

Corrigendum: Innovation ambidexterity and ESG disclosure coherence: the roles of governance and coordination costs

It has come to the attention of the publisher that the article, Jain, N. (2026), “Innovation ambidexterity and ESG disclosure coherence: the roles of governance and coordination costs”, *Journal of Business Strategy*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-10-2025-0239>, contains errors.

The author wishes to draw readers’ attention to four instances in the article where the word “inverted” was inadvertently omitted.

The corrections are as follows.

Page 7, Section 4.2

The sentence

“Their involvement encourages integrative thinking and accountability, thereby flattening the U-shaped relationship between ambidexterity and ESG disclosure dispersion.”

Should read

“Their involvement encourages integrative thinking and accountability, thereby flattening the inverted U-shaped relationship between ambidexterity and ESG disclosure dispersion.”

Page 12, Section 6.2.1, Main results

The sentence

“Model 1 shows a significant U-shaped relationship in a parsimonious specification.”

Should read

“Model 1 shows a significant inverted U-shaped relationship in a parsimonious specification.”

The sentence

“Firstly, consistent with *H1*, the coefficient on ambidexterity in Model 4 is negative and the coefficient on its squared term is positive, confirming a U-shaped relationship.”

Should read

“Firstly, consistent with *H1*, the coefficient on ambidexterity in Model 4 is negative and the coefficient on its squared term is positive, confirming an inverted U-shaped relationship.”

The sentence

“Thirdly, compared to what is posited in *H3*, industry concentration moderates the relationship in the opposite direction. The interaction terms show that greater market competition, i.e. lower industry concentration (a lower HHI), strengthens the U-shaped pattern.”

Should read

“Thirdly, compared to what is posited in *H3*, industry concentration moderates the relationship in the opposite direction. The interaction terms show that greater market competition, i.e. lower industry concentration (a lower HHI), strengthens the inverted U-shaped pattern.”

These corrections do not affect the results, interpretation or conclusions of the article.

The author apologises for these errors and any inconvenience caused to readers.