

Reputation and eWOM in accommodation decision-making: insights from Generation Z users

Javier Perez-Aranda, Denis Tolkach and Jenny H. Panchal

Abstract

Purpose – This study aims to explore the relationship between Generation Z (or Gen Z) consumers' decision-making styles and electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) use in the tourism sector. Drawing on the consumer style inventory (CSI) model and the theory of reasoned action (TRA), the research examines how specific decision-making styles influence Gen Z's propensity to use eWOM recommendations for accommodation choices.

Design/methodology/approach – The study uses structural equation modelling to analyse data collected from 296 Gen Z users of Booking.com. The CSI model is adapted to the analysed context and attributes – impulsive, recreational, sustainable, fashion-conscious and perfectionist attitudes – are examined to determine their impact on eWOM use intention and actual eWOM use.

Findings – Three of the hypothesised relationships in the model were validated. Specifically, the results suggest that the attitudes of sustainable and perfectionist consumers influence the intention to use eWOM. Furthermore, use intention is positively associated with the actual use of eWOM.

Practical implications – For marketers and tourism businesses, understanding the decision-making styles of Gen Z can inform the development of targeted marketing strategies that emphasise quality and sustainability. Highlighting these aspects in online reviews and eWOM platforms can enhance engagement with Gen Z consumers.

Originality/value – This research advances the understanding of eWOM behaviour by integrating CSI and TRA theories in the context of Gen Z's tourism decision-making. It provides empirical evidence on the significant role of perfectionist and sustainable attitudes in shaping eWOM intentions, contributing to the literature on consumer behaviour and digital marketing in tourism.

Keywords eWOM, Consumer-style inventory, Generation Z, eWOM use, Consumer decision-making

Paper type Research paper

(Information about the authors can be found at the end of this article.)



住宿决策中的声誉和电子口碑：基于Z世代的研究

摘要

研究目的：本研究探讨了Z世代旅游消费者的决策风格与电子口碑（eWOM）使用之间的关系。研究借鉴了消费者风格量表（CSI）和理性行动理论（TRA），探讨特定的决策风格如何影响Z世代在住宿消费对电子口碑的使用倾向。

研究方法：本研究采用结构方程模型（SEM）分析来自296名缤客旅行网（Booking.com）Z世代用户数据。CSI模型的冲动型、娱乐型、可持续型、时尚意识型和完美主义态度等属性被用于检验消费者风格对网络口碑使用意向和实际网络口碑使用的影响。

研究发现：模型中的三个假设关系得到了验证。研究结果表明，完美主义和可持续态度对Z世代参与网络口碑推荐的意向有显著影响。此外，研究还证实，使用网络口碑的意愿会对实际使用网络口碑产生积极影响。

原创性：本研究结合CSI和TRA理论，以Z世代旅游决策为背景，加深了对网络口碑行为的理论建构，实证检验了完美主义和可持续态度在形成网络口碑意愿方面的重要作用，为有关消费者行为和旅游数字营销的相关研究做出了贡献。

现实意义：对于营销人员和旅游企业来说，了解Z世代的决策风格有助于制定质量优先和可持续性优先等有针对性的营销战略，由此可以提高Z世代消费者在评论和口碑平台的参与度。

关键词 网络口碑，消费者风格，Z世代，网络口碑使用，消费者决策
文章类型 研究型论文

Received 11 March 2024

Revised 23 May 2024

12 July 2024

Accepted 31 July 2024

© Javier Perez-Aranda, Denis Tolkach and Jenny H. Panchal. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

Ayuda Plan Propio Universidad de Málaga. Número: B3-2022_08.

Resumen

Propósito: Este estudio explora la relación entre los estilos de toma de decisiones de los consumidores de la Generación Z (o Gen Z) y el uso del boca a boca electrónico (eWOM, por sus siglas en inglés) en el sector turístico. Basándose en el modelo de Inventario de Estilos del Consumidor (CSI) y la Teoría de la Acción Razonada (TRA), la investigación examina cómo los estilos específicos de toma de decisiones influyen en la propensión de la Generación Z a utilizar recomendaciones en forma de eWOM para la elección de alojamiento.

Metodología: El estudio emplea el modelado de ecuaciones estructurales (SEM) para analizar los datos recopilados de 296 usuarios de Booking.com de la Generación Z. El modelo CSI se adapta al contexto analizado y se examinan atributos—actitudes impulsivas, recreativas, sostenibles, conscientes de la moda y perfeccionistas—para determinar su impacto en la intención de uso del eWOM y el uso real del eWOM.

Resultados: Tres de las relaciones hipotetizadas en el modelo fueron validadas. Los hallazgos revelan que las actitudes perfeccionistas y sostenibles influyen significativamente en la intención de la Generación Z de involucrarse con las recomendaciones de eWOM. Además, el estudio confirma que la intención de usar eWOM influye positivamente en el uso del eWOM.

Originalidad: Esta investigación avanza en la comprensión del comportamiento de eWOM al integrar las teorías CSI y TRA en el contexto de la toma de decisiones turísticas de la Generación Z. Proporciona evidencia empírica sobre el papel significativo de las actitudes perfeccionistas y sostenibles en la formación de las intenciones de eWOM, contribuyendo a la literatura sobre comportamiento del consumidor y al marketing digital en turismo.

Implicaciones prácticas: Para los especialistas en marketing y las empresas turísticas, comprender los estilos de toma de decisiones de la Generación Z puede informar el desarrollo de estrategias de marketing dirigidas que enfatizan la calidad y la sostenibilidad. Resaltar estos aspectos en las reseñas en línea y las plataformas de eWOM puede aumentar el compromiso con los consumidores de la Generación Z.

Palabras clave eWOM, Inventario de estilos del consumidor, Generación Z, Uso del eWOM, Toma de decisiones del consumidor

Tipo de papel Trabajo de investigación

1. Introduction

Defined as the transmission of consumer opinions and experiences through digital sites, electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) is an essential source of authentic and timely guidance for consumers (Mariani and Borghi, 2023) and plays a pivotal role in influencing consumer behaviour in the tourism sector (Mariani and Borghi, 2023). As a generation of digital natives (Stillman and Stillman, 2017), and the first generation born into a digital world (Seyfi *et al.*, 2023a), Generation Z (Gen Z) consumers (those born between the late 1990s and late 2000s) have the greatest propensity to use the Web to make purchases and reservations, share experiences via social networks and use instant messaging and chat applications, which has led to their greater likelihood of leaving online reviews than other generations (Monaco, 2018). Consequently, Gen Z consumers rely heavily on digital sites and online recommendations (PWC, 2023). Moreover, the distinct consumption characteristics of the Gen Z market and the burgeoning number of these consumers caused an undeniable shift in consumer behaviour towards more sustainable practices (Seyfi *et al.*, 2023b). Valentine and Powers (2013), for example, observed that Gen Z's greater connectivity with sustainable and ethical consumerism influences their tourism choices to express their identity.

The literature on consumer behaviour is rich with studies about consumer decision-making. Hanzaee (2011) suggests that consumer typology, psychographics/lifestyle and consumer characteristics are the most common approaches in characterising consumer decision-making styles. Sproles and Kendall's (1986) consumer style inventory (CSI) model conceptualises consumer decision-making styles and is pivotal in understanding the Gen Z–eWOM nexus. The model assumes that consumers use different strategies when making purchase decisions. According to Sproles and Kendall (1986), consumers exhibit various decision-making styles when making purchases, including impulsive shoppers, perfectionists and quality-focused shoppers, novelty-fashion consumers, recreational shoppers, hedonistic

shoppers, brand-conscious consumers, price-conscious shoppers, individuals overwhelmed by choice and habitual, brand-loyal shoppers. Each of these styles will be defined further in the literature review section.

The CSI model is believed to be a powerful instrument for characterising a consumer's propensity to make specific shopping decisions and predicting consumer choice or purchasing behaviour. Thus, the authors believe that the CSI may also be effective in predicting use intention and eventual use of eWOM among Gen Z.

However, despite the recognition of social media as a critical technology capable of making a disruptive impact on individuals, organisations and society (Inversini, 2024), the intersection of individual decision-making styles with the use of eWOM remains a relatively unexplored area of research, particularly among Gen Z consumers (Sarkar *et al.*, 2023). Drawing from the theory of reasoned action (TRA), which posits that individuals' intentions are shaped by their attitudes and subjective norms (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1980), the objective of this study is to explore the relationship between Gen Z's consumer decision-making styles via the CSI model and their intention to engage with eWOM recommendations in the tourism context. Through the application of structural equation modelling (SEM), this research seeks to fill this gap by investigating how specific decision-making styles influence Gen Z consumers' propensity to engage with eWOM in the tourism sector.

This study contributes to the literature by advancing our understanding of both the CSI and TRA theories in the context of eWOM behaviour. The study's findings provide empirical evidence of the significant influence of decision-making styles, such as sustainability and perfectionism, on Gen Z consumers' intention to engage with eWOM recommendations. Moreover, this research underscores the critical role of behavioural intentions in shaping eWOM engagement behaviours. Thus, the findings of this study have implications for businesses and marketers seeking to tailor their marketing strategies to effectively target and engage with Gen Z consumers in the digital age.

2. Literature review and hypothesis development

2.1 *The role of eWOM in decision-making*

eWOM is highly influential in the travel decision-making process, with up to 90% of consumers considering eWOM prior to the final purchase decision (Rita *et al.*, 2022). eWOM in tourism manifests itself through a variety of online platforms that provide online reviews. Booking.com is amongst the most popular platforms, with a database of approximately 180 million verified reviews (Mellinas and Martin-Fuentes, 2021). TripAdvisor is another highly popular platform, with an average of 463 million visits per month (Rita *et al.*, 2022). Scholars have used various terms to describe such eWOM platforms. Tourism review sites is the term we use in this study.

eWOM in tourism is influential at different stages of the decision-making process, particularly during search, evaluation and purchase. eWOM assists travellers in searching for information and supports their motivation to travel. Accommodation reviews are of particular importance at this stage. The content of reviews, both positive and negative, affects potential visitors' evaluation of holiday purchases as consumers search for discrepancies between official information and other consumers' experiences. Some consumers also use online reviews post-purchase to gain further information about the destination and to keep up-to-date on the experiences of most recent travellers (Chen *et al.*, 2015).

Over the years, eWOM-related studies, including those focused on social media sites, have offered novel perspectives, used a variety of theories (Pourfakhimi *et al.*, 2020) and provided conceptual and practical applications. For example, Pourjahanshahi *et al.* (2023), who analysed website quality, users' attitudes, co-creation experiences and eWOM, suggested eWOM's versatility as a data source for exploring different aspects of image and

reputation, service quality and co-creation experiences. Furthermore, the mediating role of eWOM between the marketing mix and choice decisions has been recently recognised (Al-Dmour *et al.*, 2024). Similarly, eWOM has been confirmed as a decision-making guide for restaurant goers (Esparza-Huamanchumo *et al.*, 2024).

With sustainability's growing importance in recent years (Buhalis *et al.*, 2023), research emerged on understanding sustainability messages on tourism review sites, including who shares such information (D'Acunto *et al.*, 2024), the content of reviews and their impact on customer satisfaction (Gerdt *et al.*, 2019). Seyfi *et al.* (2023b) investigated the role of digital media engagement in sustainability-driven tourism consumption, i.e. consumption that strives for minimal negative and maximum positive impacts on nature and society. Tourism review sites themselves have begun to promote sustainable travel. For example, Booking.com has implemented the Travel Sustainable badge. However, upon The Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets decision that the program was not sufficiently clear, Booking.com is now working on implementing a new system that will make use of third-party certifications to promote sustainable travel (Authority for Consumers and Markets, 2024). Thus, further research on the use of eWOM, traveller decision-making and the role of sustainability is warranted.

2.2 Theory foundation and hypothesis development

The CSI model (Sproles and Kendall, 1986) guides this study to understand how consumers' attitudes about searching for information on eWOM sites influence the intention and actual use of eWOM and, eventually, can shape specific recommendation patterns (e.g. sustainable recommendations). As mentioned in the introduction, Sproles and Kendall (1986) first model posits that there are eight distinct shopping typologies:

1. *Impulsive shoppers* – These individuals make spur-of-the-moment purchases without much consideration for finding the best deals.
2. *Perfectionists and quality-focused shoppers* – They are characterised by meticulous and systematic shopping habits, with a strong focus on obtaining high-quality items.
3. *Novelty-fashion consumers* – Individuals who seek out the latest fashions to stay on trend.
4. *Recreational shoppers* – These individuals derive pleasure from the act of shopping and often engage in it for leisure.
5. *Hedonistic shoppers* – These are consumers whose purchasing decisions are driven by emotions, aesthetics and the desire for self-reward.
6. *Brand-conscious consumers* – These shoppers favour high-end, widely advertised brands.
7. *Price-conscious shoppers* – These are individuals who are focused on obtaining the best value for their money.
8. *Overwhelmed shoppers* – These are people who experience information overload and feel overwhelmed by the multitude of choices available.
9. *Habitual, brand-loyal shoppers* – This group consistently purchases from their preferred brands and stores.

It is important to note that other researchers have made adaptations and extensions since the CSI model's original publication. Recent studies based on those eight typologies of shoppers have confirmed the existence of quality-oriented, price-oriented and novelty-oriented shoppers (Eriksson and Stenius, 2024) when analysing online grocery shoppers. Additionally, a positive relationship has been identified between consumer attitudes

and attractiveness or similarity in a food service context (EunPyo and Jiseon, 2023), consumer attitudes and fashion product involvement (Abdel Wahab *et al.*, 2023) and consumer attitudes and high-end branded second-hand clothing (Lichy *et al.*, 2023). However, no applications have been made to better understand consumer attitudes' effects on eWOM use intention.

Building upon previous adaptations and extensions of CSI to various contexts (Nayeem and Marie-IpSooching, 2022; Ma and Hahn, 2023; Musika, 2018; Tarnanidis *et al.*, 2015) and considering recommendations for more parsimonious and consistent versions of CSI (Lichy *et al.*, 2023; Lysonski *et al.*, 1996; Rajh and Rajh, 2023), this study conducted an adaptation of CSI attributes tailored to the context of Gen Z and eWOM in tourism.

Gen Z consumers exhibit distinct consumption patterns (Pavlić and Vukić, 2019), including a heightened awareness of ethical concerns and a demonstrated preference for sustainable choices (Djafarova and Fouts, 2022). While some of the literature suggests that Gen Z seeks brands, it is regarded as having sustainability concerns (Schroth, 2019) and values accessibility and quality (Chen and Chai, 2010). In addition, they may travel for various reasons, such as education, volunteering, work, learning, culture, sports and leisure (Demeter and Bratucu, 2014).

To capture the impact of eWOM on Gen Z's decisions about tourism accommodations across various trip types, this study focuses on CSI attributes strongly linked to all travel types demanded by these consumers. The attributes widely recognised in the literature (Bardey *et al.*, 2022; Chase *et al.*, 2017; Cho *et al.*, 2022; Kamenidou *et al.*, 2021) include impulsiveness, recreational, fashion and perfectionist attitudes. Musika's (2018) research identified a strong correlation among green consumption variables and consolidated environmental consciousness into a single factor within a robust nine-attribute model. Thus, this study introduces an emerging and trending attribute for the consumption and tourism literature (Trudel, 2019), sustainable attitude.

2.3 Theory of reasoned action

Ajzen and Fishbein's (1980) TRA, which assumes that individuals are inherently rational and engage in systematic processes to gather information, is applied to explore the relationship between eWOM use intention and actual use. The theory asserts that intentions are influenced independently by attitudes and subjective norms. As a result, three potential causal outcomes exist: intentions may be shaped solely by:

1. attitudes;
2. subjective norms; or
3. both attitudes and subjective norms.

The TRA does not specify the conditions under which each of these three scenarios is likely to occur; instead, it defers the determination of the particular sequence to empirical investigation (Bagozzi, 1992).

TRA was first applied in tourism in 1991 (Ulker-Demirel and Ciftci, 2020), and since then has continued to grow, suggesting that it is a relatively new research area that has become increasingly popular among researchers in the field (Ulker-Demirel and Ciftci, 2020). For instance, TRA has been used to predict sports tourists' and heritage tourists' intentions (Shen and Wu, 2022). The TRA has also been successfully applied to predict WOM communication as an essential outcome in the behavioural intentions of local products (Baydeniz *et al.*, 2023).

Furthermore, the theory's application in persuasion and social commerce research has long been known (Yzer, 2012; Roh *et al.*, 2024). In this study, drawing from Ajzen and Fishbein's TRA (1980), different attitudes (i.e. impulsiveness, recreational, fashion-sustainable and

perfectionist attitudes) are studied as antecedents of two choices: eWOM use intention and eWOM use.


Supported by the different potential causal outcomes from TRA, previous applications of TRA choose to study only attitudes as a precedent of intentions, reducing their focus to the first explained outcome. For instance, [Bang et al. \(2000\)](#) analysed the relationship between attitudes and intentions to pay a premium for renewable energy and confirmed a positive relationship between beliefs about salient consequences and attitudes towards paying more for renewable energy.

Given the established trend in which attitudes consistently demonstrate stronger predictive power for intention than social norms ([Armitage and Conner, 2001](#); [Hamilton et al., 2024](#); [Harb et al., 2024](#)) and recognising the imperative to elucidate and evaluate the role of implicit attitudes in behaviour ([Al-Husseini, 2023](#); [Michaelidou and Hassan, 2014](#)), it becomes evident that the focus should be directed towards examining the influence of individuals' attitudes on the utilisation of eWOM.

2.4 Conceptual model and hypothesis development

Drawing on a review of the literature concerning consumer decision-making styles, the TRA, eWOM and tourism review sites, a conceptual model was devised. This model, depicted in [Figure 1](#), illustrates that Gen Z's use of eWOM when searching for accommodations on review sites is influenced by their intention to use eWOM, which in turn is shaped by their

Figure 1 Conceptual model of the antecedents of eWOM use for accommodation decision-making



specific decision-making styles. To summarise the proposed relationships, the following hypotheses were developed:

The impulsive attitude, the first antecedent included in the model, examines the orientation of consumers who are impulsive and careless when they use reviews for accommodation decision-making. In the context of consumption, individuals displaying impulsiveness tend to make unplanned purchases without concern for the number of items or money spent (EunPyo and Jiseon, 2023; Lysonski and Durvasula, 2013) and do not seem concerned with cost or value (Kang *et al.*, 2014). Impulse consumption occurs when a sudden, intense and persistent urge to buy a specific item arises, leading to quick decision-making upon encountering the product (Eriksson and Stenius, 2024; Lucas and Koff, 2014). Therefore, in line with previous research (EunPyo and Jiseon, 2023; Lucas and Koff, 2014; Rezaei, 2015), a positive effect of tourists' impulsive attitudes on eWOM use intention is expected because the stimuli of consulting with online comments about accommodations could stimulate tourists to make unplanned choices of the lodging to stay. The hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H1. An impulsive/careless attitude positively affects social recommendations use intention.

A recreational attitude attributed to hedonistic-conscious tourists refers to consumers who consider viewing eWOM to be a pleasurable activity or a form of entertainment. For recreational consumers, shopping is a delightful experience, and they often indulge in it simply for the fun it brings (Abdel Wahab *et al.*, 2023; Kamaruddin and Mokhlis, 2003). Described as a "decision style of consumers who take pleasure in shopping and who shop just for the fun of it", recreational consumers tend to focus on the sensory aspects of their consumption experience, including the ambience of the stores and the variety of products available (Bloch *et al.*, 1989; Lichy *et al.*, 2023). According to Kim and Eastin, 2012 study, consumers with hedonic tendencies tend to explore different shopping websites and actively seek information. Therefore, in line with previous research (Abdel Wahab *et al.*, 2023; Kamaruddin and Mokhlis, 2003; Rezaei, 2015), a positive effect of tourists' recreational attitudes on eWOM use intentions is expected because the sole action of sharing or consulting with online comments about accommodations could be pleasant for them. The following hypothesis is proposed:

H2. A recreational attitude positively affects social recommendations use intention.

The third approach considered in the model was sustainable attitude, which includes both pro-environmental and sociocultural concerns. Following Dunlap and Jones (2002), Prakash *et al.* (2018) define environmental consciousness as the "degree to which people are aware of problems regarding the environment and support efforts to solve them or indicate the willingness to contribute personally to their solution" (p. 92). Several studies on tourists' pro-environmental behaviour are based on Ajzen's (1991) TRA, one of the most effective tools for intervening in and predicting behavioural intentions (Taylor *et al.*, 2006). Pro-environmental behaviour is related to sustainable consciousness according to an extended CSI model by Prakash *et al.* (2018) and ecological and ethical consciousness according to Lichy *et al.* (2023). Furthermore, Trudel (2019) argues that sustainable consumer behaviour attempts to satisfy present needs while benefiting or limiting environmental impact.

A sustainable attitude may shape or influence consumers' decision-making processes (Lichy *et al.*, 2023; Prakash *et al.*, 2018; Trudel, 2019). Thus, a positive effect of a sustainable attitude on eWOM use intentions is expected because tourists with a sustainable attitude could be interested in sharing or consulting with online comments regarding the sustainability practices of one accommodation like comments on waste reduction initiatives or community support. As a result, the following hypothesis is developed:

H3. A sustainable attitude positively affects social recommendations use intention.

The fourth approach included in the model is novelty attitudes and fashion consciousness. These consumers derive immense satisfaction from being fashion-forward and place great importance on seeking variety (Eriksson and Stenius, 2024; Lysonski and Durvasula, 2013). The decision style of novelty attitude and fashion consciousness refers to consumers who appreciate new and innovative products and find excitement in exploring novel offerings. The trait of variety seeking is an important facet of these consumers (Kamaruddin and Mokhlis, 2003; Lichy *et al.*, 2023). Previous researchers noted that a novelty or fashion-consciousness attitude was positively related to opinion seeking through the use of eWOM on social network sites (Kang *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, in line with previous research (Lysonski and Durvasula, 2013; Lichy *et al.*, 2023; Rezaei, 2015), it is rational to expect a positive effect of tourist fashion-novelty attitudes on eWOM use intentions because tourists with fashion-novelty attitudes could be interested in sharing or consulting with novel online comments regarding accommodation activities such as new openings, refurbished or renovated accommodation facilities. The following hypothesis is proposed:

H4. A fashion attitude positively affects social recommendations use intention.

The last approach included in the model is a perfectionist attitude. Consumers exhibiting a perfectionist attitude or a strong emphasis on high-quality standards strive to find the utmost excellence in products, seeking items that have received top-quality ratings from other consumers (Rezaei, 2015). They highly consider product quality (EunPyo and Jiseon, 2023; Nawaz *et al.*, 2019) and are methodical in pursuing the best possible quality products. Quality assessment typically occurs before and during the purchase process, as well as during consumption (Papanagiotou *et al.*, 2013). Perceptions of quality may arise from the characteristics of the products themselves (Abdel Wahab *et al.*, 2023; Das, 2014), which are usually discussed in reviews. Therefore, in line with previous research (Rezaei, 2015), a positive effect of a tourist perfectionist attitude on eWOM use intention is expected because a tourist with a perfectionist attitude could be interested in sharing or consulting with online comments regarding how well the accommodation deploys services compared to competitors. The following hypothesis is proposed:

H5. A perfectionist attitude positively affects social recommendations use intention.

Finally, following the TRA assumptions, we postulate a positive relationship between eWOM use intention and eWOM use. Previous studies have suggested that if people strongly intend to perform a behaviour, the probability of actualising the behaviour will be high (Salifu *et al.*, 2024; Ulker-Demirel and Ciftci, 2020) and that if one can perform the behaviour without situational obstacles that impede behavioural performance, then that behaviour will increase (Yzer, 2012). Therefore, following previous studies (Salifu *et al.*, 2024; Ulker-Demirel and Ciftci, 2020; Yzer, 2012), it is rational to expect a positive effect of tourist eWOM use intention on eWOM use, and the following hypothesis is proposed:

H6. The level of intention to use eWOM for accommodation decision-making positively influences the extent to which Gen Z users engage in the actual use of eWOM.

Figure 1 shows the research model. The research model is designed to investigate the influence of recreational attitudes, sustainable attitudes, novelty-fashion attitudes and perfectionist attitudes on eWOM use intention and the influence of eWOM use intention on eWOM use.

3. Research methodology

Due to Gen Z's specific consumption attitudes and unique consumer behaviour patterns (Djafarova and Foots, 2022; Kim *et al.*, 2022), we focused on that population segment to evaluate the research model. Thus, the sample was based on Gen Z members who had

used a tourism review site at least once in the last year to book accommodations for trip purposes.

3.1 *Sample and data collection*

The data collection process followed the stages outlined by [Teeroovengadum and Nunkoo \(2018\)](#). The sample size was calculated based on a significance criterion in accordance with the guidelines set forth by [Singh and Masuku \(2014\)](#). The total population of Gen Z in Spain in 2022 was approximately 8 million ([Instituto Nacional de Estadística, 2022](#)), and the final sample obtained was 296 respondents. Thus, the margin of error was 5.7% for a 95% confidence interval ([Saunders et al., 2009](#)). Data was gathered from February 2021 to December 2022 at several locations near the University of Malaga, Spain, which had a population of 578,000 inhabitants in 2020 ([Instituto Nacional de Estadística, 2022](#)). As in previous studies on tourism and technology ([Zaragoza-Sáez et al., 2022](#)), Spain was deemed suitable for sample collection. Recent findings suggest that Spain's younger generation demonstrates high proficiency in assessing online information reliability (49.4%) and acknowledges the impact of digital technologies on decision-making (37.9%) ([Aranda et al., 2023](#)). The Gen Z sample is also interesting because these are future tourists, and they are now beginning to determine the trends in both tourism and eWOM use.

Following a simple random sampling technique, the survey was carried out in various locations for a better representation of the sample, including two primary university campuses, ten outdoor facilities, two university sports centres and the city centre. These locations were categorised into zones, and the voluntary interviewers were assigned specific areas for the survey. The survey procedure involved inviting potential respondents in these areas to answer the questionnaire with the assistance of an interviewer. To reduce potential bias ([Allred and Ross-Davis, 2011](#)), interviewers employed the drop-off and pick-up method and limited their communication with respondents to only when necessary. Only those who travelled within the past year and used review sites (i.e. Booking.com) during their travel decision process were interviewed. A total of 315 Gen Z members answered the self-administered questionnaire. After eliminating invalid questionnaires, the final and valid sample size was 296.

Prior to answering the questionnaire, participants were offered to envision a picture in which they were exploring eWOM about an unfamiliar accommodation in a destination they had never visited before. This prompt was designed to standardise the picture of eWOM in participants' minds and mitigate potential biases associated with peripheral cues (accommodation star category, destination brand, sustainable program recognition, COVID-19 safety procedures and quality recognition). Then, the participants were asked to think about the eWOM they checked when using a tourism review site trying to make a decision on an accommodation to book and to answer the questionnaire about their interest in using eWOM and their actual use.

3.2 *Measurement instrument*

The questionnaire was designed to align with the study's objectives, drawing on insights from the literature. To ensure its validity, the questionnaire was evaluated by five marketing experts to assess its content. Additionally, a preliminary test involving a small group of 25 students was conducted to validate the instrument further and check its clarity and functionality. As a result of the validation process, we modified three questions and three concepts in the final measurement instrument regarding the questions about previous experience with eWOM from review sites and personal characteristics.

The final version of the questionnaire comprises a total of seven constructs: eWOM use (U), eWOM use intention (UI), impulsive attitude (IMA), recreational attitude (REA), sustainability attitude (SUA), novelty-fashion attitude (FAA) and perfectionist attitude (PEA). All the

constructs were measured with three items, and seven-point Likert scales ranging from (1) totally disagree to (7) totally agree were used to collect the answers (see [Appendix 1](#)). The five constructs measuring attitudes were adapted from [Rezaei \(2015\)](#). The two TRA-related constructs measuring eWOM use intention and use were adapted from [Chen et al. \(2017\)](#) and [Carranza et al. \(2020\)](#), respectively.

The questionnaire also included seven sociodemographic variables to obtain information from the participants, especially pertaining to their age, education level, gender and job status. According to the variable asked, we designed different formats; for example, to measure the level of studies, a nominal variable was used. Partial least squares (PLS)-SEM were then applied to the collected data using SmartPLS 4.0.9.1 software as the analytical tool ([Ringle et al., 2015](#)).

PLS-SEM is a robust methodology used to ascertain the signs and significance of proposed relationships among constructs within the structural model. Moreover, it evaluates the validity and reliability of the measurement model, making it an esteemed approach ([Barroso et al., 2010](#)). Its substantial statistical power proves particularly valuable for exploratory research endeavours, especially when investigating emerging or less-developed theories ([Hair et al., 2019](#)).

PLS-SEM has demonstrated its efficacy in analysing intricate structural models that involve numerous indicators and relationships ([Hair et al., 2019](#)). Additionally, it possesses advantages in handling data that diverge from a normal distribution – an advantageous characteristic in various research scenarios ([Hair et al., 2019](#)). Our assessment of multivariate normality was conducted using Mardia's multivariate skewness test statistic with a value of $\beta = 534.2963$; $p < 0.001$, along with Mardia's multivariate kurtosis test statistic yielding $\beta = 2470.2458$; $p < 0.001$ – both clearly indicating evidence of nonnormality within our data set at a multivariate level.

The proposed model was analysed using a two-step approach, as outlined by [Chin \(1998\)](#). Initially, the outer model was validated by assessing the reliability, convergent validity and discriminant validity of the different constructs, namely, impulsiveness, recreational, sustainable, novelty-fashion and perfectionist attitudes, eWOM use intention and eWOM use. Subsequently, the internal (structural) model and its robustness were scrutinised to assess the hypothesised relationships among the constructs ([Hair et al., 2014](#)) and to evaluate the overall predictive capability of the proposed model ([Hair et al., 2019](#)). Finally, the demographic data were analysed using SPSS 28.0.1.1 software.

4. Results

4.1 Gen Z member demographics

[Table 1](#) presents the demographics of the survey respondents.

4.2 Measurement model estimation

To assess the adequacy of the model fit, various metrics were used, including the standardised root mean squared residual (SRMR), Cronbach's alpha, composite reliability and a convergent validity test ([Hair et al., 2014](#)). According to [Hu and Bentler's \(1999\)](#) recommendations, a good model fit should be attained when the SRMR is lower than 0.08. The fit indices of this measurement model show good model fit: $SRMR = 0.069$.

Regarding the robustness of the measurement model, because all the constructs were measured with three items and theoretical reasoning was used to determine the reflective character of the model ([Hair et al., 2017](#)), confirmatory tetrad analysis-PLS), which enables the empirical specification of measurement models ([Gudergan et al., 2008](#)), was not used.

4.2.1 Common method bias. This bias is frequently found in quantitative studies relying on self-reported data ([Spector, 2006](#)) or sourced from a single origin ([Avolio et al., 1991](#)). Common method bias (CMB) can significantly jeopardise a study's validity ([MacKenzie and](#)

Table 1 Sample characteristics

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Categories</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
Gender	Male	142	47.9
	Female	149	50.4
	Not answered	5	1.7
Age	18–25 years old	296	100
Education	Secondary school	28	9.5
	Pursuing undergraduate University degree	245	82.7
	Pursuing postgraduate University degree	23	7.8
Job status	Unemployed	198	67
	Remunerated internship	33	11
	Partial-time employed	53	18
	Full-time employed	12	4
Family incomes	<€30.000	29	9.7
	Between €30.001 and €60.000	146	49.4
	Between €60.001 and €90.000	88	29.6
	>€90.001	33	11.3

Source: Table by authors

Podsakoff, 2012) and distort the structural relationships under investigation (Kline, 2015). To mitigate the potential impact of CMB, two primary approaches are commonly used: procedural design adjustments and statistical control methods (Reio, 2010). In this study, procedural design was addressed by providing anonymous responses, keeping the questionnaire brief and concise, positioning demographic questions towards the end of the questionnaire and conducting a pilot test before the final data collection.

Furthermore, we conducted two rigorous assessments to confirm the absence of CMB. Initially, Harman's single-factor test, carried out using principal component analysis, revealed that less than 50% of the total variance was accounted for by a single factor, confirming the absence of CMB (Fuller *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, the full collinearity test, as recommended by Kock (2015), was performed. The variance inflation factor (VIF) values for all latent constructs ranged from 1.562 to 4.617, remaining well below the threshold of 5. This indicates the absence of potential collinearity issues (Hair *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, CMB did not influence the outcomes of the present study.

4.2.2 Reliability and validity assessment. Construct reliability was evaluated using both Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability. Furthermore, the construct's validity, both convergent and discriminant, was assessed through average variance extracted (AVE). In this case, all the indicators have a value greater than 0.707 and must be accepted as part of a construct (Hair *et al.*, 2019). Table 2 shows the values of each item of the measurement model.

To ensure the internal consistency of the model constructs, we assessed the reliability of the constructs using composite reliability (CR), where values exceeding 0.7 are essential. Table 2 displays CR values all above 0.7. In the next step, we evaluated the convergent and discriminant validity of the measurement model. Convergent validity requires that more than 50% of a construct's variance is explained by its indicators, with an AVE value above 0.5, as stated by Hair *et al.* (2019). As indicated in Table 2, all the AVE values surpassed 0.5, confirming the convergent validity of the study's constructs.

To assess discriminant validity, we followed Hair *et al.* (2019) recommendations, using three methods:

1. the cross-loads criterion;
2. the Fornell–Larcker criterion; and
3. the Heterotrait–Monotrait ratio (HTMT) criterion.

In the first method, each indicator's load was scrutinised; if it demonstrated a higher loading on its respective construct compared to other latent variables in the model, the

Table 2	Loadings, composite reliability and average variance extracted (AVE)				
Constructs	Item	Loading	Cronbach's alpha	CR (ρ_c)	AVE
Impulsiveness attitude (IMA)	IMA1	0.870	0.833	0.890	0.731
	IMA2	0.933			
	IMA3	0.752			
Recreational attitude (REA)	REA1	0.910	0.882	0.927	0.810
	REA2	0.930			
	REA3	0.858			
Sustainable attitude (SUA)	SUA1	0.956	0.934	0.934	0.827
	SUA2	0.944			
	SUA3	0.822			
Novelty-fashion attitude (FAA)	FAA1	0.840	0.833	0.898	0.746
	FAA2	0.899			
	FAA3	0.850			
Perfectionist attitude (PEA)	PEA1	0.913	0.819	0.848	0.735
	PEA2	0.893			
	PEA3	0.757			
eWOM use intention (UI)	UI1	0.849	0.806	0.885	0.720
	UI2	0.838			
	UI3	0.859			
eWOM use (U)	U1	0.862	0.814	0.889	0.728
	U2	0.872			
	U3	0.825			
Source: Table by authors					

criterion was considered validated (Hair *et al.*, 2019). The criterion was validated in all cases for the measurement model.

According to the Fornell–Larcker criterion, the square root of the AVE for each latent construct must exceed the variance shared by the construct with other constructs in the model, as outlined by Hair *et al.* (2019). As illustrated in Table 3, all correlations between the constructs within the measurement model were lower than the square root of the AVE, confirming the presence of discriminant validity.

The final criterion studied was the HTMT. According to this criterion, all correlations between constructs must be under 1.00. As indicated in Table 3, this criterion was satisfied for all constructs in the measurement model, confirming discriminant validity (Richter *et al.*, 2016).

4.3 Structural model assessment and hypothesis testing

The validation of the structural model began by examining R^2 values and the Stone–Geisser test (Q^2), as detailed in Table 4. R^2 represents the proportion of variance in the construct

Table 3 Correlations among latent variables and discriminant validity Fornell Larcker criterion							
<i>Effects</i>	<i>FAA</i>	<i>IMA</i>	<i>PEA</i>	<i>REA</i>	<i>SUA</i>	<i>UI</i>	<i>U</i>
FAA	0.863 ^a						
IMA	0.485/0.396 ^b	0.855 ^a					
PEA	0.308/0.253 ^b	0.069/0.007 ^b	0.857 ^a				
REA	0.779/0.670 ^b	0.483/0.422 ^b	0.252/0.217 ^b	0.900 ^a			
SUA	0.267/0.229 ^b	0.126/0.101 ^b	0.111/0.113 ^b	0.202/0.177 ^b	0.909 ^a		
UI	0.190/0.162 ^b	0.071/0.068 ^b	0.457/0.378 ^b	0.212/0.178 ^b	0.190/0.189 ^b	0.849 ^a	
U	0.287/0.241 ^b	0.080/0.070 ^b	0.490/0.408 ^b	0.281/0.239 ^b	0.205/0.186 ^b	0.784/0.641 ^b	0.853 ^a
Notes: U = eWOM use; UI = eWOM use intention; SUA = sustainable attitude; REA = recreational attitude; PEA = perfectionist attitudes; IMA = impulsive attitude; FAA = novelty-fashion attitude. ^a Diagonal values correspond to the squared root value of average variance extracted for each latent variable to assess Fornell–Larcker's criterion; ^b HTMT values; off-diagonal values are the correlations between each two constructs Source: Table by authors							

Table 4 Variance explained by the Stone Geisser test

Effects	R ²	Q ² (= 1–SSE/SSO)
U	0.410	0.132
UI	0.171	0.151

Notes: SSE = sum of squared errors; SSO = sum of squares of observations; U = eWOM use; UI = eWOM use intention

Source: Table by authors

that the model accounts for; values greater than 0.1 are deemed significant (Falk and Miller, 1992). The Q^2 statistic, another indicator of predictive power, is considered relevant if it is above 0, indicating the model's predictive validity (Hair *et al.*, 2011). To evaluate multicollinearity among the independent variables, the VIF was used. All VIF values were below 5.000, which confirms that multicollinearity is not an issue in this study (Ringle *et al.*, 2015).

The validation of the structural model advanced through the application of confidence intervals, reinforcing the findings discussed earlier. When the estimated path coefficients (β) are associated with confidence intervals that do not encompass zero, it offers grounds for rejecting the null hypothesis that β equals zero (Henseler *et al.*, 2009). The results demonstrated empirical support for only three hypotheses, as detailed in Table 5.

The validation of the structural model concluded with a comprehensive analysis of its robustness, focusing on nonlinear effects, endogeneity and unobserved heterogeneity (see Appendix 2).

As Figure 2 shows, the p values and β coefficients in the structural model confirm that the path from eWOM use intention to eWOM use ($H6$) was positive and significant ($\beta = 0.641$; $t = 14.094$; $p < 0.001$). Additionally, the findings validated that SUA ($H3$) ($\beta = 0.138$; $t = 2.792$; $p = 0.005$) and PEA ($H5$) ($\beta = 0.353$; $t = 5.279$; $p < 0.001$), serve as predictors of UI. These analysis results affirm the validity of the proposed structural model.

5. Conclusions, limitations and future research


eWOM is an important topic within tourism studies because it is ubiquitously used by consumers globally (Pourjahanshahi *et al.*, 2023; Pourfakhimi *et al.*, 2020). This study has applied CSI to investigate how psychographic consumer characteristics affect the intention to use eWOM from travel review websites. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to apply the CSI to better understand the characteristics of Gen Z consumers who are more likely to use online reviews for their decision-making. In addition to traditional attitudes, such as impulsiveness attitudes, recreational attitudes, fashion attitudes and perfectionist attitudes (Sproles and Kendall, 1986), sustainability attitudes are added

Table 5 Results for the structural model

Effects	Hypothesis	β Coefficients	T statistics	p-Value	2.5%	97.5%	Supported
IMA $\rightarrow$ UI	H1	0.027	0.339	0.735	0.148	0.161	No
REA $\rightarrow$ UI	H2	0.083	0.987	0.324	0.089	0.236	No
SUA $\rightarrow$ UI	H3	0.138	2.792	0.005	0.048	0.239	Yes
FAA $\rightarrow$ UI	H4	0.024	0.325	0.745	0.161	0.139	No
PEA $\rightarrow$ UI	H5	0.353	5.279	<0.001	0.219	0.484	Yes
UI $\rightarrow$ U	H6	0.641	14.094	<0.001	0.546	0.727	Yes

Notes: β = beta; U = eWOM use; UI = eWOM use intention; SUA = sustainable attitude; REA = recreational attitude; PEA = perfectionist attitude; IMA = impulsive attitude; FAA = novelty-fashion attitude

Source: Table by authors

Figure 2 Structural model with *t* values

because they have become a major consumer trait in recent years (Musika, 2018; Trudel, 2019).

In light of the comprehensive analysis conducted, the findings of this study affirm the validity of the proposed structural model. The results underscore the significance of perfectionist attitude, which has a greater effect, and the sustainability attitude, which has a smaller effect, as predictors of eWOM use intentions among Gen Z consumers, confirming the positive effect of attitudes (i.e. sustainable and perfectionist) on tourism eWOM use intentions. It also confirms that eWOM use intentions explain consumers' use of tourism eWOM. However, impulsive, recreational and novelty-fashion attitudes were not supported.

Regarding the relationships between validated attitudes and eWOM intention, existing research on the effects of perfectionist attitudes on decision-making (Papanagiotou *et al.*, 2013) and sustainable attitudes on decision-making (Prakash *et al.*, 2018; Trudel, 2019) are in line with our results. These findings suggest that psychographic attributes (i.e. perfectionist and sustainable attitudes) play an important role in eWOM use. Additionally, sustainability attitudes should be included in studies involving tourism consumer psychographics (Sproles and Kendall, 1986), as this may influence consumer decision-making (Musika, 2018; Trudel, 2019).

In contrast to the findings of previous studies (Lucas and Koff, 2014; Kamaruddin and Mokhlis, 2003; Kang *et al.*, 2014), we could not confirm a positive effect of the unvalidated effect on eWOM use intention (i.e. impulsiveness attitude, recreational attitude and novelty-

fashion attitude). It is plausible that these attitudes lack clear conceptual connections to the decision-making processes of Gen Z associated with social recommendations via tourism review sites, which could account for their non-significance.

Finally, regarding the studied relationship between eWOM use intention and eWOM, consistent with the theories of reasoned action and in line with previous research (Ulker-Demirel and Ciftci, 2020; Yzer, 2012), our results demonstrate that intention influences use behaviour. In conclusion, this study contributes to our understanding of the intricate relationship between attitudes and intentions in the realm of eWOM. This study provides valuable insights for practitioners and researchers in the field of online consumer behaviour. The findings suggest that marketers and tourism experts should carefully craft messages that address both quality and sustainability dimensions. Users interested in these aspects of the product are more likely to engage with eWOM. These results specifically add to our understanding of how online review sites can be effectively leveraged to promote sustainable consumer behaviour.

5.1 Theoretical implications

This study adopts the TRA (Ajzen, 1991) and analyses whether CSI attitudes (Sproles and Kendall, 1986) serve as antecedents of eWOM use when seeking accommodation. This study fills a research gap by analysing in greater depth the role that psychographic drivers play as a precedent of eWOM use intention and eWOM use with respect to accommodation decisions. Hence, our model enables a better understanding of eWOM use for accommodation decision-making in tourism.

The present study integrates two theoretical approaches (i.e. TRA and CSI). The proposal presented extends the TRA model (Ajzen, 1991) by investigating the distinct roles of psychographic drivers from CSI (Sproles and Kendall, 1986). In doing so, it also extends the CSI (Sproles and Kendall, 1986) with sustainability attitudes as applicable to Gen Z.

The initial application of the CSI to elucidate eWOM use intention extends our understanding of how individually identifiable consumption styles can play a pivotal role in adoption and decision-making related to eWOM (Pourjahanshahi *et al.*, 2023). This pioneering application underscores the relevance of considering consumer profiles as an influential factor in interactions with review sites.

5.2 Practical implications

The results of the PWC (2023) Global Consumer Insights Pulse Survey suggest that consumer reviews constitute an important source of information for consumers of all ages and that social media are an important influence, especially for Gen Z. Moreover, Archer *et al.* (2022) and Rogers *et al.* (2021) suggest that consumers are interested in accessing more information on sustainability. This study's findings thus reflect on an important practical issue of communicating sustainability to tourists. Because sustainability-conscious and quality-oriented consumers are likely to use tourism eWOM from review sites, accommodations (and potentially other businesses) need to maximise the use of reviewer websites to communicate information related to both quality and sustainability. Accommodation providers who craft messages for their consumers with a focus on sustainability and quality are likely to improve consumer engagement and be more effective in marketing their accommodations via online review sites.

Furthermore, according to Booking.com (2023), the demand for sustainable travel products is continuously growing, yet a significant number of travellers believe that there are not enough sustainable travel products available, and they are not aware of how to encounter them. There is also distrust in accommodation sustainability practices amidst a drive for regenerative travel, i.e. travel that positively impacts destinations. The challenge of

responsibly promoting sustainability via review sites is exemplified by The Netherlands Authority for [Consumers and Markets \(2024\)](#) decision that Booking.com's Travel Sustainable programme was not sufficiently clear and could be misleading. Tourist review sites and tourism-related companies need to consider how to demonstrate their sustainability commitment and whether their focus differs from that of many other sustainable businesses. They need to communicate initiatives through which they give back to the communities in which they operate. Moreover, product and service providers should stimulate guests to comment on their sustainability initiatives on review sites. While quality is a focus of any review site and is central to comments that consumers leave online, sustainability is much less a topic despite recent trends towards sustainability labelling. For example, [Gerdt et al. \(2019\)](#) reported that only 5.1% of online hotel reviews mentioned sustainability. A greater prominence of discussing sustainability on online review sites may enhance awareness and contribute to trust in a business' sustainability claims.

The relationships between sustainable and perfectionist attitudes and eWOM use intention that we have identified enhance our understanding of tourism eWOM use by Gen Z. The evidence from this study, therefore, suggests that online information, including social media, is important for them in areas such as social and environmental responsibility and service excellence. Addressing these dimensions is especially important for companies targeting younger travellers and, ultimately, for industry associations and policymakers to enhance these aspects in business practices.

5.3 Limitations and future research

This study's sample consisted of Gen Z consumers from Spain and focused solely on information searches and internal factors of the decision-making process. The study deliberately targeted one step of the decision-making process, one internal factor and a homogenous demographic to concentrate on understanding how psychographic characteristics influence this specific step of decision-making in this demographic group. Consequently, future research could address these limitations by broadening the sample to encompass a more diverse range of participants. This expansion may include individuals from different age cohorts, cultural backgrounds and geographical regions to gain insights into various demographic and geographic segments, such as Asian, American or African markets. Additionally, future studies may consider incorporating additional factors, such as marketing variables and other consumer socialisation (CSI) elements such as brand and price consciousness, confusion caused by overchoice and brand-loyal purchasing behaviours, into the analysis of sustainable consumption. Furthermore, researchers could focus on identifying interventions that are effective in stimulating consumers to include sustainability in their reviews. Exploring how online review sites and providers can encourage more sustainable behaviour through the utilisation of such eWOM could be a fruitful avenue for investigation. Another interesting analysis could be based on the theory of planned behaviour, which incorporates elements such as perceived behavioural control or subjective norms to investigate their effects across various phases of the decision-making process, including the purchase intention of sustainable tourism products. Moreover, future studies should identify the underlying reasons for the lack of significance between impulsiveness attitude, recreational attitude, novelty-fashion attitude and eWOM use intention. Additionally, they could enhance the understanding of the interrelations between perfectionist and sustainable attitudes towards tourism review sites through a comparative analysis of different models.

References

Abdel Wahab, H., Diaa, N.M. and Ahmed Nagaty, S. (2023), "Demographic characteristics and consumer decision-making styles: do they impact fashion product involvement?", *Cogent Business & Management*, Vol. 10 No. 2, p. 2208430.

- Ajzen, I. (1991), "The theory of planned behaviour", *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process*, Vol. 50 No. 2, pp. 179-211.
- Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M. (1980), *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Al-Dmour, R., Al-Dmour, H. and Al-Dmour, A. (2024), "The crucial role of eWOM: mediating the impact of marketing mix strategies on international students' study destination decision", *Sage Open*, Vol. 14 No. 2, p. 21582440241247661.
- Al-Husseini, S.J. (2023), "Social capital and individual motivations for information sharing: a theory of reasoned action perspective", *Journal of Information Science*, Vol. 49 No. 6, pp. 1493-1505.
- Allred, S.B. and Ross-Davis, A. (2011), "The drop-off and pick-up method: an approach to reduce nonresponse bias in natural resource surveys", *Small-Scale Forestry*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 305-318.
- Aranda, D., Mohammadi, L., Blasco, M.M., Estanyol, E. and Fernández-de-Castro, P. (2023), "A dataset about Spanish young people's digital skills, use of technology and online platforms", *F1000Research*, Vol. 12, p. 12.
- Archer, T., Cromwell, E. and Fenech, C. (2022), "How consumers are embracing sustainability", available at: www2.deloitte.com/uk/en/pages/consumer-business/articles/sustainable-consumer.html
- Armitage, C.J. and Conner, M. (2001), "Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: a meta-analytic review", *British Journal of Social Psychology*, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 471-499.
- Authority for Consumers & Markets (2024), "Booking.com takes 'Travel Sustainable' program offline following ACM action", available at: www.acm.nl/en/publications/bookingcom-takes-travel-sustainable-program-offline-following-acm-action
- Avolio, Yammarino, F.J. and Bass, B.M. (1991), "Identifying common methods variance with data collected from a single source: an unresolved sticky issue", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 571-587, doi: [10.1177/014920639101700303](https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700303).
- Bagozzi, R.P. (1992), "The self-regulation of attitudes, intentions, and behavior", *Social Psychology Quarterly*, Vol. 55 No. 2, pp. 178-204.
- Bang, H.K., Ellinger, A.E., Hadjimarcou, J. and Traichal, P.A. (2000), "Consumer concern, knowledge, belief, and attitude toward renewable energy: an application of the reasoned action theory", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 17 No. 6, pp. 449-468.
- Bardey, A., Mehdiratta, U. and Turner, R. (2022), "Fashioning the future generation: Generation Z Indian consumers' attitudes towards Western and Indian fashion", *Fashion Marketing in Emerging Economies Volume II: South American, Asian and African Perspectives*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 19-48.
- Barroso, C., Cepeda-Carrión, G.A. and Roldán, J.L. (2010), "Applying maximum likelihood and PLS on different sample sizes: studies on SERVQUAL model and employee behavior model", in Vinzi, V. Esposito, Chin, W.W., Henseler, J. and Wang, H. (Eds), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares. Concepts, Methods and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, doi: [10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8).
- Baydeniz, E., Türkoğlu, T., Aytekin, E., Pamukcu, H. and Sandikci, M. (2023), "Investigation of effective factors on WOM in the context of reasoned action theory of tourists preferring local restaurants: Afyonkarahisar case", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 800-819.
- Bloch, P.H., Ridgway, N.M. and Sherrell, D.L. (1989), "Extending the concept of shopping: an investigation of browsing activity", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 13-21.
- Buhalis, D., Leung, X.Y., Fan, D., Darcy, S., Chen, G., Xu, F., Tan, G.W.H., Nunkoo, R. and Farmaki, A. (2023), "Tourism 2030 and the contribution to the sustainable development goals: the tourism review viewpoint", *Tourism Review*, Vol. 78 No. 2, pp. 293-313.
- Carranza, R., Díaz, E., Martín-Consuegra, D. and Fernández-Ferrín, P. (2020), "PLS-SEM in business promotion strategies. A multigroup analysis of mobile coupon users using MICOM", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 120 No. 12, pp. 2349-2374.
- Chase, H.W., Fournier, J.C., Bertocci, M.A., Greenberg, T., Aslam, H., Stiffler, R., Lockovich, J., Graur, S., Bebko, G., Forbes, E.E. and Phillips, M.L. (2017), "A pathway linking reward circuitry, impulsive sensation-seeking and risky decision-making in young adults: identifying neural markers for new interventions", *Translational Psychiatry*, Vol. 7 No. 4, p. e1096, doi: [10.1038/tp.2017.60](https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2017.60).
- Chen, T.B. and Chai, L.T. (2010), "Attitude towards the environment and green products: consumers' perspective", *Management Science and Engineering*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 27-39.

- Chen, A., Lu, Y. and Wang, B. (2017), "Customers' purchase decision-making process in social commerce: a social learning perspective", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 37 No. 6, pp. 627-638.
- Chen, C.H., Nguyen, B., Klaus, P.P. and Wu, M.S. (2015), "Exploring electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in the consumer purchase decision-making process: the case of online holidays—evidence from United Kingdom (UK) consumers", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 8, pp. 953-970.
- Chin, W.W. (Ed.). (1998), *The Partial Least Squares Approach for Structural Equation Modelling*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, London, UK.
- Cho, E., Kim-Vick, J. and Yu, U.J. (2022), "Unveiling motivation for luxury fashion purchase among gen Z consumers: need for uniqueness versus bandwagon effect", *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 24-34.
- Consumers and Markets (2024), "Booking.com takes travel sustainable program offline following ACM action", available at: www.acm.nl/en/publications/bookingcom-takes-travel-sustainable-program-offline-following-acm-action (accessed 5 May 2024).
- D'Acunto, D., Filieri, R. and Amato, S. (2024), "Who is sharing green eWOM? Big data evidence from the travel and tourism industry", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, pp. 1-23.
- Das, G. (2014), "Linkages of retailer personality, perceived quality and purchase intention with retailer loyalty: a study of Indian nonfood retailing", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 407-414.
- Demeter, T. and Bratucu, G. (2014), "Typologies of youth tourism", *Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov. Series V: Economic Sciences*, pp. 115-122.
- Djafarova, E. and Fouts, S. (2022), "Exploring ethical consumption of generation Z: theory of planned behaviour", *Young Consumers*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 413-431.
- Dunlap, R. and Jones, R. (2002), "Environmental concern: conceptual and measurement issues", in Dunlap, R. and Michelson, W. (Eds), *Handbook of Environmental Sociology*, Springer Nature, Berlin, pp. 482-524.
- Eriksson, N. and Stenius, M. (2024), "Online grocery shoppers according to their typical shopping style: a cluster analysis", *Journal of Food Products Marketing*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 48-65.
- Esparza-Huamanchumo, R.M., Quiroz-Celis, A.V. and Camacho-Sanz, A.A. (2024), "Influence of eWOM on the purchase intention of consumers of nikkei restaurants in Lima, Peru", *International Journal of Tourism Cities*, doi: [10.1108/IJTC-01-2024-0010](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJTC-01-2024-0010).
- EunPyo, H. and Jiseon, A. (2023), "Influence of customer personality on perceived attractiveness and similarity in a food service context", *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, Vol. 32, pp. 745-766.
- Falk, R.F. and Miller, N.B. (1992), *A Primer for Soft Modelling (First)*, The University of Akron Press, Akron, OH.
- Fuller, C.M., Simmering, M.J., Atinc, G., Atinc, Y. and Babin, B.J. (2016), "Common methods variance detection in business research", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 8, pp. 3192-3198, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.12.008).
- Gerdts, S.O., Wagner, E. and Schewe, G. (2019), "The relationship between sustainability and customer satisfaction in hospitality: an explorative investigation using eWOM as a data source", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 74, pp. 155-172.
- Gudergan, S.P., Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Will, A. (2008), "Confirmatory tetrad analysis in PLS path modelling", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 61 No. 12, pp. 1238-1249.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2011), "PLS-SEM: indeed a silver bullet", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 139-152.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2014), "PLS-SEM: indeed a silver bullet", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 139-152, doi: [10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202](https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202).
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. (2019), "When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM", *European Business Review*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24.
- Hair, J.F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M. and Gudergan, S.P. (2017), *Advanced Issues in Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling*, Sage publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.

- Hamilton, K., Phipps, D.J., Schmidt, P., Bamberg, S. and Ajzen, I. (2024), "First test of the theory of reasoned goal pursuit: predicting physical activity", *Psychology & Health*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 24-41.
- Hanzaee, K.H. (2011), "Influence of family structure on consumer decision-making style in Iran", *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 6 No. 11, p. 297.
- Harb, A., Khliefat, A., Alzghoul, Y.A., Fowler, D., Sarhan, N. and Eyoum, K. (2024), "Cultural exploration as an antecedent of students' intention to attend university events: an extension of the theory of reasoned action", *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 72-94.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sinkovics, R.R. (2009), "The use of partial least squares path modelling in international marketing", *New Challenges to International Marketing*, Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley.
- Hu, L.T. and Bentler, P.M. (1999), "Cut-off criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives", *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 1-55.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística (2022), "Cifras oficiales de poblacion resultantes de la revision del padron a 1 de enero", available at: www.ine.es/jaxiT3/Tabla.htm?t=2882
- Inversini, A. (2024), "Human centered digital transformation in travel: a horizon 2050 paper", *Tourism Review*, doi: [10.1108/TR-12-2023-0886](https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-12-2023-0886).
- Kamaruddin, A.R. and Mokhlis, S. (2003), "Consumer socialization, social structural factors and decision-making styles: a case study of adolescents in Malaysia", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 145-156.
- Kamenidou, I., Vassilikopoulou, A. and Priporas, C.V. (2021), "New sheriff in town? Discovering Generation Z as tourists", *Generation Z Marketing and Management in Tourism and Hospitality: The Future of the Industry*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 121-140.
- Kang, J.Y.M., Johnson, K.K. and Wu, J. (2014), "Consumer style inventory and intent to social shop online for apparel using social networking sites", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 301-320.
- Kim, S. and Eastin, M.S. (2012), "Hedonic tendencies and the online consumer: an investigation of the online shopping process", *Journal of Internet Commerce*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 68-90.
- Kim, S., Jang, S., Choi, W., Youn, C. and Lee, Y. (2022), "Contactless service encounters among Millennials and Generation Z: the effects of Millennials and Gen Z characteristics on technology self-efficacy and preference for contactless service", *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 82-100.
- Kline, R.B. (2015), *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modelling*, 4th ed., Guildford Press, Guildford.
- Kock, N. (2015), "Common method bias in PLS-SEM: a full collinearity assessment approach", *International Journal of e-Collaboration*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 1-10.
- Lichy, J., Ryding, D., Rudawska, E. and Vignali, G. (2023), "Resale as sustainable social innovation: understanding shifts in consumer decision-making and shopping orientations for high-end secondhand clothing", *Social Enterprise Journal*.
- Lucas, M. and Koff, E. (2014), "The role of impulsivity and of self-perceived attractiveness in impulse buying in women", *Personality and Individual Differences*, Vol. 56, pp. 111-115.
- Lyonski, S. and Durvasula, S. (2013), "Consumer decision-making styles in retailing: evolution of mindsets and psychological impacts", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 75-87.
- Lyonski, S., Durvasula, S. and Zotos, Y. (1996), "Consumer decision-making styles: a multi-country investigation", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 30 No. 12, pp. 10-21.
- Ma, Y.J. and Hahn, K. (2023), "Cross-Cultural study of decision-making styles for contemporary young consumers", *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 22-36.
- MacKenzie, Y. and Podsakoff, P.M. (2012), "Common method bias in marketing: causes, mechanisms, and procedural remedies", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 88 No. 4, pp. 542-555, doi: [10.1016/j.jretai.2012.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2012.08.001).
- Mariani, M. and Borghi, M. (2023), "Exploring environmental concerns on digital platforms through big data: the effect of online consumers' environmental discourse on online review ratings", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 31 No. 11, pp. 2592-2611.

- Mellinas, J.P. and Martin-Fuentes, E. (2021), "Effects of booking. com's new scoring system", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 85, p. 104280.
- Michaelidou, N. and Hassan, L. (2014), "New advances in attitude and behavioural decision-making models", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 30 Nos 5/6, pp. 519-528.
- Monaco, S. (2018), "Tourism and the new generations: emerging trends and social implications in Italy", *Journal of Tourism Futures*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 7-15.
- Musika, F.A.A. (2018), "Integrating the green consumption dimension: consumer styles inventory scale development and validation: thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of doctor of philosophy", School of Communication Marketing and Journalism, Massey University Business School, Albany, New Zealand (Doctoral dissertation, Massey University).
- Nawaz, Z., Zhang, J., Mansoor, R., Ahmad, A. and Bangash, I.A. (2019), "Decision making styles of young Pakistani consumers: a study of consumer style inventory (CSI)", *International Journal of Information, Business and Management*, Vol. 11 No. 4, pp. 50-60.
- Nayeem, T. and Marie-IpSooching, J. (2022), "Revisiting Sproles and Kendall's consumer styles inventory (CSI) in the 21st century: a case of Australian consumers decision-making styles in the context of high and low-involvement purchases", *Journal of International Business Research and Marketing*, Vol. 7 No. 2, p. 7.
- Papanagiotou, P., Tzimitra-Kalogianni, I. and Melfou, K. (2013), "Consumers' expected quality and intention to purchase high quality pork meat", *Meat Science*, Vol. 93 No. 3, pp. 449-454.
- Park, S. and Gupta, S. (2012), "Handling endogenous regressors by joint estimation using copulas", *Marketing Science*, Vol. 31 No. 4, pp. 567-586.
- Pavlič, I. and Vukić, M. (2019), "Decision-making styles of Generation Z consumers in Croatia", *Ekonomika Misao i Praksa*, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 79-95.
- Pourfakhimi, S., Duncan, T. and Coetzee, W.J. (2020), "Electronic word of mouth in tourism and hospitality consumer behaviour: state of the art", *Tourism Review*, Vol. 75 No. 4, pp. 637-661.
- Pourjahanshahi, F., Mollahosseini, A. and Dehyadegari, S. (2023), "Website quality and users' intention to use digital libraries: examining users' attitudes, online cocreation experiences, and eWOM", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 74, p. 103393.
- Prakash, G., Singh, P.K. and Yadav, R. (2018), "Application of consumer style inventory (CSI) to predict young Indian consumers' intention to purchase organic food products", *Food Quality and Preference*, Vol. 68 No. 2018, pp. 90-97.
- PWC (2023), "Decision points: sharpening the prepurchase consumer experience", available at: www.pwc.com/gx/en/industries/consumer-markets/consumer-insights-survey.html
- Rajh, S.P. and Rajh, E. (2023), "Adapting consumer style inventory to cultural heritage site visiting context", *Poslovna izvrsnost – Business Excellence*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 39-56.
- Reio, T.G. (2010), "The threat of common method variance bias to theory building", *Human Resource Development Review*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 405-411.
- Rezaei, S. (2015), "Segmenting consumer decision-making styles (CDMS) toward marketing practice: a partial least squares (PLS) path modelling approach", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 22, pp. 1-15.
- Richter, N.F., Cepeda, G., Roldán, J.L. and Ringle, C.M. (2016), "European management research using partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM)", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 6, pp. 589-597, doi: [10.1016/j.emj.2016.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2016.08.001).
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Becker, J.M. (2015), "SmartPLS 3, SmartPLS GmbH, boenningstedt", *Journal of Service Science and Management*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 32-49.
- Rita, P., Ramos, R., Borges-Tiago, M.T. and Rodrigues, D. (2022), "Impact of the rating system on sentiment and tone of voice: a Booking.com and TripAdvisor comparison study", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 104, p. 103245.
- Rogers, K., Cosgrove, A. and Copestake, J. (2021), "The CEO imperative: make sustainability accessible to the consumer", available at: www.ey.com/en_au/consumer-products-retail/make-sustainability-accessible-to-the-consumer
- Roh, T., Yang, Y.S., Xiao, S. and Park, B.I. (2024), "What makes consumers trust and adopt fintech? An empirical investigation in China", *Electronic Commerce Research*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 3-35.

- Salifu, I., Arthur, F., Arkorful, V., Abam Nortey, S. and Solomon Osei-Yaw, R. (2024), "Economics students' behavioural intention and usage of ChatGPT in higher education: a hybrid structural equation modelling-artificial neural network approach", *Cogent Social Sciences*, Vol. 10 No. 1, p. 2300177.
- Sarkar, J.G., Sarkar, A. and Sreejesh, S. (2023), "Developing responsible consumption behaviours through social media platforms: sustainable brand practices as message cues", *Information Technology & People*, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 532-563.
- Sarstedt, M., Becker, J.-M., Ringle, C.M. and Schwaiger, M. (2011), "Uncovering and treating unobserved heterogeneity with FIMIX-PLS: which model selection criterion provides an appropriate number of segments?", *Schmalenbach Business Review*, Vol. 63 No. 1, pp. 34-62.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M., Cheah, J.H., Ting, H., Moisescu, O.I. and Radomir, L. (2020), "Structural model robustness checks in PLS-SEM", *Tourism Economics*, Vol. 26 No. 4, pp. 531-554.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2009), *Research Methods for Business Students*, Pearson education, London.
- Schroth, H. (2019), "Are you ready for gen Z in the workplace?", *California Management Review*, Vol. 61 No. 3, pp. 5-18.
- Seyfi, S., Hall, C.M. and Strzelecka, M. (Eds) (2023a), *Gen Z, Tourism, and Sustainable Consumption: The Most Sustainable Generation Ever?*, 1st ed., Routledge, Milton Park, doi: [10.4324/9781003289586](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003289586).
- Seyfi, S., Hall, C.M., Vo-Thanh, T. and Zaman, M. (2023b), "How does digital media engagement influence sustainability-driven political consumerism among Gen Z tourists?", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, pp. 1-19, doi: [10.1080/09669582.2022.2112588](https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2022.2112588).
- Shen, K. and Wu, Y. (2022), "The moderation of gender in the effects of Chinese traditionality and patriotism on Chinese domestic travel intention", *Tourism Review*, Vol. 77 No. 3, pp. 925-944.
- Singh, A.S. and Masuku, M.B. (2014), "Sampling techniques & determination of sample size in applied statistics research: an overview", *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, Vol. 2 No. 11, pp. 1-22.
- Spector, P.E. (2006), "Method variance in organizational research: truth or urban legend?", *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 221-232.
- Sproles, G.B. and Kendall, E.L. (1986), "A methodology for profiling consumers' decision-making styles", *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 267-279.
- Stillman, D. and Stillman, J. (2017), *Gen Z@ Work: How the Next Generation is Transforming the Workplace*, HarperCollins, New York, NY.
- Tarnanidis, T., Owusu-Frimpong, N., Nwankwo, S. and Omar, M. (2015), "A confirmatory factor analysis of consumer styles inventory: evidence from Greece", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 22, pp. 164-177.
- Taylor, D., Bury, M., Campling, N., Carter, S., Garfield, S., Newbould, J. and Rennie, T. (2006), *A Review of the Use of the Health Belief Model (HBM), the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) and the Trans-Theoretical Model (TTM) to Study and Predict Health Related Behaviour Change*, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London, pp. 1-215.
- Teeroovengadam, V. and Nunkoo, R. (2018), "Sampling design in tourism and hospitality research", *Handbook of Research Methods for Tourism and Hospitality Management*, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, pp. 477-488.
- Trudel, R. (2019), "Sustainable consumer behavior", *Consumer Psychology Review*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 85-96.
- Ulker-Demirel, E. and Ciftci, G. (2020), "A systematic literature review of the theory of planned behavior in tourism, leisure and hospitality management research", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, Vol. 43, pp. 209-219.
- Valentine, D.B. and Powers, T.L. (2013), "Generation Y values and lifestyle segments", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 30 No. 7, pp. 597-606.
- Vallespin, M., Molinillo, S. and Muñoz-Leiva, F. (2017), "Segmentation and explanation of smartphone use for travel planning based on socio-demographic and behavioral variables", *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 117 No. 3, pp. 605-619.

- Yzer, M. (2012), "Perceived behavioral control in reasoned action theory: a dual-aspect interpretation", *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 640 No. 1, pp. 101-117.
- Zaragoza-Sáez, P., Marco-Lajara, B. and Ubeda-Garcia, M. (2022), "Digital skills in tourism. A study from the next tourism generation (NTG) alliance", *Measuring Business Excellence*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 106-121.

Further reading

- Dorie, A. and Loranger, D. (2024), "Word on the street: apparel-related critical incidents leading to eWOM and channel behaviour among millennial and gen Z consumers", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 41 No. 2, pp. 148-161.
- ElHaffar, G., Durif, F. and Dubé, L. (2020), "Towards closing the attitude-intention-behavior gap in green consumption: a narrative review of the literature and an overview of future research directions", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 275, p. 122556.
- Kumar, S., Gupta, K., Kumar, A., Singh, A. and Singh, R.K. (2023), "Applying the theory of reasoned action to examine consumers' attitude and willingness to purchase organic foods", *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 118-135.
- Lu, J., Wu, D., Mao, M., Wang, W. and Zhang, G. (2015), "Recommender system application developments: a survey", *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 74, pp. 12-32, doi: [10.1016/j.dss.2015.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2015.03.008).
- Park, J. and Ha, S. (2012), "Understanding pro-environmental behavior: a comparison of sustainable consumers and apathetic consumers", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 40 No. 5, pp. 388-403.
- Ringle, C.M., Da Silva, D. and Bido, D.S. (2019), "Structural equation modeling with the SmartPLS", *Brazilian Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 18, pp. 1-56.
- Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M. and Straub, D.W. (2012), "Editor's comments: a critical look at the use of PLS-SEM in "MIS quarterly", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 3-14.
- Rivis, A. and Sheeran, P. (2003), "Descriptive norms as an additional predictor in the theory of planned behaviour: a meta-analysis", *Current Psychology*, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 218-233.
- Ryding, D., Henninger, C.E., Rudawska, E. and Vignali, G. (2020), "Extending the consumer style inventory to define consumer typologies for secondhand clothing consumption in Poland", *European Research Studies Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 410-433.
- Salo, J., Li, X. and Puumalainen, K. (2014), "Consumer decision-making styles and online apparel consumption", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 25-40.
- Sardar, A.H., Chen, L. and Zhang, Y. (2018), "Motivation for online reviews: opinion leaders and seekers in the hotel sector", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 1313-1330.
- Savolainen, M. and Shiu, Y. (2007), "Conceptions of the good life and consumer decision making", *Proceedings of the European Marketing Academy Conference (EMAC), Reykjavik, Iceland*.
- Shi, L., Xu, L. and Zhang, B. (2023), "The impact of destination attributes on tourists' perceived value: a study of Chinese outbound tourists", *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, Vol. 19, p. 100502.
- Siette, J. and Cassidy, R. (2016), "Customer participation in the online brand community", *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 98-114.
- Sivakumar, K. and Stanley, T. (2018), "Consumer identity and green consumption behaviour: a conceptual model", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 187, pp. 982-989.
- Spreng, R.A. and Mackoy, R.D. (1996), "An empirical examination of a model of resistance to change", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 149-158.
- Sproles, G.B. (1985), "From perfectionism to faddism: measuring consumers' decision-making styles", *In Advances in Consumer Research*, Vol. 12, pp. 78-82.
- Sproles, G.B. (1988), "Fashioning female consumers: the social construction of modernity", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 13 No. 5, pp. 525-553.
- Statista (2023), "Number of internet users worldwide", available at: www.statista.com/statistics/273550/number-of-internet-users-worldwide/

- Straub, D., Boudreau, M.C. and Gefen, D. (2004), "Validation guidelines for IS positivist research", *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 13 No. 24, pp. 380-427.
- Sun, M., Wu, H. and Zuo, W. (2014), "Determinants of customer satisfaction and loyalty in the Chinese hotel industry: a moderating role of hotel star-rating", *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol. 37, pp. 89-99.
- Sweeney, J.C. and Soutar, G.N. (2001), "Consumer perceived value: the development of a multiple item scale", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 77 No. 2, pp. 203-220.
- Tackx, K. (2014), "Changing attitudes through sustainability: the effect of green brand experience on brand satisfaction", *The Moderating Influence of product category involvement* (Master's thesis).
- Tajvidi, N., Richard, M.O. and Yunis, M. (2022), "Traveling green: the roles of destination personality, place attachment, and pro-environmental behavior", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 30 No. 10, pp. 1473-1494.
- Tan, C., Lu, H. and Wang, C. (2021), "To post or not to post: how online social networks motivate users' information-sharing", *Information & Management*, Vol. 58 No. 1, p. 103422.
- Taqi, A. and Brown, T. (2022), "When premium hotels meet Gen Z: understanding Gen Z's luxury hotel experiences", in Rodeschini, C., Brown, T. and Longoni, C. (Eds), *Handbook of Luxury Branding and Marketing*, Emerald Publishing, Bingley, pp. 177-194.
- Teeroovengadum, V. and Juwaheer, T.D. (2010), "An analysis of consumer profiles in the pre-purchase decision-making process", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 547-567.
- Tynan, C. and McKechnie, S. (2009), "Experience marketing: a review and reassessment", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 25 Nos 5/6, pp. 501-517.
- Vaccaro, A. (2017), "The crisis of impulsive democracy", *Annual Review of Anthropology*, Vol. 46, pp. 167-183.
- van Riel, A.C. and Fombrun, C.J. (2007), *Essentials of Corporate Communication: Implementing Practices for Effective Reputation Management*, Routledge, Milton Park.
- Vieira, V.A. and Goldsmith, R.E. (2015), "Identity and attachment motives: exploring their critical role in satisfaction and loyalty to a specific football team", *European Sport Management Quarterly*, Vol. 15 No. 2, pp. 167-185.
- Vivek, S.D., Beatty, S.E. and Morgan, R.M. (2012), "Customer engagement: exploring customer relationships beyond purchase", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 122-146.
- Wang, Y.D. and Emurian, H.H. (2005), "An overview of online trust: concepts, elements, and implications", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 105-125.
- Wang, D. and Li, X.R. (2018), "Consumer decision-making styles and their antecedents in China: a critical review", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 844-864.
- Weber, L. (2016), "Green product brand associations and consumer brand loyalty", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 58-72.
- Wood, W. (2000), "Attitude change: persuasion and social influence", *Annual Review of Psychology*, Vol. 51 No. 1, pp. 539-570.
- Wu, L. and Li, Y. (2018), "Exploring the factors affecting college students' intention to adopt internet banking", *Journal of Internet Commerce*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 1-18.
- Yan, D. and Liu, Y. (2020), "The effect of online and offline customer-company identification on consumption in online brand communities: a comparison between potential and actual members", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 107, pp. 164-177.
- Yasmin, S. and Mushtaq, R. (2019), "Online shopping behavior of Generation Z and Generation Y: a comparative study", *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, Vol. 13 No. 3.
- Yazdanparast, A., Joseph, M. and Luk, P. (2016), "Online customer engagement: an integrative review of the literature", *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 162-190.
- Yoo, B., Donthu, N. and Lee, S. (2000), "An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 195-211.
- Zeithaml, V.A., Parasuraman, A. and Berry, L.L. (1990), *Delivering Quality Service: Balancing Customer Perceptions and Expectations*, Simon and Schuster, New York, NY.

Author affiliations

Javier Perez-Aranda is based at the Economics and Business Management, University of Malaga, Malaga, Spain.

Denis Tolkach is based at the College of Business, Law and Governance, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia.

Jenny H. Panchal is based at the College of Business, Law and Governance, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia.

Appendix 1

Table A1 Measurement instrument

Constructs	Items	Measures
User characteristics adapted from Vallespín et al. (2017)	X0.0 Have you used a tourism review platform at least one time in the last year to book an accommodation for trip purposes X0.1: Age X0.2: Gender X0.3: Education X0.4: Job status X0.5: Family incomes X0.6 Previous experience on accommodation review platforms	Yes, no. If yes, continue answering this questionnaire Ranges: 18–25, 26–35, 36–45, 46–55, 56–65, 66 or more years old Male, female, not answered No formal education, primary school, secondary school, University-undergraduate degree, University-postgraduate degree Unemployed, remunerated internship, partial-time employed, full-time employed Less or equal to €30.000, between €30.001 and €60.000, between €60.001 and €90.000, equal or more than €90.001 Low, medium, high
Please rate the importance of the following reasons when you are seeking information on review sites (i.e. Booking or TripAdvisor) about an accommodation		
Use intention (UI) adapted from Chen et al. (2017)	UI1. The likelihood of using the eWOM would be higher UI2. The probability that I would consider using the eWOM would be higher UI3. My willingness to use the eWOM would be higher	
Use (U) adapted from Carranza et al. (2020)	U1. I feel able to use eWOM U2. I consider that using eWOM rely only on me U3. I have the skills and expertise to use eWOM	
Perfectionist attitude (PEA) adapted from Rezaei (2015)	PEA1. Considering the eWOM is important to me PEA2. I try to get the very best or perfect eWOM to me PEA3. Getting very good quality information on eWOM is important to me	
Novelty-fashion attitude (SUA) Adapted from Rezaei (2015)	FAA1. I usually check one or more new eWOM comments of accommodations FAA2. I am up-to-date with the changing eWOM in accommodation FAA3. Novelty, attractive content in eWOM is very important to me	Seven-point Likert scale (1 = "Strongly disagree"; 7 = "Strongly agree" or 1 = "Unlike me"; 7 = "Like me" or 1 = "Very infrequently" (0–1 times); 7 = "Very frequently" (6–7 times)
Sustainable attitude (SUA) adapted from Rezaei (2015)	SUA1. I carefully look for the eWOM regarding sustainable information SUA2. I usually check the eWOM regarding sustainable information SUA3. The eWOM about sustainability is very important to me	
Recreational attitude (REA) adapted from Rezaei (2015)	REA1. Using eWOM is a pleasant activity to me REA2. Using eWOM is an enjoyable activity to me REA3. I enjoy using the eWOM just for the fun of it	
Impulsiveness attitude (IMA) adapted from Rezaei (2015)	IMA1. I am more impulsive and I don't consider eWOM IMA2. Often I make careless use of eWOM I later wish I had not IMA3. I do not carefully check eWOM	

Appendix 2. Analysis of robustness of the model.

To explore potential nonlinearities, interaction terms representing the quadratic effects between (1) FAA, IMA, PEA, REA and SUA on SRUI and (2) SRUI on SRU were incorporated. As detailed in Table A2, the results from 5,000 sample bootstrapping, considering no sign changes, indicated that neither of the nonlinear effects was significant.

The evaluation of endogeneity was carried out using the Gaussian copula approach, following the methodology outlined by Park and Gupta (2012). As none of the Gaussian copula values for each construct were found to be statistically significant ($p > 0.05$), it can be confidently stated that endogeneity did not influence this study. This supports the structural model's robustness in this aspect (Sarstedt et al., 2020).

Regarding the assessment of unobserved heterogeneity, the finite mixture-PLS procedure was initially applied to divide the sample into four groups, following the guidelines and maximum segment determination methods described by Sarstedt et al. (2020). However, the results of the fit indices for one- to four-segment solutions proved inconclusive. According to Sarstedt et al. (2011), when modified Akaike's information criterion with factor 3 (AIC3) and consistent Akaike's information criterion (CAIC) align on the same number of segments, it indicates an appropriate model fit concerning the identified segments. In this study, because AIC3, CAIC and Bayesian information criterion all indicated different numbers of segments, the findings suggest that unobserved heterogeneity did not significantly impact this research.

Table A2 Assessment of nonlinear effects			
Effects	β coefficients	T statistics	p-Value
FAA*FAA $\rightarrow$ SRUI	0.043	0.788	0.431
IMA*IMA $\rightarrow$ SRUI	0.044	0.597	0.550
PEA*PEA $\rightarrow$ SRUI	-0.067	1.541	0.123
REA*REA $\rightarrow$ SRUI	0.045	0.599	0.549
SUA*SUA $\rightarrow$ SRUI	-0.018	0.335	0.738
SRUI*SRUI $\rightarrow$ SRU	-0.045	1.180	0.238

About the authors

Javier Perez-Aranda is an Associate Professor at the Faculty of Commercialization and Markets Research at Malaga University. He is a scientific researcher at Intelligence & Society Research Group; a research member of the Research Centre for Tourism, Sustainability and Well-being (CinTurs), Universidade do Algarve, Faro, Portugal; and a visiting scholar of College of Business, Law and Governance, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia. His key research interests lie in the interrelation of consumer behaviour with technologies, sustainable consumption, leisure and tourism. In these topics he has presented research papers at national and international conferences and published papers in national and international top-ranking peer-reviewed journals such as *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* or *Information Technology and Tourism*. He serves also as reviewer of top-ranked journals such as *Tourism Review*, *European Journal of Tourism Research*, *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, and *Journal Review of Managerial Science*. Javier Perez-Aranda is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: jpereza@uma.es

Denis Tolkach is an Associate Professor in Tourism and Hospitality Management at James Cook University, Cairns. He teaches various subjects in Bachelor of Tourism, Hospitality and Events and Masters of International Tourism & Hospitality Management. Tolkach has published his research in top tourism journals such as *Annals of Tourism Research*, *Tourism Management* and *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. He is an associate editor of *Tourism Geographies*. He is also a Guest Editor for "Monitoring and evaluating sustainable tourism" a curated collection of articles in *Annals of Tourism Research Empirical Insights*. Tolkach serves on the editorial boards of *Tourism Recreation Research*, *Tourism Review* and *International Journal of Tourism Research*. Tolkach also serves as a reviewer for various highly-ranked journals.

Jenny H. Panchal is currently a Senior Lecturer at the College of Business, Law & Governance at James Cook University (JCU), Australia, teaching at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels. In addition, she does consultancy work with travel agencies focused on health and wellness and resorts in India, Sri Lanka and the Maldives. Her research interests include tourist behaviour and the application of positive psychology in different specific forms of tourism, such as wellness tourism, slum tourism and tourism education, among others. She is mainly interested in Asian tourism, particularly in Southeast Asia. While in Australia, her involvement in the ASEAN Tourism Research Association (ASEAN) as Board Member and her connections with colleagues at various institutions across Southeast Asia are essential factors in keeping herself up-to-date with tourism development, challenges and opportunities across the ASEAN region. Further, Panchal's connections with Filipinos continue in Australia, where she is currently the President of the Filipino community in North Queensland.

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com