

The impact of customer performance on IMC outcomes: firm size moderation in the inter-country context

Vera Butkouskaya

Marketing Department, HSE Graduate School of Business, Moscow, Russia

Joan Llonch-Andreu

Business Department, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain, and

María-del-Carmen Alarcón-del-Amo

Marketing Department, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

Abstract

Purpose – Taking the customer-centric nature of integrated marketing communications (IMC), this article investigates the specific role of customer performance in IMC effectiveness in various size companies applying inter-country context.

Design/methodology/approach – The sample consists of the primary data from developed (Spain) and developing (Belarus) economies. A total of 540 manager respondents participated in the survey. The article uses structural equation modeling and multi-group analysis for analysis.

Findings – When taking into consideration, customer performance affects the IMC outcome on the market and financial performance. The customer performance role varies in firms of various sizes and small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) operating both in developed and developing economies.

Research limitations/implications – The research underlines the significant role of customer performance in IMC implementation, which stimulates further investigation on the topic. It also closes the gap in the IMC outcomes analysis in SMEs operating in developed and developing economies.

Practical implications – Customer evaluation plays a vital role in the IMC outcomes for market growth and financial returns. SMEs and larger companies implement IMC with different levels of effectiveness. SMEs with IMC implementation can gain an advantage over larger rivals and improve their market position. Moreover, the study generalizes the results by applying inter-country context.

Originality/value – This is a pioneering study of the complex IMC outcomes model under firms' size moderate conditions. The research applies an inter-country context.

Keywords IMC outcomes, Customer performance, Organizational performance, SMEs, Inter-country analysis

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

During the decades of studies on the integrated marketing communications (IMC) concept, there has been a continuous interest in its possible implementation towards enhancing



organizational performance (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Kerr and Patti, 2013; Mulhern, 2009; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017). The analysis from both customer and company perspectives underlines the strong positive effect of IMC on customer, market and financial performance, among others (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Finne *et al.*, 2017; Mulhern, 2009; Reid, 2005; Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). Taken as a whole, the research confirms the positive impact of the IMC process on organizational outcomes at various strategic levels (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Porcu *et al.*, 2017).

However, IMC is a customer-centric concept (Kliatchko, 2008; Kliatchko and Schultz, 2014; Nowak and Phelps, 1994; Reid, 2005). Customer evaluation of the company's communications has a significant impact on the IMC effectiveness implementation. Recent research based on customer survey data by Butkouskaya *et al.* (2020) confirms the mediating role of customer satisfaction on the IMC effectiveness towards improving repurchase intention. The manager's survey data study also confirms customer performance mediation in the relationships between IMC, market and financial performance (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b). In both cases, the customer performance neglects the direct effect of IMC on the firm's performance. Moreover, some previous research has claimed that the IMC outcomes may have a hierarchical level (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Porcu *et al.*, 2017; Reid, 2005; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017; Luxton *et al.*, 2015). Thus, to make more accurate conclusions about IMC consequences, a more complex model is needed. Following this, the study investigates the role of customer performance in IMC outcomes for market and financial performance.

Also, IMC outcomes may vary under the company size moderating conditions (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Christensen *et al.*, 2008; Nowak and Phelps, 1994; Reid, 2005). SMEs (Small and Medium-sized Enterprises) may be more effective in IMC implementation than larger companies (Low, 2000). Smaller companies have a higher level of flexibility and fewer marketing communications (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Evatt *et al.*, 2005). SMEs build closer relationships with customers, facilitating customer value creation (Raju *et al.*, 2011; Somohano-Rodrigues *et al.*, 2020). By making more personalized offers, smaller companies can gain market share in the areas where bigger rivals are not specializing (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Halimi *et al.*, 2011). From another side, compared to larger rivals, SMEs may apply less technological innovations and have decentralized decision making (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Evatt *et al.*, 2005; Ongori and Migiرو, 2010). It may negatively impact the control function and neglect the IMC effectiveness. In SMEs, managers may see the IMC process implementation as a cost that exceeds the benefit (Schreyögg and Kliesch-Eberl, 2007; Pantouvakis *et al.*, 2017). Thus, this study analyzes the possible variations in IMC outcomes and customer performance mediation role with the company size moderating effect.

Additionally, marketing research should consider the institutional context. Under the influence of an uncontrollable external environment, managerial decision-making may vary (Cadogan, 2010; Scott, 2008). For example, the availability of data is essential for successful IMC implementation. Due to less market activeness, the lack of available data can negatively influence IMC implementation in the developing economy compared to the developed one (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Li and Liu, 2014). In SMEs in a developing economy, fewer communications and smaller customer databases may limit their internal knowledge even more (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Low, 2000; Reid, 2005). However, more market information and fewer control levels in the developed economy may negatively impact SME integration effectiveness (Evatt *et al.*, 2005; Ongori and Migiرو, 2010; Somohano-Rodrigues *et al.*, 2020). Also, due to lower competitive intensity in developing economies, SMEs could gain market share easier. However, fewer network opportunities may hurt SMEs' capacity (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Raju *et al.*, 2011; Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). Thus, this study compares the IMC outcomes effectiveness and customer performance mediation in SMEs in an inter-country context.

This paper investigates the specific role of customer performance in IMC outcomes in various size companies applying inter-country context. Taking the customer-centric nature of IMC, this research contributes to using marketing as an administrative discipline (Salcedo, 2021). The study analyzes IMC outcomes in the complex IMC-performance model. Considering the customer-central nature of the IMC concept, the customer performance mediating role is analyzed. Recognizing that SMEs more often apply focus strategies to gain market leadership, the article examines the moderating role of company size on IMC outcomes. Following the need to generalize the marketing models, this research contributes to the international marketing research by applying inter-country comparative analysis of IMC outcomes in SMEs in a developed and developing market. From the managerial perspective, the results may help explain the specific role of customer performance in IMC implementation effectiveness towards improving market and financial performance. It additionally reveals the value of the company's flexibility and formalization level on IMC effectiveness. Moreover, the inter-country context provides a vision of possible obstacles for international companies.

2. Literature review

2.1 IMC outcomes and customer performance role

Both theoretical and practical-oriented studies support the customer-centric nature of the IMC concept (Kliatchko, 2008; Kerr and Patti, 2013; Porcu *et al.*, 2017; Kliatchko and Schultz, 2014; Nowak and Phelps, 1994; Reid, 2005). Previous research demonstrated customer data importance in improving communications and transforming them into a competitive advantage (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Šeric *et al.*, 2015; Li and Liu, 2014). Expressly, the analysis from both customer and company perspectives underlines the strong positive effect of IMC on customer performance (CP), such as satisfaction, value creation, repurchase and intention to recommend (Finne *et al.*, 2017; Mulhern, 2009; Reid, 2005). Message and channel consistency as the main component of the IMC concept facilitates customer perception of the communications, create more realistic expectations and reduces the possibility of cognitive dissonance when evaluating the purchase decision (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a). Cross-functional coordination of the customer data within the company improves the decision-making process and the value creation process (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b).

Companies who apply the IMC concept keep in mind the customer-centric notion and integration of the processes, systems and mental models to link the organization to market and customer (Reid, 2005; Zahay *et al.*, 2004). Research confirms the positive impact of the IMC process on organizational outcomes at various strategic levels (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Kerr and Patti, 2013; Mulhern, 2009; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017). The message and channel consistency improve the results from marketing campaigns. The integration of market data provides more accurate information for the decision-making on customer value creation and facilitates reaching a more stable marketing position. Further integration of communications at the corporate level helps to attain the financial objectives. However, the studies explain that IMC performance outcomes may have a hierarchical level (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Porcu *et al.*, 2017; Reid, 2005; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017; Luxton *et al.*, 2015). In other words, the existence of additional complex connections between different levels of outcomes may neglect the direct IMC effect while keeping the indirect one. For example, customer data integration would not directly affect the company's market position. However, knowing customers better may help the company improve customer value (intermediate customer performance outcome). Higher customer satisfaction may cause more positive word-of-mouth (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a). It may help the company attract new customers and increase marketing outcomes (such as market share and growth). Moreover, the IMC process implementation would not improve the commercial ratios of the company. Even opposite integration may require additional investments. Also, managers may perceive

IMC as a negative for financial performance (Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). However, through intermediate customer performance impact and improved customer retention, the company can improve financial stability. Thus, the research suggests the following:

H1. Customer performance mediates the IMC effect on organizational performance.

H1a. Customer performance mediates the IMC effect on market performance.

H1b. Customer performance mediates the IMC effect on financial performance.

2.2 The firm size moderating effect

IMC implementation effectiveness may vary in firms of different sizes (Christensen *et al.*, 2008; Low, 2000; Reid, 2005; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Nowak and Phelps, 1994; Raju *et al.*, 2011). SMEs have fewer customers and less complex brand hierarchies than larger firms (Reid, 2005). It may facilitate message consistency and channel integration. Smaller companies may be more effective in IMC implementation due to lower levels of formalization and simplified organizational structures (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Christensen *et al.*, 2008; Low, 2000). Being more flexible, SMEs might perform cross-functional coordination and share information within the company easier. As a result, smaller firms can respond to market changes faster than larger rivals. But, from another side, lower formalization may negatively influence integration due to lower level of control (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Evatt *et al.*, 2005; Somohano-Rodrigues *et al.*, 2020). It may happen due to the decentralized managerial approach typical of less formalized organizations. Also, a lower level of technology adoption in SMEs may harm IMC (Ongori and Migiro, 2010). Tracking and keeping customer data organized can be easier with the implementation of specialized software. Additionally, in the case of more simple marketing activeness, smaller companies may less likely adopt processes consistent with IMC (Low, 2000; Reid, 2005). Being specifically sensitive to resource availability, SMEs can see the IMC process implementation as a cost that exceeds the benefit (Schreyögg and Kliesch-Eberl, 2007; Pantouvakis *et al.*, 2017). In other words, they might not be able to take full advantage of the IMC implementation due to the limited production and operating facilities. However, introducing unique products based on market information contributes to superior performance in SMEs (Avlonitis and Salavou, 2007; Raju *et al.*, 2011).

SMEs can build closer relationships with customers, get more accurate information from communications and improve customer value through personalization (Halimi *et al.*, 2011; Raju *et al.*, 2011; Somohano-Rodrigues *et al.*, 2020). They tend to compete in a narrow market niche, which could facilitate intelligence generation. As a result, SMEs can respond to changing demand and competitors' actions faster than larger rivals (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012). Consequentially, the effect of IMC on the market and financial performance through customer performance could be higher in smaller companies than in larger rivals. Thus, the study can state the following:

H2. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and organizational performance relationships is stronger in SMEs than in larger companies.

H2a. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and market performance relationships is stronger in SMEs than in larger companies.

H2b. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and financial performance relationships is stronger in SMEs than in larger companies.

2.3 SMEs in inter-country context

The IMC implementation in SMEs may vary in different economic types (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020). The research on IMC underlines the importance of market data for IMC implementation

(Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a; Šeric *et al.*, 2015). Access to information enhances the IMC as a capability. Companies operating in developed economies with a higher level of competition and more market activeness can implement IMC more successfully (Li and Liu, 2014). While developing economies lacking available customer and competitor data, neglect the IMC effectiveness (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b). In the case of SMEs, this may have even more negative consequences. Additionally, fewer communications and smaller customer databases limit the internal knowledge (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Low, 2000; Reid, 2005). It may happen, for example, because the company gets less feedback from customers. Fewer communications result in a lower effectiveness of marketing communications (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Trainor *et al.*, 2011). More specifically, with little information available, less up-to-date information about customer needs appears in the market. It slows down organizational learning and gaining experience (Shinkle *et al.*, 2013; Zhou and Li, 2010).

Specifically, the research shows lower impact of IMC on market performance in SMEs in developing compared to developed economies (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020). Due to lower competitive intensity in developing economies, SMEs could gain market share easier. However, the lack of institutional development and, as a result, fewer networking opportunities probably hurts the SMEs' ability (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Raju *et al.*, 2011; Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). So, even having chances, it is not that easy for SMEs to enter new markets and grow. Also, in developing economies, managers often prefer less risky decisions and choose priority products rather than process optimization (Jayaram *et al.*, 2015; Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). It may additionally neglect the possible effect of IMC through customer performance on financial results. Following on the information mentioned above, we suggest the following:

- H3. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and organizational performance relationships is stronger in developed than in developing economies.
- H3a. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and market performance relationships is stronger in developed than in developing economies.
- H3b. The customer performance mediation effect on the IMC and financial performance relationships is stronger in developed than in developing economies.

Following the literature review mentioned above, Figure 1 groups all the hypotheses proposed for the graphical visualization and further testing.

3. Method

3.1 Research design

The sample consists of the primary data from managers' surveys. To generalize the research results, it is based on the data from two data points: Belarus (developing economy) and Spain (developed economy) (Cadogan, 2010). The reasoning for the country selection was to make an inter-country comparison of the results between different economic types. The basis for the choice was the level of market activeness, competitive intensity and the national entrepreneurship context. For Spain as a developed economy, it is a more typical higher level of marketing activeness and competition. Belarus represents a developing economy with fewer marketing investments and a low-to-medium level of competition (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b). Also, the entrepreneurial context is more favorable in Spain than in Belarus (Bosma *et al.*, 2019; Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a).

3.2 Data collection

The data for the research were collected in the years, 2016 to 2017. The original questionnaire in English was translated into the Russian language (for Belarus) and Spanish (for Spain).




Figure 1.
Hypotheses detail

Before sending the survey, it has been pretested among theoretical and practical specialists. The questionnaire was distributed through email. A phone call to managers was made before sending the survey to obtain a higher response rate. All forms are guaranteed anonymously by the respondents. To avoid a bias in the sample, the respondents filled in the information on their age, gender, education. Also, the questionnaire included information about the company's profile (such as industry and business type, number of employees). Finally, based on the number of employees, this study classifies companies as SMEs (with 250 and fewer employees) and larger companies (with more than 250 employees). The final Sample is presented in [Table 1](#).

3.3 Measurement scales

The study uses the measurement scales previously approbated in the literature ([Appendix](#)). The 5-Likert scales are applied. The IMC concept is measured as a second-order construct consisting of five components: message consistency, channel consistency, customer data integration, long-term consistency and cross-functional coordination ([Butkouskaya et al., 2021b](#)). The customer, market and financial performance are estimated as first-order constructs ([Vorhies and Morgan, 2005](#)). Regarding the formative constructs, the data obtained in the study has no multicollinearity problems ([Table 2](#)).

3.4 Analytical procedure

The research uses partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) for data analysis and hypothesis testing ([Chin et al., 2003](#)). PLS-SEM is appropriate in our case for several reasons: it is suitable for data samples with a small number of respondents (we have less than 250 for each subgroup); it shows fewer restrictions on data normality; it is also an accepted technique for international marketing studies ([Chin, 2010](#); [Fornell and Bookstein, 1982](#); [Hair et al., 2019](#); [Reinartz et al., 2009](#)).

The data was processed using SmartPLS 3.0 software ([Hair et al., 2017, 2021](#)). The analysis confirms the global model fit based on the main recommended criterion such as the

Table 1.
Sample descriptive
statistics

Characteristics	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
<i>Respondent profile</i>					
Age	540	21	59	37.960	10.626
Gender	540	0	1	0.510	0.500
Education (1 = higher school, 2 = bachelor, 3 = master, 4 = doctoral degree)	540	1	4	2.150	0.608
<i>Company's profile</i>					
Industry (production = 1; retail = 2; service = 3)	540	1	3	1.750	1.097
Number of employees (SMEs = 1; Larger = 2)	540	1	2	1.370	0.517
Country (Belarus = 1; Spain = 2)	540	1	2	1.460	0.499
Note(s): SD. Standard deviation N = it referred to the number of responses					
Source(s): Own elaboration					

Table 2.
Estimation of the
measurement mode

	Loadings	α	CR	AVE
IMC=Integrated marketing communications		0.896	0.923	0.705
IMC1. Message consistency	0.794			
IMC2. Channel consistency	0.885			
IMC3. Customer data integration	0.847			
IMC 4. Long-term consistency	0.822			
IMC5. Cross-functional coordination	0.848			
CP=Customer performance		0.910	0.937	0.787
CP1. Customer satisfaction	0.847			
CP2. Delivering value to your customers	0.895			
CP3. Delivering what your customers want	0.927			
CP4. Retaining valued customers	0.877			
MP = Market performance		0.898	0.929	0.767
MP1. Market share growth relative to competitors	0.910			
MP2. Growth in sales revenue	0.852			
MP3. Acquiring new customers	0.904			
MP4. Increasing sales to existing customers	0.836			
FP=Financial performance		0.913	0.939	0.795
FP1. Company unit profitability	0.929			
FP2. Return on investment (ROI)	0.826			
FP3. Return on sales (ROS)	0.902			
FP4. Reaching financial goals	0.906			
Note(s): α = Cronbach's alpha, AVE = average variance extracted; CR = composite reliability				
Source(s): Own elaboration				

standardized root means squared residual (SRMR), the unweighted least squares discrepancy (duls) and the geodesic discrepancy (dg) (Dijkstra and Henseler, 2015). The PLS model examination consists of two main stages: first, the estimation of the measurement model and second, the evaluation of the structural model. The measurement model was estimated on the construct reliability and validity parameters and the discriminant validity (Henseler et al., 2016). The items in the measurement model fulfilled the required critical criteria: Cronbach's alphas are above 0.7, composite reliability (CR) values are greater than 0.7, average extracted variance (AVE) values are above 0.5 and the outer loadings are higher than 0.7 (Table 3). Then, the theoretical model is evaluated using the bootstrapping procedure (Hair et al., 2021). A three-step analysis of the measurement invariance of composite models (MICOM) by Henseler et al. (2016) was run as an essential procedure before multi-group analysis (MGA).

Further, using the SmartPLS3 algorithm, the indirect effects were calculated to check the mediation (Hair *et al.*, 2017, 2021).

4. Results

Table 4 presents the hypothesis testing results for the global data. The results confirm previous findings on the positive direct effect of IMC on customer performance (0.589; $p < 0.01$) and of customer performance on both markets (0.743; $p < 0.01$) and financial performance (0.802; $p < 0.01$). Also, IMC direct effects on market and financial performance are not significant (0.051; $p > 0.1$, and 0.012; $p < 0.1$). However, through customer performance, IMC has an indirect positive effect on both the market (0.438; $p < 0.01$) and financial performance (0.473; $p < 0.01$). Also, the total effects of IMC on the market and financial performance are significantly strong (0.489; $p < 0.01$, and 0.485; $p < 0.01$). It approves the existence of the full mediation effect of the customer performance on IMC outcomes. Thus, H1a and H1b are supported, and H1 is supported.

Opposite to the expectations, further MGA does not confirm any significant differences in IMC effect on the customer performance between SMEs and larger companies ($[df] = 0.057$; $p > 0.1$). However, the customer performance effect on the market and financial performance varies significantly in smaller and larger companies. Interestingly, the customer performance effect on the market performance is stronger in larger firms than in SMEs ($[df] = 0.350$; $p < 0.01$). While the impact of the customer performance on financial performance, on the opposite, is stronger in SMEs than in larger rivals ($[df] = 0.107$; $p < 0.01$).

Also, the customer performance mediation effect on IMC outcomes is different between SMEs and larger companies. Specifically, the direct effect of IMC on market performance is significantly different in various size companies. It is negative in larger companies but positive in SMEs ($[df] = 0.481$; $p > 0.01$). And even the indirect effect of IMC on market performance is stronger in larger compared to SMEs companies ($[df] = 0.169$; $p > 0.01$). But the total effect is stronger in SMEs compared to larger rivals ($[df] = 0.272$; $p > 0.01$). There are also some significant differences between smaller and larger firms in a customer performance impact on IMC and financial performance relationships. But in this case, the direct effect of IMC on financial performance is not significant in larger firms and negative in SMEs ($[df] = 0.127$; $p > 0.01$). The indirect effect of IMC on financial performance through IMC is stronger in SMEs compared to larger companies ($[df] = 0.113$; $p > 0.05$). However, there are no significant differences in the total effect of IMC on financial performance ($[df] = 0.014$; $p < 0.01$). Thus, H2a and H2b are supported, but H2 is rejected.

Additional MGA of the data from SMEs in inter-country context demonstrates significantly stronger impact of IMC on customer performance, and customer performance

	IMC	CP	MP	FP
IMC	0.840			
CP	0.589	0.887		
MP	0.489	0.773	0.876	
FP	0.485	0.709	0.731	0.892

Note(s): IMC = integrated marketing communications, CP = customer performance, MP = market performance, FP = financial performance. Mean, the average score for all items included in this measure, SD= standard deviation. Diagonal elements are the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) between the constructs and their measures. Off-diagonal elements are correlations between constructs. For discriminant validity, diagonal elements should be larger than off-diagonal

Source(s): Own elaboration

Table 3.
Correlation matrix and
the discriminant
validity

Table 4.
Evaluation of the
structural model

	Full sample		Large/SMEs		MGA	SMEs Belarus/SMEs Spain		MGA	
	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i> -value	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i> -value	[df]	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i> -value	[df]	<i>p</i>
IMC → CP	0.589***	21,529	0.576***	13.842/19.583	0.057	0.558***	12.079/30.994	0.274	0.000
CP → MP	0.743***	27,382	0.926***	27.922/11.803	0.350	0.570***	8.484/8.521	0.291	0.011
CP → FP	0.802***	39,137	0.796***	24.707/32.679	0.107	0.879***	26.601/12.554	0.067	0.350
Direct effect: MC → MP	0.051 ns	1754	-0.205***	4.903/5.429	0.441	0.179***	3.248/0.181	0.200	0.064
Indirect effect:						-0.021 ns			
IMC → CP → MP	0.438***	16,882	0.533***	10.338/10.304	0.169	0.318***	10.650/10.955	0.185	0.000
Total effect: IMC → MP	0.489***	15,271	0.328***	5.571/18.850	0.272	0.497***	11.761/12.579	0.199	0.002
Direct effect: IMC → FP	0.012 ns	0.411	-0.001 ns	0.011/3.452	0.127	-0.125***	2.794/0.181	0.137	0.004
Indirect effect:						0.011 ns			
IMC → CP → FP	0.473***	17,801	0.459***	11.863/15.791	0.113	0.490***	6.937/8.347	0.398	0.007
Total effect: MC → FP	0.485***	14,086	0.458***	8.396/9.502	0.014	0.365***	5.468/23.450	0.422	0.000
Note(s): IMC = integrated marketing communications, CP = customer performance, MP = market performance, FP = financial performance. <i>b</i> = path coefficients; df = path coefficient difference; ns = not significant; S = supported, R = rejected; SE = suppression effect, FM = full mediation, PM = partial mediation. <i>P</i> = <i>p</i> -Value; * = <i>p</i> < 0.01, ns = <i>p</i> > 0.1									
Source(s): Own elaborations									

on market performance in Spain compared to Belarus ($[df] = 0.113; p > 0.01$, and $[df] = 0.113; p > 0.01$). However, there are no significant differences between developed and developing economies in the case of the customer performance effect on financial performance ($[df] = 0.067; p < 0.01$).

The results of SMEs operating in developed and developing markets data analysis demonstrate the significant differences between Belarus and Spain. The direct effect of IMC on MP is positive in Belarus and not significant in Spain ($[df] = 0.200; p < 0.1$). Meanwhile both indirect effect of IMC on MP through customer performance and total effect of IMC on MP is stronger in Spain compared to Belarus ($[df] = 0.185; p < 0.01$, and $[df] = 0.199; p < 0.01$). In this case, customer performance partially mediates IMC–MP relationships in Belarus and full mediation in Spain. Also, the IMC effect on financial performance is negative in Belarus and not significant in Spain ($[df] = 0.137; p < 0.01$). In contrast, both the indirect effect of IMC on financial performance through customer performance and the total effect of IMC on financial performance is stronger in the developed economy compared to a developing one ($[df] = 0.398; p < 0.01$, $[df] = 0.422; p < 0.01$). In this case, customer performance has a suppression effect in developing economies and a full mediation effect in the developed ones. Thus, H3a and H3b are supported and H3 is supported.

5. Discussion

5.1 Theoretical implications

From the *theoretical perspective*, the results confirm previous findings on the positive effect of IMC on customer performance (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Kerr and Patti, 2013; Mulhern, 2009; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017). Also, the data analysis proves the positive effect of customer performance on the market and financial performance (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Porcu *et al.*, 2017; Reid, 2005; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017; Luxton *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, as previously explained, this study supports the customer performance mediation effect of the IMC, market and financial performance relationships (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a). However, IMC's indirect effect through customer performance confirms the hierarchical level of performance outcomes. Furthermore, the total IMC outcomes on the firm's performance are significant (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b; Porcu *et al.*, 2017; Reid, 2005; Tafesse and Kitchen, 2017; Luxton *et al.*, 2015).

Additionally, the analysis of the company size moderation effect demonstrates the existence of significant differences in IMC outcomes between SMEs and larger firms (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Christensen *et al.*, 2008; Low, 2000; Reid, 2005; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Nowak and Phelps, 1994; Raju *et al.*, 2011). In particular, the research results reject the suggestion about the higher impact of IMC on customer performance in SMEs than in larger firms. So, contrary to the expectations, not SMEs but larger companies implement customer performance to enhance market performance more effectively. Nevertheless, in the case of the customer performance effect on financial performance, SMEs are more successful than larger firms. Moreover, there are significant differences in company size moderation effect on customer performance mediation. The mediating role of the customer performance in IMC and market performance relationships will be stronger in larger firms. In IMC and financial performance, relationships will be stronger in SMEs. Specifically, in SMEs, customer performance has a full mediation effect and eliminates the direct positive impact of IMC on market performance. In larger firms, customer performance has a suppression effect and compensates the direct negative influence of IMC on market performance, turning it positive (MacKinnon *et al.*, 2019). Opposite, in the case of IMC and financial performance relationships, the customer performance has a full mediation effect and eliminates the IMC direct effect on financial performance in larger firms. In SMEs, customer performance has a suppression effect. Customer performance changes the negative impact of IMC on financial

performance into a positive one. Interestingly, the total impact of IMC on market performance is significantly stronger in SMEs than larger rivals. Also, interestingly, there are no significant differences between SMEs and larger firms in the total IMC effect on financial performance.

Finally, the inter-country analysis of the SMEs' data confirms previous findings on the stronger IMC effect on the customer performance in SMEs operating in developed than developing economies (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Li and Liu, 2014; Trainor *et al.*, 2011). Also, as suggested, in SMEs, the customer performance effect on market performance is stronger in developed than in developing economies (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020). However, there is no significant difference in customer performance effect on financial performance between developed and developing markets. Also, as suggested, variations exist in SMEs' customer performance mediation effects in an inter-country context (Cadogan, 2010; Scott, 2008). The customer performance mediation of the relationships between IMC and organizational performance (market and financial) is stronger in developed compared to developing economies. Specifically, in SMEs in developed markets, customer performance partially reduces the direct positive effect of IMC on market performance, while in developing economies, customer performance reduces it entirely. While, in SMEs in developing economies, customer performance has a suppression effect and compensates for the direct negative influence of IMC on financial performance. The positive customer evaluation turns a negative IMC effect into a positive one (MacKinnon *et al.*, 2019). Also, the total impact of IMC on the market and financial performance is positive and significantly stronger in developed economies.

5.2 Managerial implications

This research supports the *practical perspective* that message consistency, customer data integration and cross-functional coordination positively influence customer satisfaction and value creation. Also, companies that pay more attention to customer satisfaction may increase their market share, growth and financial ratios. Nevertheless, customer evaluation of the company's activities may neglect the positive impact of IMC on the market and financial performance. Even though, by integration of market communications, firms may gain better market position and financial results. However, this effect will have a hierarchical nature and only be possible through customer performance. It additionally demonstrates the importance of customer performance in IMC implementation.

However, the IMC implementation effectiveness towards performance outcomes varies in firms of various sizes. Probably, there is a different nature of these variations. In the case of the IMC impact on customer performance, SMEs may gain over larger rivals because of higher flexibility, lower formalization and more simple integration due to fewer number communications (Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012; Christensen *et al.*, 2008; Low, 2000; Reid, 2005). However, larger firms are compensating in IMC effectiveness towards gaining customer satisfaction due to higher-level resource availability, greater technology adoption, more control and centralized decisions (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Evatt *et al.*, 2005; Ongori and Migiroy, 2010; Somohano-Rodrigues *et al.*, 2020; Schreyögg and Kliesch-Eberl, 2007; Pantouvakis *et al.*, 2017). As a result, neither smaller nor bigger firms gain a distinctive advantage. Interestingly, SMEs lose over larger rivals to transfer customer satisfaction into higher market share and growth. Even being aware of the opportunities, SMEs do not have enough resources to react to market changes quickly. Also, when implementing a new market idea, SMEs are more vulnerable to imitation from larger rivals who dispose of more resources (Avlonitis and Salavou, 2007; Raju *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, curiously, opposite, SMEs are gaining the ability to transfer customer satisfaction towards improving financial outcomes. It may happen since, in smaller companies, the cost of IMC

process implementation can be lower than in larger ones. Also, in SMEs, any minor change in financial outcomes would be more visible in overall numbers than in larger companies.

Additionally, the results confirm that customer performance plays a significant role in IMC outcomes both in SMEs and larger companies. Specifically, the IMC process implementation, together with the ability to build closer relationships with customers through unique and personalized offers, can be a distinct competitive advantage for smaller firms aiming to gain market position (Aragón-Sánchez and Sánchez-Marín, 2005; Einwiller and Boenigk, 2012). Nevertheless, SMEs cannot gain over larger rivals in IMC towards enhancing financial results. Communication integration and cross-functional coordination require extra resources that are limited in SMEs. Thus, IMC implementation may hurt the company's financial results (Schreyögg and Kliesch-Eberl, 2007; Pantouvakis *et al.*, 2017). However, by improving customer satisfaction and value through personalization and unique offer, smaller companies can compensate for the investments needed and even see a good financial outcome. In other words, even not being able to gain in financial outcomes, the final benefit of IMC may exceed the implementation costs.

Also, the inter-country context changes the ability of SMEs to implement IMC effectively. In the developing economy, the IMC-related decision-making process is more complicated due to lower market activeness and less market information available (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2020; Li and Liu, 2014; Šeric *et al.*, 2015). It negatively affects the IMC's effectiveness towards improving customer satisfaction and creating value for customers. Moreover, SMEs in developing markets are less effective in gaining market share. However, SMEs in both developed and developing economies would have the same effect on financial ratio outcomes. The possible reason might be the lack of institutional development and networking opportunities in developing markets (Raju *et al.*, 2011; Shinkle *et al.*, 2013). Partnership with other SMEs in similar or supporting industries helps smaller firms add more uniqueness in their personalized offer and target a higher number of new customers. Also, the role of customer performance for IMC outcomes varies in inter-context. It additionally confirms that market information and networking are essential for the SME's success. Also, SMEs in developing economies with lower access to financial resources are more vulnerable than SMEs in developing markets (Bosma *et al.*, 2019; Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021a; Jayaram *et al.*, 2015). Finally, less entrepreneurship support in developing markets creates barriers for the SME's success and survival.

5.3 Future research agenda

As with any research, this article has some limitations which may stimulate *further research lines*. It just focuses on the analysis of three performance outcomes (customer, market and financial). Further, studies can create a more complex model of IMC-performance relationships. The study only checks on the customer performance mediating role. However, Butkouskaya *et al.* (2021b) also suggested the possible mediating effect of market performance in IMC outcomes. The sample data includes respondents from different industries. A separate analysis could be applied, for example, for the service industry where customer evaluation plays a vital role. Also, the company size effect can be evaluated and compared between micro, small, medium and large companies. Other external moderators can be added to the analysis, such as entrepreneurship policies and networking opportunities. Finally, for further results' generalization, the data from more countries can be compared.

6. Conclusions

This article provides both theoretical contributions and practical implementations. Following the research objective, this paper empirically confirms the vital role of customer performance

in IMC effectiveness for market and financial performance. The lack of direct IMC outcomes proves the customer-centric nature of the IMC concept. Meanwhile, the IMC indirect effect on firms' results through customer performance confirms the hierarchical nature of IMC outcomes.

Also, it is pioneering research that applies the firm's size moderating effect in IMC outcomes analysis. The results close the gap on possible variations in IMC implementation effectiveness in firms of various sizes. It confirms the variation of the customer performance effect on IMC outcomes. The role of customer satisfaction is more vital in larger than in smaller companies in case of IMC impact on market performance. However, on the opposite, the customer performance effect is more potent in SMEs in the case of IMC and financial performance relationships. However, in the total IMC effect, SMEs can still gain an advantage over larger rivals. Applying IMC with a strong customer focus, SMEs can gain over larger rivals in market position and financial outcomes.

Moreover, the study contributes to the entrepreneurship and international marketing literature by investigating the variations of IMC outcomes in SMEs in an inter-country context. The results underline that SMEs in the developed economy are more effective in IMC than in developing ones. However, interestingly, the customer performance role in IMC-market performance relationships is more potent in developing economies. Meanwhile, the customer performance mediation effect on IMC-financial performance is more substantial in developing economies.

From the practical perspective, the study underlines that IMC implementation should be applied with a strong emphasis on customer performance management. Customer satisfaction may neglect the IMC effect in enhancing market position and financial results. Also, IMC effectiveness has a hierarchical nature, and through customer performance, the IMC has an indirect impact on firms' outcomes such as market share, growth and financial ratios. Also, the benefits of IMC implementations for the firms' outcomes vary in SMEs and larger companies. Larger companies having more resources can react faster to the increase in demand. Thus, from IMC implementations, larger companies would grow in the market share more than smaller ones. However, smaller companies would have more visible results from IMC implementation for the financial outcomes as the cost of IMC implementation for SMEs would be lower. Overall, SMEs with a strong focus on customer satisfaction, value and relationships can implement IMC as a distinctive advantage over larger rivals. However, the SMEs' capability to implement IMC will vary depending on the institutional environment. In developing markets, SMEs are less effective in channel and message integration due to less market information available, lacking resources and networking opportunities. It can be a point of reference for government organizations in developing markets. Facilitating networking and providing SMEs with extra information resources may help their decision-making and market position growth. Also, additional financial support may reduce the level of risk-avoidance. More support for SMEs may help their sustainable development. It is an important issue considering the critical role of SMEs in the overall economy and social wellbeing.

References

- Aragón-Sánchez, A. and Sánchez-Marín, G. (2005), "Strategic orientation, management characteristics, and performance: a study of Spanish SMEs", *Journal of Small Business Management*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp. 287-308, doi: [10.1111/j.1540-627X.2005.00138.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2005.00138.x).
- Avlonitis, G. and Salavou, H. (2007), "Entrepreneurial orientation of SMEs, product innovativeness, and performance", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 60 No. 5, pp. 566-575, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.01.001).

- Bosma, N.S., Schott, T., Terjesen, S.A. and Kew, P. (2019), "Special topic report. Social entrepreneurship", *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM)*, available at: <http://www.gem-spain.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/gem-2015-special-report-on-social-entrepreneurship.pdf> (accessed 28 September 2021).
- Butkouskaya, V., Llonch-Andreu, J. and Alarcón-del-Amo, M.D.C. (2020), "Entrepreneurial orientation (EO), integrated marketing communications (IMC), and performance in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): gender gap and inter-country context", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12 No. 17, p. 7159, doi: [10.3390/su12177159](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177159).
- Butkouskaya, V., Llonch-Andreu, J. and Alarcón-del-Amo, M.D.C. (2021a), "Inter-country customer-perspective analysis of strategic antecedents and consequences for post-purchase behaviour in Integrated Marketing Communications (IMC)", *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 1, pp. 68-83, doi: [10.1080/08961530.2020.1731901](https://doi.org/10.1080/08961530.2020.1731901).
- Butkouskaya, V., Llonch-Andreu, J. and Alarcón-Del-Amo, M.D.C. (2021b), "Strategic antecedents and organisational consequences of IMC in different economy types", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 115-136, doi: [10.1080/13527266.2019.1633551](https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2019.1633551).
- Cadogan, J. (2010), "Comparative, cross-cultural, and cross-national research: a comment on good and bad practice", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 27 No. 6, pp. 601-605, doi: [10.1108/0265133101108824](https://doi.org/10.1108/0265133101108824).
- Chin, W. (2010), "How to write up and report PLS analyses", *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 655-690.
- Chin, W.W., Marcolin, B.L. and Newsted, P.R. (2003), "A partial least squares latent variable modeling approach for measuring interaction effects: results from a Monte Carlo simulation study and an electronic-mail emotion/adoption study", *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 189-217, doi: [10.1287/isre.14.2.189.16018](https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.14.2.189.16018).
- Christensen, L.T., Firat, A.F. and Torp, S. (2008), "The organisation of integrated communications: toward flexible integration", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 42 Nos 3-4, pp. 423-452, doi: [10.1108/03090560810853002](https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560810853002).
- Dijkstra, T.K. and Henseler, J. (2015), "Consistent partial least squares path modelling", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 39 No. 2, pp. 297-316, doi: [10.25300/MISQ/2015/39.2.02](https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2015/39.2.02).
- Einwiller, S.A. and Boenigk, M. (2012), "Examining the link between integrated communication management and communication effectiveness in medium-sized enterprises", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 335-361, doi: [10.1080/13527266.2010.530055](https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2010.530055).
- Evatt, D.S., Ruiz, C. and Triplett, J.F. (2005), *Thinking big, staying small: Communication practices of small organizations*. San Francisco: IABC Research Foundation.
- Finne, Å., Finne, Å., Grönroos, C. and Grönroos, C. (2017), "Communication-in-Use: customer-integrated marketing communication", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 51 No. 3, pp. 445-463, doi: [10.1108/EJM-08-2015-0553](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-08-2015-0553).
- Fornell, C. and Bookstein, F.L. (1982), "Two structural equation models: LISREL and PLS applied to consumer exit-voice theory", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 19, pp. 440-452, doi: [10.2307/3151718](https://doi.org/10.2307/3151718).
- Hair, Jr, Hollingsworth, C.L., Randolph, A.B. and Chong, A.Y.L. (2017), "An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research", *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 117, pp. 442-458, doi: [10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-04-2016-0130).
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM", *European Business Review*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24, doi: [10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203](https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203).
- Hair, J.F. Jr, Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2021), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Thousand Oaks, SAGE Publications. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-2).
- Halimi, A.B., Chavosh, A. and Choshaly, S.H. (2011), "The influence of relationship marketing tactics on customer's loyalty in B2C relationship—the role of communication and personalization", *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, No. 31, pp. 49-56.

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2016), "Testing measurement invariance of composites using partial least squares", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 33, pp. 405-431, doi: [10.1108/IMR-09-2014-0304](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-09-2014-0304).
- Jayaram, D., Manrai, A.K. and Manrai, L.A. (2015), "Effective use of marketing technology in Eastern Europe: web analytics, social media, customer analytics, digital campaigns and mobile applications", *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, Vol. 20 No. 39, pp. 118-132, doi: [10.1016/j.jefas.2015.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jefas.2015.07.001).
- Kerr, G. and Patti, C. (2013), "Strategic IMC: from abstract concept to marketing management tool", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 317-339, doi: [10.1080/13527266.2013.786748](https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2013.786748).
- Kliatchko, J. (2008), "Revisiting the IMC construct: a revised definition and four pillars", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 133-160, doi: [10.1080/02650487.2008.11073043](https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2008.11073043).
- Kliatchko, J.G. and Schultz, D.E. (2014), "Twenty years of IMC: a study of CEO and CMO perspectives in the Asia-Pacific region", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 373-390, doi: [10.2501/IJA-33-2-373-390](https://doi.org/10.2501/IJA-33-2-373-390).
- Li, D.Y. and Liu, J. (2014), "Dynamic capabilities, environmental dynamism, and competitive advantage: evidence from China", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 67 No. 1, pp. 2793-2799, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.08.007).
- Low, G.S. (2000), "Correlates of integrated marketing communications", *Journal of Advertising Research*, World Advertising Research Center, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 27-39, doi: [10.2501/JAR-40-3-27-39](https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-40-3-27-39).
- Luxton, S., Reid, M. and Mavondo, F. (2015), "Integrated marketing communication capability and brand performance", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 37-46, doi: [10.1080/00913367.2014.934938](https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2014.934938).
- MacKinnon, D.P., Valente, M.J. and Gonzalez, O. (2019), "The Correspondence Between Causal and Traditional Mediation Analysis: the Link Is the Mediator by Treatment Interaction", *Prevention Science* 2019 21:2, Springer, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 147-157, doi: [10.1007/S11121-019-01076-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/S11121-019-01076-4).
- Mulhern, F. (2009), "Integrated Marketing Communications: from media channels to digital connectivity", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 15 Nos 2-3, pp. 85-101, doi: [10.1080/13527260902757506](https://doi.org/10.1080/13527260902757506).
- Nowak, G.J. and Phelps, J. (1994), "Conceptualizing the integrated marketing communications' phenomenon: an examination of its impact on advertising practices and its implications for advertising research", *Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertising*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 49-66, doi: [10.1080/10641734.1994.10505012](https://doi.org/10.1080/10641734.1994.10505012).
- Ongori, H. and Migiro, S.O. (2010), "Information and communication technologies adoption in SMEs: literature review", *Journal of Chinese Entrepreneurship*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 93-104, doi: [10.1108/17561391011019041](https://doi.org/10.1108/17561391011019041).
- Pantouvakis, A., Vlachos, I. and Zervopoulos, P.D. (2017), "Market orientation for sustainable performance and the inverted-U moderation of firm size: evidence from the Greek shipping industry", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, No. 165, pp. 705-720, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.135](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.135).
- Porcu, L., Del Barrio-Garcia, S. and Kitchen, P.J. (2017), "Measuring Integrated Marketing Communication by taking a broad organisational approach: the firm-wide IMC scale", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 51 No. 3, pp. 692-718, doi: [10.1108/EJM-08-2015-0587](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-08-2015-0587).
- Raju, P.S., Lonial, S.C. and Crum, M.D. (2011), "Market orientation in the context of SMEs: a conceptual framework", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 64 No. 12, pp. 1320-1326, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2010.12.002).
- Reid, M. (2005), "Performance auditing of integrated marketing communication (IMC) actions and outcomes", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 34 No. 4, pp. 41-54, doi: [10.1080/00913367.2005.10639208](https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639208).
- Reinartz, W., Haenlein, M. and Henseler, J. (2009), "An empirical comparison of the efficacy of covariance-based and variance-based SEM", *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 26 No. 4, pp. 332-344, doi: [10.1016/j.ijresmar.2009.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2009.08.001).

-
- Salcedo, N.U. (2021), "Editorial", *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, Vol. 26 No. 51, pp. 2-6, doi: [10.1108/JEFAS-06-2021-271](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEFAS-06-2021-271).
- Schreyogg, G. and Kliesch-Eberl, M. (2007), "How dynamic can organizational capabilities be? Towards a dual-process model of capability dynamization", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 28, pp. 913-933, doi: [10.1002/smj.613](https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.613).
- Scott, W.R. (2008), "Approaching adulthood: the maturing of institutional theory", *Theory and Society*, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 427-442.
- Šeric, M., Gil-Saura, I. and Ozretić-Došen, D. (2015), "Insights on integrated marketing communications: implementation and impact in hotel companies", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 27 No. 5, pp. 958-979.
- Shinkle, G.A., Kriauciunas, A.P. and Hundley, G. (2013), "Why pure strategies may be wrong for transition economy firms", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 10, pp. 1244-1254, doi: [10.1002/smj.2013.34.issue-10](https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2013.34.issue-10).
- Somohano-Rodríguez, F.M., Madrid-Guijarro, A. and López-Fernández, J.M. (2020), "Does Industry 4.0 really matter for SME innovation?", *Journal of Small Business Management*, pp. 1-28, doi: [10.1080/00472778.2020.1780728](https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2020.1780728).
- Tafesse, W. and Kitchen, P.J. (2017), "IMC—an integrative review", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 210-226, doi: [10.1080/02650487.2015.1114168](https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2015.1114168).
- Trainor, K.J., Rapp, A., Beitelspacher, L.S. and Schillewaert, N. (2011), "Integrating information technology and marketing: an examination of the drivers and outcomes of e-marketing capability", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 162-174, doi: [10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.05.001).
- Vorhies, D.W. and Morgan, N.A. (2005), "Benchmarking marketing capabilities for sustainable competitive advantage", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 69 No. 1, pp. 80-94, doi: [10.1509/jmkg.69.1.80.55505](https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.69.1.80.55505).
- Zahay, D., Peltier, J., Schultz, D.E. and Griffin, A. (2004), "The role of transactional versus relational data in IMC programs: bringing customer data together", *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 3-18, doi: [10.1017/S0021849904040188](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021849904040188).
- Zhou, K.Z. and Li, C.B. (2010), "How strategic orientations influence the building of dynamic capability in emerging economies", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 63 No. 3, pp. 224-231, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.03.003).

IMC (integrated marketing communications) (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b)

IMC1. Message consistency

1. Our company carefully examines whether our intended message is consistently delivered through all communications tools and channels (e.g. advertising, publicity, packaging, direct mail, POP display, banner and website)
2. Our company maintains consistency in all visual components of communication (e.g. trademarks, logos, models and color)
3. Our company maintains consistency in all linguistic components of communication (e.g. slogans and mottos)
4. Ensuring a consistent brand image is one of the most important goals of our marketing communications program
5. Our company does not alter the brand image, even as its context changes, but maintains its consistency from the long-term perspective

IMC2. Channel consistency

6. Our marketing communications strategy differentiates the buyer and the user, if the two are not the same
7. Our company carefully deliberates whether creating more than two target customer groups is desirable
8. The issue of whether to maintain a single brand image or to create multiple brand images of the product is thoroughly discussed in our company
9. Our marketing communications strategy is based on a scrutiny of the stages of the customers' buying process such as brand awareness, information search, showroom visit and purchase
10. Our company employs the marketing communications tools that are most appropriate for each stage of the consumers' buying process

IMC3. Customer data integration

11. Our marketing communications activities are designed to induce customer's actions (e.g. telephone order, phone inquiry, showroom visit)
12. Our company follows up on consumer responses to our marketing communications activities (e.g. mailing fliers and/or coupons to those who participated in the company-sponsored events and made a phone inquiry after seeing our advertisements)
13. Our company sees to it that the consumer information that is generated while marketing communications activities is complied
14. Our company integrates customer information collected or generated from different divisions into a unified database

IMC 4. Long-term consistency

15. Our company actively carries out marketing communications activities, which strengthen the relationship with existing customers (e.g. running a customer consultation office, sending birthday cards)
16. Our company emphasizes that maintaining and strengthening relationships with existing customers is as important as expanding the market share by recruiting new customers
17. Our marketing communications strategy places heavy emphasis on generating continuous business from our existing customers by enhancing their satisfaction level
18. Our company makes efforts to generate a continuous flow of profits from individual customers in the long run by solidifying relationships with them

IMC5. Cross-functional coordination

19. In our company, managers from different departments communicate with each other
20. In our company, we create long term communications with both internal and external stakeholders (consumers, partners, employees and others)
21. In our company, different marketing communications tools for one product are planned by the same manager
22. Our company creates corporate brand equity, company identity and reputation of the organization

Table A1.
Measurement scales

(continued)

Table A1.

IMC (integrated marketing communications) (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021b)

Customer performance (CP) (Vorhies and Morgan, 2005)

CP1. Customer satisfaction

CP2. Delivering value to your customers

CP3. Delivering what your customers want

CP4. Retaining valued customers

Market performance (MP) (Vorhies and Morgan, 2005)

MP1. Market share growth relative to competitors

MP2. Growth in sales revenue

MP3. Acquiring new customers

MP4. Increasing sales to existing customers

Financial performance (FP) (Vorhies and Morgan, 2005)

FP1. Company unit profitability

FP2. Return on investment (ROI)

FP3. Return on sales (ROS)

FP4. Reaching financial goals

About the authors

Vera Butkouskaya is an Associate Professor of Marketing at the HSE Graduate School of Business (Russia). She holds an international PhD in Entrepreneurship and Management and MSc in Economy and Marketing. She is an author and/or co-author of various papers in both national and international academic journals. Her areas of specialization are strategic management, integrated marketing communications (IMC), cross-cultural marketing and customer behavior. She is an author and co-author of different journals, such as *Journal of Marketing communications*, *Journal of International Consumer Behavior*, *Sustainability* about marketing communications, entrepreneurship and international marketing Springer and Emerald editorials. Vera Butkouskaya is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: vbutkouskaya@hse.ru

Joan Llonch-Andreu is an Associate Professor of Marketing in the Business Department of the Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain. He holds a PhD in Economics and Business Administration and an MBA from IMD in Lausanne (Switzerland). He is an author and/or co-author of various books, chapters, and papers in both national and international academic journals, such as the *European Management Journal*, the *International Journal of Market Research*, *Higher Education*, the *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, the *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, the *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, the *Spanish Journal of Marketing*, and the *International Journal of Educational Management*, among others. His research interests are strategic marketing, international marketing, marketing communications, and marketing on the Internet. He also serves as an ad hoc reviewer for different academic journals.

María-del-Carmen Alarcón-del-Amo is an Assistant Professor of Marketing at the University of Murcia, Spain. Her main research line is focused on the analysis of online consumer behavior in the context of social networking sites, electronic commerce, and Web 2.0 and the utilization of social media by small and medium enterprises, born global enterprises, and social enterprises. She is an author and/or co-author of different journals, such as *Cyberpsychology*, *Behavior and Social Networking*, the *International Journal of Market Research*, *Higher Education*, *Current Issues on Tourism*, and *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, among others, and several book chapters about social media and management in Springer and Emerald editorial. Due to her research work, she has received several awards: the CajaMurcia research award, the Junior AEDEMO (Spanish Association of Market Research, Marketing and Opinion) Award, and the Second QUANDO Young Research Award for her Doctoral Dissertation.

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com