paradigms, 54
- Packard Foundation, 128, 143
- Pandemic relief, 120, 126
- Paradigm shift, 3, 139–142
- Participation, 48, 111
- Partnerships, 31, 55–56, 58, 63, 82
- Pennsylvania Maternal Mortality Review Committee (PA MMRC), 136
- People-centered approach, 14, 16–17, 25
- People-centered justice, 17, 19, 31
- Perceptions of judicial authorities, 48
- Periodic meetings, 50
- Pittsburgh, 116, 118, 124, 129, 131, 133, 138, 142–143
- Placing positivism in context, 67–68
- Policy, 73–74
- Policy makers, 18, 31–32, 139
- Pomona College, 106
- Post-Cold War triumphalist period, 4
- Poverty, 39, 120, 126
- Poverty Solutions, 28–29
- Problem-solving, 21, 25, 36
- Project management capacity, 50–51
- Prosecutor Transparency Project, 28
- Provinces, 38
- Public health matters, 107
- Public trust, 79, 85
- Publication of information
on project
implementation, 50
- Quantitative Study of Inclusion, Diversity, and Equity (QSIDE), 28
- Quattrone Center for the Fair Administration of Justice at University of Pennsylvania Law School, 34
- Race, 7, 93, 106–107, 111, 137–138
- Rankings, 88, 90
- Reciprocity, 87
- Report drafting committees, 75
- Rockefeller Foundation, 128
- Rockefeller Foundation’s Bellagio Center, 7
- Role-play, 66, 74–75
- 17 Rooms, 9, 118, 129, 142
- Rule of Law Policy, 17
- Russia, 5–6
- Shadow reporting, 67–68
- Shadow reports, 68–69
drafting process, 69–75
- Simulacre SDG report drafting process, 69–75
- Social justice, 7, 9, 84, 88, 95
- Social networking, 58–59
- Social responsibility, 87
- Social worker, 28
- Socioeconomic rights, 93, 116, 126–127, 139, 142
- Stakeholder engagement, 112–113
- Stanford Law School, 31
- Stanford Legal Design Lab, 31
- Subnational governments, 38
- Substance abuse, 24
- Summit for Democracy (2023), 17

- Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), 131, 133
- Supranational level, 56–57
- Supreme Audit Institutions, 38
- Supreme Court of the Dominican Republic, 45
- Sustainability practices, 91–92
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 2, 11, 34, 38–43, 54–59, 62, 80, 116, 139–142
 - adoption, 7
 - findings and complexities in American cities and implications, 131–138
 - guiding principle for new human rights agenda, 86–88
 - lessons in, 127–131
 - at local level, 98–102
 - Los Angeles and, 102–111
 - marketing tool, 88–91
 - moot, 66
 - overcoming difficulties and challenges, 48–54
 - purpose of, 4
 - SDG 16+, 8, 10, 62
 - SDG16, 14, 40, 42, 47, 81
- Sustainable Development Goals Report (SDGR), 70–71, 74
- Task Forces (TFs), 10–11, 103–108, 128
- Technological innovation, 22, 31
- Technology, 22, 31
- Times Higher Education Impact Ranking (THE-IR), 89–91
- Transparency, 41, 53, 89
- Truth-in-Los Angeles project, 108
- United Nations (UN), 3, 80
 - agencies, 46
- United Nations Development Program (UNDP), 17, 42, 56–57
- United States, 4–6, 85, 103, 117, 120, 126, 138
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), 2, 4, 116
- Universality, 2, 111, 122
- Universities, 10, 15, 26, 56, 81–86, 140
 - data collection and analysis initiatives, 30
 - partners, 106
 - strengths in data science, innovation, and multidisciplinary collaboration, 26–32
- Urban development, 39
- Vernacularization, 141
- Voluntary Local Reviews (VLRs), 49, 70, 98, 139
- Voluntary National Reviews (VNRs), 49, 70
- Voluntary University Review (VUR), 82–83
- Web-scraping approaches, 29
- “Wicked Problems” practicum, 105, 107, 109
- World Bank, 17, 115, 117
- World Justice Forum, 129–130
- World Justice Project (WJP), 16, 23, 57–58
 - Atlas of Legal Needs Surveys, 27
- X, 58
- YouTube, 58