

# A broader perspective on cryptocurrency trading: consumer-driven value, online communities and heuristics are drivers for consumer behaviour

Paul McGivern

*School of Psychology and Therapeutic Studies, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK*

Broader  
perspective on  
cryptocurrency  
trading

137

Received 5 May 2023  
Revised 26 August 2023  
Accepted 26 August 2023

## Abstract

**Purpose** – This review aims to provide an overview of research from different academic disciplines to chart some of the key developments in retail cryptocurrency trading against the backdrop of the wider trading landscape, and how it has evolved in recent years. The purpose of this review is to provide researchers with a broad perspective to highlight the complex range of factors that drive cryptocurrency trading among retail investors.

**Design/methodology/approach** – Peer-reviewed literature from the social sciences, economics, marketing and branding disciplines is synthesised to explicate influential factors among retail cryptocurrency investors.

**Findings** – Online retail trading communities can create narratives that ascribe value to cryptocurrencies leading to consumer herding behaviours. The principles that underpin emotional branding and Fear of Missing Out can promote trading behaviour driven by heuristic processing and cognitive biases. Concurrently, the tenets of controversial marketing and the anti-establishment nature of Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies serve to bolster in-group out-group categorisations fostering continued investment and market volatility. Consequently, Bitcoin and cryptocurrency trading more broadly offer a powerful combination of excitement from risk-taking akin to gambling buffered by the sanctity of social inclusion.

**Originality/value** – A broader, unique perspective on retail cryptocurrency trading which assists in better understanding the complexities that underpin its appeal to retail investors.

**Keywords** Cryptocurrency, Bitcoin, Branding, Online communities, Heuristics, Herd behaviour, Gambling, Marketing

**Paper type** General review

## Introduction: cryptocurrency and money

Money is ubiquitous, and everyone's subjective experiences contribute to their own value system and mental model of it. When we use money, we apply our own unique formulas and methods to justify those decisions. Such reasoning is based on a plethora of past experiences, current knowledge and personal goals (Housel, 2020). Money has a definitive nominal value but differing subjective values, thus highlighting its complexity (Raghubir, 2006). Consequently, everyone has their own unique strategies, predictions and justifications, regarding investments, and there is no single factor (i.e. knowledge, education, status, wealth and intelligence) that makes “good” or “bad” investors (Housel, 2020). This is compounded by our propensity for low-effort decision-making (Kahneman, 2011) and cognitive biases that

© Paul McGivern. Published in *Journal of Money and Business*. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

No conflicts of interest to disclose.



Journal of Money and Business  
Vol. 3 No. 2, 2023  
pp. 137-146  
Emerald Publishing Limited  
e-ISSN: 2634-260X  
p-ISSN: 2634-2596  
DOI 10.1108/JMB-05-2023-0018

warp our value judgements (Collins, 2017; Hayley, 2014; Louie *et al.*, 2000). A wealth of literature shows that we are not rational thinkers when it comes to money (Kahneman, 2011), and this is before perceptions are further confused by media and the esoteric world of cryptocurrency (Bajaj *et al.*, 2022). While cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin can be used as alternative currencies, they are mainly invested in as hypothetical assets (Ryu and Ko, 2019). Cryptocurrency trading is therefore the focus of this article, which explains how the media and online communities can promote herding behaviours, which lead to irrational trading driven by a combination of heuristic processing, Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) and increased risk-taking.

### Rise of investment apps and surge in cryptocurrency trading

The surging interest in cryptocurrency could not have occurred without the equally rapid expansion of investment apps (Schulp, 2021). Online trading and cryptocurrency platforms such as EToro, Trading212 and Coinbase allow millions of people access to the global stock market with all the spoils of modern media (Tinn, 2021). It is important to acknowledge the wider pool of markets (e.g. commodities, stocks, Exchange-Traded Funds [ETFs] and indices) that cryptocurrencies reside within to appreciate the expanding diversity of the investor population. For example, ETFs have enabled people to invest smaller amounts of money into stocks that effectively mirror a range of funds and enterprises including the Financial Times Stock Exchange (FTSE) 100 and the Standard and Poor's (S&P) 500 (Deville, 2008). Moreover, trading platforms allow consumers to use leverage (effectively borrowing money to enhance a trading position), which can result in greater losses if prices decrease (D'Hondt *et al.*, 2021). Traders can also hold short-sell positions (selling shares in the hope of buying them back at a lower price for profit), a much riskier strategy than buying/long positions (Schultz, 2020). This is because short-selling trades have no limit to which the share price could increase, thus resulting in potentially unaffordable debts, particularly if such trades are made using leverage. Put simply, retail traders now have complete access to the stock market and can trade using a spectrum of strategies, including Contracts for Difference (CFDs), whereby traders speculate on market movement rather than owning underlying assets. Platforms such as EToro transparently report that most people who trade in CFDs lose money. The figure fluctuates at approximately 70% and is updated in real time. This provides evidence for two key changes in trading attitudes: Firstly, traders are less interested in holding the assets they invest in and are more interested in the potential financial gain; secondly, it emphasises an increase in trading akin gambling behaviour (Newall and Weiss-Cohen, 2022).

This short-term trading behaviour is different to traditional long-term investment strategies. Recent occurrences such as the GameStop saga (discussed below) evidence the changing attitudes in online trading and how the Internet has enabled the formation of communities which has promoted retail trading (Schulp, 2021). Day traders are increasingly gravitating to trading platforms (Lo *et al.*, 2005) and cryptocurrency is at the heart (Delfabbro *et al.*, 2021). Cryptocurrencies are digital "coins" where transactions are monitored and verified by a decentralised system, as opposed to central institutions such as banks or governments (Khaki *et al.*, 2023). Bitcoin, the first cryptocurrency, was developed in 2008 (Chuen, 2015). At the time of writing, there are over 21,000 cryptocurrencies (Hicks and Powell, 2023), and while Bitcoin remains the most influential, it illustrates the rapid growth in the sector. Unlike stocks, cryptocurrencies are liquid markets that can be traded in 24-h a day. They are among the most volatile (McCoy and Rahimi, 2020; Jalal *et al.*, 2021) with large fluctuations occurring daily, making them attractive to short-term traders.

As a financial concept, Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies offer secure transactions, greater financial independence and greater transactional freedom (Rueckert, 2019). However, in trading terms, the "cryptocraze" (Pejic, 2019) has led many to question whether or not

trading in cryptocurrency is a form of gambling (see [Mills and Nower, 2019](#)). While there is a gambling element to trading insofar as (like any gambling wager), the future value of any cryptocurrency is unknown, the key difference depends on how the trader's money has been budgeted. Time is the potential protective factor in trading, which is not a factor in a gambling wager. Once gambling wagers are placed, the money is tied to a specific outcome. Long-term investors, however, can weather market volatility and cash-out investments when it suits them. Short-term investors can apply the same strategy, but only if their available funds do not have a time factor attached to them. If funds are only available to investors for a limited period (i.e. investors need to make a profit within a fixed time period as those funds are required elsewhere) then trading can become a form of gambling. The caveat to both these methods of trading is that investments may collapse completely so the element of risk is always present. This of course applies to all trading activity but is particularly relevant to cryptocurrencies given that they are less stable and more volatile than traditional stock investments ([McCoy and Rahimi, 2020](#)).

Casinos exchange cash for chips to dissociate and reduce the subjective value of cash ([Lapuz and Griffiths, 2010](#)), thus making it easier to gamble. It is the same reason why we spend more money using card payments ([Runnemark et al., 2015](#)); we feel the tangible value of cash ([Schull, 2005](#)). It is unsurprising then, that like the surge in popularity of online gambling ([Parke and Parke, 2019](#)) we have also seen an increase in people using digital trading platforms, particularly, for cryptocurrency trading. Moreover, platforms such as EToro have live social media feeds and promote "CopyTrader" facilities for retail traders to mirror others' portfolios in the hope of piggybacking successes. Like gambling, "picking a winner" provides the opportunity for one to boast of their powers of insight ([Thaler and William, 1988](#)) and the implications for profitable trades are the same. Traders are keen to promote their successes online ([Collins, 2017](#)), which further promotes activity and contributes to market volatility ([Mai et al., 2018](#)). Increased accessibility has led to more people using online trading environments with limited knowledge ([Sas and Khairuddin, 2017](#); [Seneviratne, 2022](#)). Moreover, cryptocurrency is a particularly complex concept, which even fewer fully understand ([Singh and Singh, 2018](#)). Despite this lack of understanding, people are still willing to invest in cryptocurrency, in part because of herding behaviours ([Ballis and Drakos, 2020](#); [King and Koutmos, 2021](#)). As a result, many retail traders gravitate to online social communities for advice or an indication of what their next trade should be ([Bouri et al., 2019](#)).

### Social drivers of cryptocurrency trading

The creator of Bitcoin, Satoshi Nakamoto (a pseudonym) is still unknown, and its origins remain rooted in mystery ([Lemieux, 2013](#)). Cryptocurrencies have no discernible brand identity and their decentralised and intangible nature coupled with limited organisational information makes them difficult to understand ([Boukis, 2022](#)). The media's relationship with cryptocurrency has been one that has been fuelled by scandal and secrecy ([Coulter, 2022](#)) matched only by the industry itself. The recent FTX scandal exemplifies the paradoxical roles of trust and media reporting surrounding this industry ([Kerr et al., 2023](#)). Such instances call two issues into question. Firstly, the need to improve regulation, transparency, policymaking and roles and responsibilities surrounding cryptocurrencies ([Conlon et al., 2022](#)). Secondly, to re-evaluate the responsibilities of the media who contribute to perceptions of cryptocurrencies in the public eye. In the first instance, improving regulatory processes is a more practical process, and the necessity to do so is expected in pioneering enterprises where policy often needs to be created or updated to align with emerging innovations. However, the role of the media on public perceptions is much more nuanced and difficult to manage. The media are a key driving force behind narrative control and therefore must take some responsibility when reporting on products such as cryptocurrency that are often nebulous

business models shrouded in misunderstanding and complicated jargon (Fröhlich *et al.*, 2021). Regarding cryptocurrencies, the role of traditional media outlets is different to that of social media and online communities such that traditional outlets are generally *reactive* to cryptocurrency events, while online communities are generally *proactive* cryptocurrency drivers (Breibach and Tana, 2021).

Online communities enable people to share information, which serves to bridge the gap between understanding and investment. Platforms such as Reddit have attracted thousands of retail traders where cryptocurrency information is exchanged daily (Wooley *et al.*, 2019). Previous psychological research surrounding the development of online relationships (e.g. dating, chatrooms) has shown how relations form rapidly due to factors such as ease of self-disclosure, shared interests and reduced traditional gating factors (McKenna *et al.*, 2002). In-group out-group psychology explains a notable proportion of behaviour in such domains. This was particularly evident in the “David and Goliath” (Schulp, 2021, p. 511) GameStop saga where online retail traders positioned corporate investors as the out-group. Traders formed online communities to execute a short squeeze resulting in a rapid share price increase. Corporate investment companies holding large, short-sell positions were forced to cover their positions thus pushing the share price up further. This event demonstrates the collective power of online communities (Schulp, 2021) and exemplifies the growing retail trading landscape. While information from traditional media outlets can weaken self-control in Bitcoin investment (Ryu and Ko, 2019), acknowledging the role of online communities in cryptocurrency trading is equally, if not more important. A seed of an idea grows quickly in online forums, which can result in a surge of targeted behaviour often including cryptocurrency retail investors who know little about what they are investing in but are simply moving with the herd (Banerji *et al.*, 2021). Mimicking behaviour is a facet of in-group behaviour where people are more likely to trust others’ views and feel positively about in-group members; factors which are evident in retail cryptocurrency trading (Papadamou *et al.*, 2021). Such behaviours are also propelled by FOMO (Fink, 2021), which can alter behavioural biases (Collins, 2017) and promote trading behaviour (Argan *et al.*, 2022). Put simply, risk-taking is partly driven by herding behaviours and any subsequent losses are tempered by their collective nature (Housel, 2020). This is evident in the social areas of trading platforms and highlights the frivolous nature of many people trading in cryptocurrencies.

The volatility of cryptocurrency markets mirrors the attitudes of many who are attracted to such products. Like any product, effective branding is a key component of successful marketing. Branding psychology is bidirectional between consumer and vendor (Schmitt, 2012). For vendors, branding is a way to package, visualise and promote a company’s ethos and culture (Muzellec and Lambkin, 2009). For consumers, brands represent a way of expressing their own identity (Cătălin and Andreea, 2014). We feel connected to the brands we associate with, and to the values and principles they stand for. This is prevalent among socially aware younger generations who are careful about the brands that they associate with – a demographic where cryptocurrencies are popular (Fink, 2021). Indeed, one trader quoted “It looks like, eventually, all these altcoin brands could be part of your cyber identity. What cryptos do you pay with? (. . .) It’s going to be an expression, whether it’s an overt or an anonymous expression, of who you are and what you stand for” (Boukis, 2022, p. 248). In an online world where many people are promoting their own beliefs, values and politics, there is a heightened awareness that the brands one chooses to associate with are connected to one’s own profile. Such mindfulness paradoxically diminishes brand loyalty, such that many people (generations Y and Z in particular) are more likely to move to other brands or products if they align more closely with their changing values and needs (Fink, 2021). This combination of attitudes means that groups of people can gravitate quickly both towards and away from cryptocurrencies, which contributes to and reflects the volatile nature of cryptocurrency trading. However, if cryptocurrencies do not use traditional (e.g. name, logo

---

and value) marketing techniques (Dakroub *et al.*, 2021) and generally have no discernible brand (Boukis, 2022), how are they branded and marketed?

### Consumer-driven value, storytelling and controversiality

Changes in consumer attitudes and increased competition have forced corporations to move away from supply and demand strategies and a need to connect with consumers on an emotional level (Gobe, 2010). Consumer-driven branding and marketing have become a key facet of organisational success, with consumers harnessing power via online reviews and recommendations, which can enhance trust and value in products (Utz *et al.*, 2012). The aforementioned importance of a consumer connection with brands is particularly important regarding intangible products such as Bitcoin (Van Alstyne, 2014) and cryptocurrencies, more broadly, as it emphasises the role of emotional branding in cryptocurrency trading. Emotional branding appeals to consumers' hearts rather than minds (Kim and Sullivan, 2019) attempting to relate to customers' dreams, aspirations and egos (Acharya, 2018) rather than trigger rational thought. From a cognitive perspective, this promotes heuristic processing whereby decision-making is triggered by information or (often flawed) rules or generalities that require little cognitive effort (Kahneman, 2011). Celebrity endorsements are a good example here (Knoll and Matthes, 2017), something that Bitcoin has enjoyed. Put simply, we are more likely to act favourably towards products that are supported by celebrities we already endorse. This resonates with the principles of evaluative conditioning used in associative branding techniques, which leverages Human Associative Memory (HAM) (Hütter and Sweldens, 2013). In such instances, perceived potential value can be transferred to lesser-known coins based on one's current held value of another (e.g. Bitcoin). While the aforesaid factors associated with gambling are contributors to cryptocurrency trading behaviours, this value transference also parsimoniously explains trading among lesser-known coins. Furthermore, Ryu and Ko (2019) showed that speculative Bitcoin investments were largely unplanned and based on automatic processes grounded in low impulse control. The potential risks of such investments were overshadowed by the potential high rewards, thus aligning with the high-risk, high-reward investment strategies akin to emotional rather than rationale thinking.

Moreover, such demographics are likely to be overconfident, rely on heuristic processing and engage in herding behaviours (Talwar *et al.*, 2021). It is unsurprising then, that there is an emerging demographic of short-term, profit-focused risk-taking cryptocurrency retail traders. Behaviour of this nature is predominantly based on emotion rather than reason and while emotional branding is not an overt strategy used by cryptocurrency providers, the emotions, and subsequent behaviours that providers depend on for a significant proportion of business are evident in consumer behaviour. Perceptions of value are ascribed by traders themselves and perpetuated in social spheres (Dallyn, 2017). Storytelling is therefore central to generating interest in cryptocurrencies and is integral to the communities' ownership of such decentralised assets (Helal and de Marco Costa, 2022). Storytelling promotes the formation of narratives and possibilities resulting in closer emotional bonds (Kemp *et al.*, 2021) and high levels of anticipation around a particular coin, which is propagated in online communities (Yogarajah, 2022). This does not mean that such narratives are not authentic but illustrates the consumer-driven nature of trading behaviours, despite the controversial background of cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin.

Controversiality fits neatly with cryptocurrency's reputation in the public eye and when coupled with consumer-driven narratives forms a powerful consumer response. Rather than contest it, controversy is embraced. For example, Bitcoin is still regarded as the "King" of cryptocurrencies (Boukis, 2022) though its historical links to Silk Road and the Dark Web are well-documented (Musiani *et al.*, 2018). At face value, this may appear off-putting to traders.

However, when coupled with Bitcoin's anti-establishment and anti-government roots (Blaazer, 2020), such controversies can serve to build rather than diminish trust. It is unsurprising that swathes of politically engaged yet disenfranchised younger generations (Volpe *et al.*, 2015) are drawn to such organisations who feel they can no longer trust government authorities or financial institutions (Pontefract, 2018). The potential threat of cryptocurrencies to centralised monetary systems is reflected by government discussions surrounding regulation (Oh and Nguyen, 2018). Such occurrences serve to further emphasise the aforementioned in-group and out-group perspectives that create clearer divides between those in positions of power and authority and retail traders. Controversy is harnessed positively to garner increasing retail trader interest and support. The global crash in 2008 impacted millions of people and revealed the failures of government and central banks (Cowton *et al.*, 2019). In many ways, the coinciding emergence of Bitcoin represented a return to the Gold Standard and cryptocurrencies more broadly, an attempt to bring the concept of money full circle. Like gold, Bitcoins are finite, take increasing amounts of time to mine, cannot be counterfeited and are not subject to arbitrary change by governments (Gilder, 2016). While this may or may not be in the minds of cryptocurrency traders, anti-government positions of cryptocurrency post-recession may serve to further strengthen retail traders' intentions due to distrust regarding the distribution of fiat money (Othman *et al.*, 2020).

### Conclusion

Ultimately, the viability and utility of cryptocurrencies remain unknown. However, the long-term unknown is overshadowed by the short-term volatility of cryptocurrency markets, and the subsequent feelings and emotions derived from trading in them. This review shows how cryptocurrency trading perceptions and behaviours mirror the ambiguity of the world itself insofar as being based on heuristics and emotions rather than clear, rational thought. Consumer behaviours can be driven by curiosity fostered by online community movements. The abstruse nature of cryptocurrencies can drive consumer-created narratives that propel investments based on FOMO, pleasure and escapism. The risk of such investments forms an attractive cocktail of emotions, with feelings of excitement and anticipation tensioned by the immediate comfort and solidarity of moving with the herd. The liminal state of such investments retains a sense of exhilaration that is cushioned by a broader sense of inclusion. Given this, it is unsurprising that cryptocurrency trading has become as popular as it has and continues to attract new retail traders.

### References

- Acharya, M. (2018), "The emotional branding process", in Ruchi, R., Chhikara, K.P., Kumar, T. and Kataria, A. (Eds), *Driving Customer Appeal through the Use of Emotional Branding*, IGI Global, Pennsylvania, pp. 195-208.
- Argan, M., Altundal, V. and Tokay Argan, M. (2022), "What is the role of FoMO in individual investment behavior? The relationship among FoMO, involvement, engagement, and satisfaction", *Journal of East-West Business*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 1-28, doi: [10.1080/10669868.2022.2141941](https://doi.org/10.1080/10669868.2022.2141941).
- Bajaj, K., Gochhait, S., Sangeeta, P., Dalwai, T. and Mercia, J. (2022), "Risks and regulation of cryptocurrency during pandemic: a systematic literature review", *WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development*, Vol. 18, pp. 642-652, doi: [10.37394/232015.2022.18.61](https://doi.org/10.37394/232015.2022.18.61).
- Ballis, A. and Drakos, K. (2020), "Testing for herding in the cryptocurrency market", *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 33, 101210, doi: [10.1016/j.frl.2019.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.06.008).
- Banerji, J., Kundu, K. and Alam, P.A. (2021), "The bitcoin bubble: insights of herd behaviour", *Journal of Money, Banking and Finance*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 111-135.

- Blaazer, D. (2020), "Bitcoin in the Longue Durée: money, the state and cryptocurrency", *Australian Humanities Review*, Vol. 66, pp. 196-203.
- Boukis, A. (2022), "Exploring the sources of consumer-based brand equity in the cryptocurrency market", *Review of Marketing Science*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 233-255.
- Bouri, E., Gupta, R. and Roubaud, D. (2019), "Herding behaviour in cryptocurrencies", *Finance Research Letters*, Vol. 29, pp. 216-221.
- Breidbach, C.F. and Tana, S. (2021), "Betting on Bitcoin: how social collectives shape cryptocurrency markets", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 122, pp. 311-320, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.017).
- Cătălin, M.C. and Andreea, P. (2014), "Brands as a mean of consumer self-expression and desired personal lifestyle", *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 109, pp. 103-107.
- Chuen, D.L.K. (2015), (Ed.), *Handbook of Digital Currency: Bitcoin, Innovation, Financial Instruments, and Big Data*, Academic Press, Elsevier. doi: [10.1016/C2014-0-01905-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/C2014-0-01905-3).
- Collins, D. (2017), "Loss aversion bias or fear of missing out: a behavioural economics analysis of compensation in investor–state dispute settlement", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 460-482, doi: [10.1093/jnlids/idw024](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idw024).
- Conlon, T., Corbet, S. and Hu, Y. (2022), "The collapse of FTX: the end of cryptocurrency's age of innocence", SSRN. doi: [10.2139/ssrn.4283333](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4283333).
- Coulter, K.A. (2022), "The impact of news media on Bitcoin prices: modelling data driven discourses in the crypto-economy with natural language processing", *Royal Society Open Science*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 220-276.
- Cowton, C., Dempsey, J. and Sorell, T. (2019), *Business Ethics after the Global Financial Crisis: Lessons from the Crash*, Routledge, New York, doi: [10.4324/9780429447839](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429447839).
- Dakroub, R., Koles, B. and Issa, H. (2021), "Crypto consumers' personality traits, and the impact of brand personality on cryptocurrencies' identity", *Journal of Customer Behaviour*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp. 243-273.
- Dallyn, S. (2017), "Cryptocurrencies as market singularities: the strange case of Bitcoin", *Journal of Cultural Economy*, Vol. 10 No. 5, pp. 462-473.
- Delfabbro, P., King, D.L. and Williams, J. (2021), "The psychology of cryptocurrency trading: risk and protective factors", *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 201-207, doi: [10.1556/2006.2021.00037](https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2021.00037).
- Derville, L. (2008), "Exchange traded funds: history, trading, and research", in Zopounidis, C., Doumpos, M. and Pardalos, P.M. (Eds), *Handbook of Financial Engineering, Springer Optimization and its Applications*, Springer, Boston, MA, Vol. 18, doi: [10.1007/978-0-387-76682-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-76682-9_4).
- D'Hondt, C., McGowan, R. and Roger, P. (2021), "Trading leveraged Exchange-Traded products is hazardous to your wealth", *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 80, pp. 287-302.
- Fink, C. (2021), "Why millennials gravitate to new brands in online investing", *Journal of Brand Strategy*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 401-407.
- Fröhlich, M., Wagenhaus, M.R., Schmidt, A. and Alt, F. (2021), "Don't stop me now! Exploring challenges of first-time cryptocurrency users", *presented at Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2021*, pp. 138-148.
- Gilder, G. (2016), *The 21st Century Case for Gold: A New Information Theory of Money*, Blackstone Audio, Incorporated.
- Gobe, M. (2010), *Emotional Branding: The New Paradigm for Connecting Brands to People*, Allworth Press, New York.
- Hayley, S. (2014), "Hindsight effects in dollar-weighted returns", *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, Vol. 49 No. 1, pp. 249-269, doi: [10.1017/S0022109014000155](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109014000155).

- Helal, A.E. and de Marco Costa, T. (2022), "Branding in the Metaverse: exploring established brand management perspectives and the advent of decentralized branding on Web 3.0", Thesis, Lund University, May 2022.
- Hicks, C. and Powell, F. (2023), "Different types of cryptocurrencies", *Forbes*, July, available at: [https://www.forbes.com/uk/advisor/investing/cryptocurrency/different-types-of-cryptocurrencies/#how\\_many\\_cryptocurrencies\\_are\\_there](https://www.forbes.com/uk/advisor/investing/cryptocurrency/different-types-of-cryptocurrencies/#how_many_cryptocurrencies_are_there)
- Housel, M. (2020), *The Psychology of Money: Timeless Lessons on Wealth, Greed, and Happiness*, Harriman House, Hampshire.
- Hütter, M. and Sweldens, S. (2013), "On the automatic effects of advertising: the uncontrollability of evaluative conditioning effects", *Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 41, pp. 66-70.
- Jalal, R. N.-U.-D., Alon, I. and Paltrinieri, A. (2021), "A bibliometric review of cryptocurrencies as a financial asset", *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 1-16, doi: [10.1080/09537325.2021.1939001](https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2021.1939001).
- Kahneman, D. (2011), *Thinking, Fast and Slow*, Penguin Books, London.
- Kemp, E., Porter, M. III, Anaza, N.A. and Min, D.-J. (2021), "The impact of storytelling in creating firm and customer connections in online environments", *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 104-124, doi: [10.1108/JRIM-06-2020-0136](https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-06-2020-0136).
- Kerr, D.S., Loveland, K.A., Smith, K.T. and Smith, L.M. (2023), "Cryptocurrency risks, fraud cases, and financial performance", *Risks*, Vol. 11 No. 51, pp. 1-15, doi: [10.3390/risks11030051](https://doi.org/10.3390/risks11030051).
- Khaki, A., Prasad, M., Al-Mohamad, S., Bakry, W. and Vo, X.V. (2023), "Re-evaluating portfolio diversification and design using cryptocurrencies: are decentralized cryptocurrencies enough?", *Research in International Business and Finance*, Vol. 64, 101823, doi: [10.1016/j.ribaf.2022.101823](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2022.101823).
- Kim, Y.K. and Sullivan, P. (2019), "Emotional branding speaks to consumers' heart: the case of fashion brands", *Fashion and Textiles*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 1-16.
- King, T. and Koutmos, D. (2021), "Herd and feedback trading in cryptocurrency markets", *Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 300 No. 1, pp. 79-96.
- Knoll, J. and Matthes, J. (2017), "The effectiveness of celebrity endorsements: a meta-analysis", *J. of the Acad. Mark. Sci.*, Vol. 45, pp. 55-75, doi: [10.1007/s11747-016-0503-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-016-0503-8).
- Lapuz, J. and Griffiths, M.D. (2010), "The role of chips in poker gambling: an empirical pilot study", *Journal of the National Association for Gambling Studies*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 34-39.
- Lemieux, P. (2013), "Who is Satoshi Nakamoto?", *Regulation*, Vol. 36 No. 3, pp. 14-16.
- Lo, A.W., Repin, D.V. and Steenbarger, B.N. (2005), "Fear and greed in financial markets: a clinical study of day-traders", *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 95 No. 2, pp. 352-359, doi: [10.1257/000282805774670095](https://doi.org/10.1257/000282805774670095).
- Louie, T.A., Curren, M.T. and Harich, K.R. (2000), "I knew we would win": hindsight bias for favorable and unfavorable team decision outcomes", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 85 No. 2, pp. 264-272, doi: [10.1037/0021-9010.85.2.264](https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.85.2.264).
- Mai, F., Shan, Z., Bai, Q., Wang, X. and Chiang, R.H.L. (2018), "How does social media impact bitcoin value? A test of the silent majority hypothesis", *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 19-52, doi: [10.1080/07421222.2018.1440774](https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2018.1440774).
- McCoy, M. and Rahimi, S. (2020), "Prediction of highly volatile cryptocurrency prices using social media", *International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications*, Vol. 19 No. 4, 2050025.
- McKenna, K.Y., Green, A.S. and Gleason, M.E. (2002), "Relationship formation on the Internet: what's the big attraction?", *Journal of Social Issues*, Vol. 58 No. 1, pp. 9-31.
- Mills, D.J. and Nower, L. (2019), "Preliminary findings on cryptocurrency trading among regular gamblers: a new risk for problem gambling?", *Addictive Behaviors*, Vol. 92, pp. 136-140.

- Musiani, F., Mallard, A. and Méadel, C. (2018), "Governing what wasn't meant to be governed: a controversy-based approach to the study of Bitcoin governance", in Campbell-Verduyn, M. (Ed.), *Bitcoin and beyond*, Routledge, London.
- Muzellec, L. and Lambkin, M.C. (2009), "Corporate branding and brand architecture: a conceptual framework", *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 39-54.
- Newall, P.W.S. and Weiss-Cohen, L. (2022), "The gamblification of investing: how a new generation of investors is being born to lose", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol. 19 No. 9, pp. 1-10, doi: [10.3390/ijerph19095391](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095391).
- Oh, J.H. and Nguyen, K. (2018), "The growing role of cryptocurrency: what does it mean for central banks and governments", *International Telecommunications Policy Review*, Vol. 251, pp. 33-55.
- Othman, A.H.A., Alhabshi, S.M., Kassim, S., Abdullah, A. and Haron, R. (2020), "The impact of monetary systems on income inequity and wealth distribution: a case study of cryptocurrencies, fiat money and gold standard", *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, Vol. 15 No. 6, pp. 1161-1183, doi: [10.1108/IJOEM-06-2019-0473](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-06-2019-0473).
- Papadamou, S., Kyriazis, N.A., Tzeremes, P. and Corbet, S. (2021), "Herding behaviour and price convergence clubs in cryptocurrencies during bull and bear markets", *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, Vol. 30, 100469, doi: [10.1016/j.jbef.2021.100469](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2021.100469).
- Parke, A. and Parke, J. (2019), "Transformation of sports betting into a rapid and continuous gambling activity: a grounded theoretical investigation of problem sports betting in online settings", *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, Vol. 17, pp. 1340-1359, doi: [10.1007/s11469-018-0049-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-018-0049-8).
- Pejic, I. (2019), *Blockchain Babel: The Crypto Craze and the Challenge to Business*, Kogan Page Publishers, London.
- Pontefract, D. (2018), "Millennials and Gen Z have lost trust and loyalty with Business", *Forbes Magazine*, 18 June, available at: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/danpontefract/2018/06/03/millennials-and-gen-z-have-lost-trust-and-loyalty-with-business/?sh=19bb9c866145>.
- Raghubir, P. (2006), "An information processing review of the subjective value of money and prices", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 59 Nos 10-11, pp. 1053-1062, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2006.09.013).
- Rueckert, C. (2019), "Cryptocurrencies and fundamental rights", *Journal of Cybersecurity*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 1-12, doi: [10.1093/cybsec/tyz004](https://doi.org/10.1093/cybsec/tyz004).
- Runnemark, E., Hedman, J. and Xiao, X. (2015), "Do consumers pay more using debit cards than cash?", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 285-291.
- Ryu, H.-S. and Ko, K.S. (2019), "Understanding speculative investment behavior in the Bitcoin context from a dual-systems perspective", *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 119 No. 7, pp. 1431-1456, doi: [10.1108/IMDS-10-2018-0441](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2018-0441).
- Sas, C. and Khairuddin, I.E. (2017), "Design for trust: an exploration of the challenges and opportunities of bitcoin users", *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, May, pp. 6499-6510.
- Schmitt, B. (2012), "The consumer psychology of brands", *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 7-17.
- Schull, N.D. (2005), "Digital gambling: the coincidence of desire and design", *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 597, pp. 65-81, doi: [10.1177/0002716204270435](https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716204270435).
- Schulp, J.J. (2021), "Gamestop and the rise of retail trading", *The Cato Journal*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 511-527, doi: [10.36009/CJ.41.3.3](https://doi.org/10.36009/CJ.41.3.3).
- Schultz, P. (2020), "What makes short selling risky: other short sellers", SSRN. doi: [10.2139/ssrn.3715524](https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3715524).
- Seneviratne, O. (2022), "Blockchain for social good: combating misinformation on the Web with AI and blockchain", *14th ACM Web Science Conference 2022*, pp. 435-442.

- 
- Singh, A.K. and Singh, K.V. (2018), "Cryptocurrency in India—its effect and future on economy with special reference to bitcoin", *International Journal of Research in Economics and Social Sciences*, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 115-126.
- Talwar, S., Talwar, M., Tarjanne, V. and Dhir, A. (2021), "Why retail investors traded equity during the pandemic? An application of artificial neural networks to examine behavioral biases", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 38 No. 11, pp. 2142-2163.
- Thaler, R.H. and William, T.Z. (1988), "Anomalies: parimutuel betting markets: racetracks and lotteries", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 161-174, doi: [10.1257/jep.2.2.161](https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.2.2.161).
- Tinn, K. (2021), "Everyone is a stock trader now: retail investors and Covid-191", *Covid Economics*, Vol. 83 No. 2, pp. 88-108.
- Utz, S., Kerkhof, P. and Van Den Bos, J. (2012), "Consumers rule: how consumer reviews influence perceived trustworthiness of online stores", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 49-58.
- Van Alstyne, M. (2014), "Why Bitcoin has value", *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 57 No. 5, pp. 30-32.
- Volpe, J.D., Robo, E. and Perez, E. (2015), *Survey of Young Americans' Attitudes toward Politics and Public Service*, 27th ed., Harvard Public Opinion, Harvard, Massachusetts, available at: [https://iop.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/content/190419\\_Harvard%20IOP%20Spring%202019\\_Topline.pdf](https://iop.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/content/190419_Harvard%20IOP%20Spring%202019_Topline.pdf)
- Wooley, S., Edmonds, A., Bagavathi, A. and Krishnan, S. (2019), "Extracting cryptocurrency price movements from the reddit network sentiment", *8th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA)*, pp. 500-505.
- Yogarajah, Y. (2022), "Hodling'on: memetic storytelling and digital folklore within a cryptocurrency world", *Economy and Society*, Vol. 51 No. 3, pp. 467-488.

**Corresponding author**

Paul McGivern can be contacted at: [p.mcgivern@leedstrinity.ac.uk](mailto:p.mcgivern@leedstrinity.ac.uk)

---

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

[www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm](http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm)

Or contact us for further details: [permissions@emeraldinsight.com](mailto:permissions@emeraldinsight.com)