

Residents' satisfaction with sustainable tourism: the moderating role of environmental awareness

Ikram Ullah Khan

*Institute of Management Sciences, University of Science and Technology Bannu,
Bannu, Pakistan*

Safeer Ullah Khan

*Institute of Business Administration, Gomal University,
Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan, and*

Salman Khan

*Institute of Management Sciences, University of Science and Technology Bannu,
Bannu, Pakistan*

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to empirically evaluate the significant influence of four sustainability dimensions in predicting the residents' satisfaction with the development of sustainable tourism in the emerging tourism industry of Pakistan.

Keywords Resident satisfaction, Sustainable tourism, Environmental awareness, Prism of sustainability

Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

The last decades of the twentieth century witnessed a major focus on a wide range of environmental issues, including pollution and green-orientation, that helped people and countries think of a better and more sustainable world (Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2015b). As a major mainstay of the economy, the tourism sector is exceptionally vital to achieving sustainable goals, thereby empowering sustainable tourism to priorities many organizations and countries (Trupp and Dolezal, 2020). Sustainable development refers to “meeting the needs of existing generations unless compromising the capability of upcoming generations to meet their demands” (WCED, 1987). In the 21st century, as human society is rapidly evolving, sustainability (including sustainable tourism) is revamping the political and economic agenda in the context of environmental development (Chang *et al.*, 2020). The success and positive perception of sustainable tourism, a longed-for phenomenon, is under-researched in developing countries concerning counting its innumerable benefits for the



local residents lying on or living near the tourists' destinations. The local inhabitants perceive their future to be excelled with tourism, expecting more jobs, building service markets, government support and nourishing their rich and green environment through sustainable tourism. Reducing the costs of sustainable tourism and increasing the paybacks in the shape of environment up-gradation, economic and social development and local community satisfaction is the theme of sustainable tourism (Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2015b). Consequently, many researchers investigated tourist and operators' satisfaction, but being in a global cry for go-green initiatives, research on this topic still attracts researchers and practitioners' attention (Rasoolimanesh *et al.*, 2020), especially in developing country contexts. Pakistan is one of the best tourist countries, has tremendous opportunities for sustainable tourism growth. Conde Nast Traveler's US-based publication declared Pakistan the top attractive destination for travelers in 2020 (POOK 2020). In this regard, the Pakistan Tourism and Development Authority (PTDC) is keenly working to improve tourists' destinations with eco-friendly drives and guidelines. This study will light the way policymakers, PTDC and researchers know the local community's fundamental issues toward tourism and unearthing the primary factors of their satisfaction. This research aims to assess the effect of sustainability dimensions on resident's satisfaction with environmental awareness as a moderator in rural areas of Gilgit-Baltistan. The study adds not only to the tourism literature but also to sustainability-based studies that are a growing field of research and practice. Thus, the contributions of this research are twofold. First, conceptualizing and enhancing the understanding of sustainability dimensions' impact on the resident's satisfaction. Second, investigating the moderating effect of environmental awareness on the relationships between sustainability constructs and residents' satisfaction.


2. Literature review

2.1 Prism of sustainability and its indicators

The sustainable tourism model consists of the following dimensions: economic, socio-cultural and environmental dimensions (Spangenberg, 2002). The ability to apply the three classical-dimensional of sustainable tourism is hard to achieve without the context of institutions to accomplish, market and assist growth (Edén *et al.*, 2000; Spangenberg, 2002). Spangenberg (2002) theorized and incorporated dimensions of sustainable tourism development in a framework with clear links between these aspects. The demonstration and evaluation of sustainability and sustainable development should be based on established structures, such as sustainability prism. This framework is grounded on the definition of the Brundtland Report on Sustainable Development (Valentin and Spangenberg, 1999). To create more comprehensive models, the sustainability model has been developed in four interconnected dimensions:

- (1) environmental;
- (2) economic;
- (3) socio-culture; and
- (4) institutional (Figure 1).

Environmental sustainability indicates restrictions on natural capital and natural resources regarding both renewable and non-renewable resources. Economic sustainability encompasses human-made infrastructure, including many forms of support (for example, road and rail network and housing) and promoting human rights, occupation, and livings. Socio-cultural sustainability identifies human resources, such as consciousness, skill, information and attitude, and assimilate fundamental rights. Lastly, institutional



Notes: This research model was adopted from Spangenberg (2002). All the hypotheses have been proposed using relevant literature. Previous empirical research has demonstrated how the four dimensions figure how they positively affect the resident’s satisfaction. (S. P. Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2015b). Based on this, we argue that the four dimensions along with environmental awareness they could explain residents’ satisfaction with sustainable tourism in the context of Pakistan

Figure 1.
Prism of
sustainability

sustainability refers to the social capital, having public, state-owned enterprises, physical interactions, participatory planning processes, collaboration/associations and power relations (Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2015b). Figure 1, which provides the framework, has four dimensions that allow for the organization of sustainability indicators. It uses the three Ps, “people/profit/planet,” as a critical index but integrates an institutional aspect as a central dimension. The prism of sustainability allows the development of sustainability indicators and standards for residential planning and management (Cottrell and Cutumisu, 2006). Miller (2001) defines biophysical, social, managerial or other indicators as important factors to people in a particular situation. Miller and Twining-Ward (2005) nevertheless, standards quantify management Objectives and establish adequate standards of adequate effect variable limits. Many organizations have come up with indicators and benchmarks for the development of sustainable tourism. For example, The World Tourism Organization (WTO) has established eleven critical indicators for sustainable tourism, divided into four categories: ecological, social, economic and planning. These predictors lacked local focus and limited stakeholder involvement (Huayhuaca *et al.*, 2010). Also, the UN Commission on Sustainable Development launched in 1995 a five-year program to develop sustainability indicators. The indicators proposed were global in scope and concentrated exclusively on the environmental aspect of sustainability (Cottrell *et al.*, 2013). In addition to professional organizations, scholars have also developed sustainability indicators for specific areas. For

example, [Dymond \(1997\)](#) has developed sustainability indicators for New Zealand. [Twining-Ward and Butler \(2002\)](#) have concentrated on Samoa, and Yuan, James. ([Yuan et al., 2003](#)) worked in Chongming country, Shanghai, China. To sum up, these researches on the development of sustainability benchmarking confirmed the development of distinct display indicators for individual communities connected by a single framework ([Cottrell et al., 2013](#); [Huayhuaca et al., 2010](#)).

3. Hypotheses development

Some previously published studies have examined the effect of sustainability dimensions on residents' satisfaction in developing sustainable tourism. [Cottrell and Cutumisu \(2006\)](#) examined these associations in Hoge Veluwe National Park in The Netherlands. They found that all sustainability dimensions are essential indicators of resident satisfaction. In another research carried out by [Shen et al. \(2009\)](#) on agri-tourism in the village of Chongdugou, China, three dimensions of sustainability were identified as substantial predictors of residents' satisfaction. [Huayhuaca et al. \(2010\)](#) contextualized the model in Bulgaria in the Central Balkan National Park and confirmed that social and institutional dimensions greatly influenced locals' satisfaction. In the same line, [Cottrell et al. \(2013\)](#) also used the sustainability framework in the Perspective of the Frankenwald Nature Park, Germany, and found that the economic dimension was the most reliable indicator of residents' satisfaction. Additionally, it was accepted that all environmental initiatives should be integrated into the preparation and tracking of sustainable tourism growth. Finally, [Nair et al. \(2015b\)](#) also implemented a sustainability framework in India Jammu and Kashmir and investigated that all sustainability dimensions are the best predictor of residents' satisfaction. The present research extends sustainability models to evaluate sustainable tourism development in Gilgit-Baltistan. Previously published research such as ([Nair et al., 2015](#)). [Spangenberg \(2002\)](#) and Valentin and [Valentin and Spangenberg \(1999\)](#) advocate that all aspects of sustainable tourism can be generalized and affect residents' satisfaction with tourism in different ways. To explore the influence of sustainability dimensions on resident's satisfaction, the following hypotheses have been formulated:

- H1-H4.* The four dimensions of the prism of sustainability (Environmental, Economic, Socio-Cultural and Institutional Dimensions) positively influence the residents with sustainable tourism development in Pakistan.

3.1 Moderating effect environmental awareness

Tourist environmental awareness (EA) can be described as a tourist perspective on the value of environmental preservation for a better quality of life. EA seeks to recognize the role of the ecosystem and the approach to environmental protection efforts ([Hill et al., 2007](#); [Utomo and Kusumawati, 2018](#)). EA was recognized as hugely important by a significant number of researchers worldwide ([Mobley et al., 2010](#)). Research on tourism and environmental awareness has been undertaken. An environmental analysis by [Gao and Bai \(2014\)](#) disclosed that EA affects behavior intention. [Cummings et al. \(2017\)](#) reported that EA takes a critical view of environmental conservation for the better life of a person.

The level of environmental awareness of tourists differs ([Cummings et al., 2017](#)). Theoretical reasons that the environment's awareness will either improve or bolster the association between sustainability and satisfaction. [Mihalic \(2016\)](#) has clarified that tourism

is significant to EA preserving tourism destinations for the future. More recently, Kusumawati assessed the moderating effects of environmental awareness between sustainability on WOM intention and behavior intention and found their curvilinear relationship. This can be explained by the fact that tourists know the environment will favor and choose respectful, well-preserved destinations. Tourists with a strong degree of environmental awareness would be responsive, care about and appreciate protecting the ecosystem and tourism attractions.

Conversely, low-level environmentally friendly visitors do not appreciate the protection of the environment, so they are less concerned. Moreover, even the careless tourists about the environment can bring a negative impression on the destination, and they feel that they only live for a while so inclined to think that there is no need to describe the behaviour of the environment (Mihalic, 2016; Nicholas and Thapa, 2010). Tourists visiting tourist destinations can experience tourism destinations' sustainability to respond to interests and needs. When tourists value sustainability well, and their response to environmental awareness is high, there is interest in residents' satisfaction. Based on the above mentioned information, EA of tourism can influence sustainability through its realistic/logical connection between environmental awareness and sustainable dimensions. Thus, it provides a solid basis for the influential role of environmental awareness and must be studied separately to understand the moderate role it plays in sustainable tourism. Thus, the current study posits that:

H5-H7. Environmental awareness positively moderates the relationship between the sustainability dimensions and residents' satisfaction.

4. Methodology

4.1 Data collection and method

The research was mainly conducted in northern Pakistan (Gilgit-Baltistan), famous for its tourism and traveling (Figure 2). Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan's most exciting and beautiful region. The Himalayas, Karakorum, Hindukush and Pamirs cross here in four famous mountain regions. Gilgit-Baltistan as a paradise on earth has gained renewed interest for alpine, adventurous, hiking, exploring and fishing people from the most famous 'Trout Fish' (www.tourism.gov.pk/northern_areas.html). The survey included the 8 Gilgit-Baltistan districts (GB). The data was collected by a purposeful survey concerning the study. The survey was carried out at various periods of the day and over three months by distributing questionnaires to locals. The aim of the study was clarified to respondents before the questionnaires were distributed. Four researchers were also recruited to help with the data collection, and overall, 500 questionnaires were distributed containing 32 items among the participants and asked them to rate their responses. A total of 354 completed questionnaires was received and used for further analysis. This study explores how residents perceive tourism development in all identified places within the framework of sustainability.

Table 1 presents the demographic profile of residents. As shown in Table 1, males (59.88%) are greater than females (40.12%). Most of the respondents fell within the 24–29 years age group (31.7%). One-half of the sample has a high school degree (27.3%). In terms of income, 36.7% of respondents' monthly income is less than 14,000 PKR, 46.7% of the respondents' household income is between 15,000 and 27,000 PKR and the remaining 16.6% respondents' monthly income is over 28,000 PKR (Table 1).



Notes: This figure shows the area where the data supporting this study were data collected. The place name is Gilgit-Baltistan, northern Pakistan. Gilgit-Baltistan (GB) derives about 700,000 per years based on statistic published <https://www.geo.tv/>. Despite the pandemic, there was a surge in tourist visiting the place which according GB tourism minister says has helped the sector revive in Pakistan. Based on this we believe that this place is a good fit for conducting research on sustainable tourism

Figure 2.
Study area

4.2 Research instrument

The survey was adopted from previously published studies developed for resident satisfaction from tourism. Drawing from the prior research (S. Cottrell *et al.*, 2004; Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Sirakaya *et al.*, 2001; Yuxi and Linsheng, 2017), 22 items (environmental, economic, institutional, socio-cultural dimensions) was used to quantify the various dimensions of sustainability (see Appendix). The environmental awareness was measured using five questions, and the resident's satisfaction was measured with five items. All these 32 items were tested on a scale of seven-point Likert scale.

5. Results and analysis

The PLS-SEM was utilized to evaluate and validate the construct and assess the hypothesized model. PLS-SEM is an integrated modeling technique that enables researchers to determine the relationships among variables and the reliability and validity of any research framework (Hair *et al.*, 1998b). In the context of tourism literature, PLS-SEM has gained considerable research interest (Wu and Lai, 2021). Furthermore, PLS-SEM is a

TRC 3,1	Variables	Count	(%)
78	<i>Gender</i>		
	Male	212	59.88
	Female	142	40.12
	<i>Age (in years)</i>		
	18–23	95	26.7
	24–29	112	31.7
	30–35	52	15
	36–41	63	17.6
	>=42	32	9
	<i>Education level</i>		
	High School	97	27.3
	Undergraduate	124	35
	Graduate	79	22.3
	Doctorate	54	15.4
	<i>Monthly income</i>		
Less than 140000 Pkr	130	36.7	
15000–27000	165	46.7	
>=28000	59	16.6	

Table 1.
Demographics profile
of respondent

powerful technique that can anticipate a complex model without the need for distribution assumptions (Hair *et al.*, 2014). Given the advantages of PLS, the current study investigated the variables impact of sustainability dimensions on residents' satisfaction with sustainable tourism development employing PLS; these variables are deemed appropriate to assess the association in any structure model, particularly in the IS setting. We utilized the Smart-PLS 3 software in this research (Figure 3).

5.1 Common method bias

Although the questionnaire has been assembled using a self-reporting technique, common method bias may become a conundrum to the findings' validity. The present study uses the Harman single factor test (Podsakoff *et al.*, 2003). Statistically, if a single factor represents 40% or more, it might be common method bias (CMB) in the data set. In the present research, all the elements have been loaded and fixed on factor 1; the total number of explained variances results lower than 40%, 35.78%. Therefore, it is concluded that the current data are free of CMB and do not present any uncertainty on the empirical results.

5.2 Measurement model

Saunders *et al.* (2009) described validity as 'the degree to which methods of collecting data correctly determine what was to be measured.' The proposed model has been evaluated employing CFA (Hair *et al.*, 1998a). We measured the proposed model in terms of composite validity, average variance extracted and Cronbach's alpha. The PLS algorithm was performed to estimate the outer loads for each of the constructs. Table 2 highlights the results of composite validity, and Cronbach's alpha constructs' loadings has crossed the recommended threshold 0.7 (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1978b), and the AVE variance outperformed the 0.5 thresholds (Hair *et al.*, 2016, 2014). CFA results disclose that each item loading factor is more significant than 0.7. As shown in Table 2, CFA results meet the cut off value of CA, CR and AVE,




Figure 3. Model with results

which were more than 0.7, 0.7 and 0.5, correspondingly, indicating good convergent validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981; Hair *et al.*, 1998a, 1998b; Nunnally and Bernstein, 1978a).

Finally, the discriminant validity (DV) was established to determine whether the variable's measures differed from other variables. Following Gefen and Straub (2005), we have used two approaches to evaluate the discriminant's validity. As Fornell and Larcker (1981) endorsed, we calculated DV by associating the relationship amongst variables and AVE of all the constructs. Table 3 validates that the AVE square root for all constructs is overhead the correlation values and shows adequate validity. Second, we analyzed the items loading and cross-loading. The study found that the item's loading value was more significant than the cross-loading of other variables that displayed positive discriminant validity. The discriminant validity was considered to be acceptable for all constructs. Besides, to evaluate discriminant validity, the Heterotrait–Monotrait Ratio of Correlations (HTMT) was used (Henseler *et al.*, 2015). Thus, the result of HTMT in Table 3 simplifies the sufficient DV.

5.3 Structural model assessment

Smart-PLS. Three was engaged in calculating the path coefficient of the research model (Henseler *et al.*, 2009). We evaluated the structural model to measure the relationship between latent variables seen in Figure 2. The direct and indirect effects on the independent construct have been studied and offer practitioners potential outcomes, apropos and relationships between variables. The results are obtainable in Table 4. To estimate the significance level (Ringle *et al.*, 2015), we performed the bootstrap method with the resampling of 2,000 times, which gives the most desired results with zero change (Hair *et al.*, 2016). All hypotheses were tested, and significant findings are revealed. The result in Table 5 presenting that END positively influences the *resident's satisfaction* ($B = 0.63, p <$

Variables	Items	λ	Cronbach's alpha	CR	AVE
Environmental dimension (ED)	ED1.1	0.901	0.892	0.933	0.823
	ED1.2	0.920			
	ED1.3	0.900			
Economic dimension (ECD)	ECD2.1	0.775	0.924	0.938	0.653
	ECD2.2	0.760			
	ECD2.3	0.791			
	ECD2.4	0.826			
	ECD2.5	0.850			
	ECD2.6	0.858			
	ECD2.7	0.794			
	ECD2.8	0.805			
Social dimension (SD)	SD3.1	0.771	0.908	0.919	0.62
	SD3.2	0.757			
	SD3.3	0.695			
	SD3.4	0.875			
	SD3.5	0.808			
	SD3.6	0.838			
	SD3.7	0.752			
Institutional dimension (ID)	ID4.1	0.852	0.91	0.936	0.787
	ID4.2	0.903			
	ID4.3	0.913			
	ID4.4	0.878			
Environmental awareness (EA)	EA5.1	0.859	0.876	0.876	0.588
	EA5.2	0.717			
	EA5.3	0.755			
	EA5.4	0.747			
	EA5.5	0.747			
Residents' satisfaction (RS)	RS6.1	0.721	0.904	0.93	0.728
	RS6.2	0.897			
	RS6.3	0.884			
	RS6.4	0.893			
	RS6.5	0.857			

Table 2.
Results for
measurement model

Notes: AVE = Average Variance Extracted, CR = Composite Reliability, λ = Factor Loading

Variables	AVE	C.A	ECD	END	ENA	ID	SCD	RS
ECD	0.653	0.924	0.808					
END	0.823	0.892	0.233	0.907				
ENA	0.588	0.876	0.636	0.171	0.767			
ID	0.787	0.91	0.139	0.308	0.175	0.887		
SCD	0.62	0.908	-0.046	-0.048	0.049	0.22	0.787	
RS	0.728	0.904	0.293	0.709	0.194	0.334	-0.114	0.853

Table 3.
Discriminant validity

Notes: END (Environmental dimension), ECD (Economic Dimension), SCD (Social-Cultural Dimension), ID (Intuitional Dimension), ENA (Environmental Awareness) RS (Residents' satisfaction)

0.001), ECD \rightarrow resident satisfaction ($\beta = 0.132, p < 0.001$), SCD \rightarrow resident satisfaction ($\beta = 0.11, p < 0.001$), ID \rightarrow resident satisfaction ($\beta = 0.149, p < 0.001$). These results indicate that *H1, H3* and *H4* hold for positively influences the *resident satisfaction*, demonstrating that all sustainability dimensions positively influence residents' satisfaction. The model explains

0.54% of the intention of resident satisfaction with tourism. Regarding the model validity, Chin *et al.* (2008) categorize the dependent variables as considerable, moderate or poor depending on an *R* square of 0.67, 0.33 or 0.19. Consequently, resident's satisfaction ($R^2=0.54$) can be considered moderate.

Having obtained a satisfactory measurement model, we took the next analytical step of testing the moderating effect of environmental awareness on sustainability dimensions, e.g. (environmental, economic and cultural), on the residents' satisfaction. The results indicate that the interaction terms (environmental dimension \times EA) ($\beta = 0.024, p < 0.05$), (economic dimension \times EA) ($\beta = 0.022, p < 0.05$), (cultural dimension \times EA) ($\beta = 0.047, p < 0.05$) find the positive impact on residents' satisfaction intention so the results confirm *H5, H6* and *H7* is accepted. The results designate that EA significantly moderate the impact of environmental, cultural and economic dimensions on resident's satisfaction intention.

6. Discussion

Sustainability and sustainable development of tourism are being more important for all investors on a daily basis (i.e. business, tourists and host societies) for the tourist industry's expansion (Nair *et al.*, 2015a). This research investigates the measurement models for all dimensions of sustainability on residents' satisfaction with the moderating effect of environmental awareness). While many previous studies have directed on the tourism business and customer satisfaction, a small number of scholars have an emphasis on the satisfaction of local communities in sustainable tourism development (Cottrell *et al.*, 2013; Nair *et al.*, 2015a). Given the importance of local community satisfaction in assessing sustainable tourism development, the present study investigated the impact of a sustainable dimension on foreseeing residents' satisfaction with sustainable tourism development. The survey was conducted in Gilgit-Baltistan, and data were collected from 354 local participants. The results established that the measurement model for the sustainability dimension and residents' satisfaction. Besides, the findings also showed that the whole dimension of sustainability predicted residents' satisfaction significantly.

Constructs	Path coefficient	Standard error	t-value	p-value	Description	Status
<i>H1</i> . END \rightarrow R. Satisfaction	0.63	0.038	16.571	$p < 0.001^{***}$	Significant	Supported
<i>H2</i> . ECD \rightarrow R. Satisfaction	0.132	0.057	2.321	$p < 0.001^{***}$	Significant	Supported
<i>H3</i> . SCD \rightarrow R. Satisfaction	0.11	0.057	1.934	$p < 0.001^{***}$	Significant	Supported
<i>H4</i> . ID \rightarrow R. Satisfaction	0.149	0.041	3.671	$p < 0.001^{***}$	Significant	Supported

Notes: N = 354; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. END (Environmental dimension), ECD (Economic Dimension), SCD (Social-Cultural Dimension), ID (Intuitional Dimension)

Table 4.
Hypotheses test results

Economic dimension	ED	EA	ID	SD	RS
Environmental dimension	0.249				
Environmental awareness	0.602	0.124			
Institutional dimension	0.15	0.342	0.225		
Social dimension	0.079	0.056	0.071	0.264	
Residents satisfaction	0.311	0.786	0.138	0.367	0.102

Table 5.
HTMT

In line with previous results, this study highlights the critical and decisive role of the prism of sustainability on the satisfaction of residents. In the proposed model, environmental, economic, institutional and socio-cultural dimensions act as antecedents of residents toward satisfaction. Also, environmental awareness significantly moderates between the relationship between environmental, economic, cultural and resident satisfaction. In this respect, as would be expected with a hypothesis related to the direct impact of environmental, economic, cultural and institutional dimensions, the results show that the direct relationship between environmental, economic, cultural, institutional and residents' satisfaction is significant. The study findings are also with the line of previous research of [Cottrell et al. \(2013\)](#), [Huayhuaca et al. \(2010\)](#) and [Nair et al. \(2015a\)](#) and support the prism of sustainability as a framework for assessing and investigating the sustainable tourism development ([Valentin and Spangenberg, 1999](#)). The study conducted in Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan, showed that all dimensions of sustainability were essential predictors.

In contrast, previous studies showed only two or three. Also, the importance of each dimension varied across studies. The present research results advocate for using all aspects of prospective research conducted via the prism of sustainability. The secret to the sustainable growth of tourism in natural regions is resident satisfaction. As a result, classification and sampling following criteria and factors are critical for community satisfaction. The prism of sustainability is introduced in this perspective; it is a valuable management mechanism that offers a comprehensive basis for enhancing sustainability through the use of related metrics and criteria. The study's findings indicate that indicators and metrics for sustainable tourism destinations should be developed, reflecting the dimensions of sustainability. This is the least often used approach to initiating, evaluating, and tracking sustainable development. In addition, the local community of Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan, has a positive awareness of tourism development, which stands to benefit the whole society significantly.

6.1 Conclusion and implication

Overall, the research demonstrates unequivocally that people have mixed feelings about the impact of sustainable tourism on their communities. Regarding the moderating effect of environmental awareness, the study results indicate that caring tourists about the environment can positively affect the destination and feel that they have only lived for a while, so prone they think there is no need to describe the environment's behavior. Correspondingly, it is observed that environmental awareness about tourism positively moderates the relationship.

The study's findings are from comparatively less explored developing tourism sites in Pakistan, which offers numerous theoretical and practical implications. The fact that residents have both a favorable and adverse attitude toward tourism demonstrates the critical nature of sustainability. The area residents are aware of the benefits of tourism and can measure and confirm their satisfaction in general. Considering the findings of previous studies and their nature, it can be established that the four dimensions of sustainability have a significant impact on resident's satisfaction with tourism compared to other less studied areas ([Nair et al., 2015a](#); [Utomo and Kusumawati, 2018](#)). Furthermore, the effect on the people of the multiple dimensions of tourism differs according to the circumstance. The results of this study offer management implications and practical policy. Consistent with earlier research work results that indicate the impacts of residents' perceptions of the various dimensions of sustainable tourism have different strengths on their satisfaction ([Ngowi and Jani, 2018](#)). This is important for policymakers and the tourism planner to identify sustainable tourism dimensions that residents identify in their tourism plans and

strategies. Also, tourism's effect on residents' satisfaction with tourism must be examined, and policies must be implemented to increase residents' satisfaction and tourism support.

6.2 Opportunities for further research

Regardless of the study's significance, the current study is not free of shortcomings and drawbacks. Although this study's results have highlighted many important issues, future work limitations need to be considered. The current research was limited to Gilgit-Baltistan due to time and financial constraints, and only a questionnaire has been used to gather data. It can be spread via social media, emails; the findings will be more stringent if the study is conducted with a large sample. An important suggestion is that future studies could also explore the associations proposed in this study with other sustainable and protected tourist areas in Pakistan and other developing countries with the same conditions. Continuing with all the four dimensions using the prism of sustainability, it might be interesting to assess why the four predictors' impact on population satisfaction varies across countries. One significant point is whether these inconsistencies are the proper technique (for example, the reversion into various dialects of the research units) or the distinctive sense of the four dimensions, dependent on their specific characteristics of all cultures. This transition trend may allow tourism planners in various countries to determine sustainability dimensions in their unique circumstances. Finally, the present study could be extended to other developing as well as underdeveloped countries.

Some recommendations for government and other stakeholders: sustainability dimensions have a significant impact on residents' satisfaction. Derived from the research findings, as Gilgit-Baltistan is exceptional in terms of protecting natural scenery, nature and economic benefits, tourism managers can leverage sustainable tourism as an advantage in marketing strategies.

References

- Chang, C.-L. McAleer, M. and Ramos, V. (2020), "A charter for sustainable tourism after COVID-19: multidisciplinary digital publishing institute", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12, p. 3671.
- Chin, W.W., Peterson, R.A. and Brown, S.P. (2008), "Structural equation modeling in marketing: some practical reminders", *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 287-298.
- Cottrell, S.P. and Cutumisu, N. (2006), "Sustainable tourism development strategy in WWF pan parks: case of a Swedish and Romanian national park", *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 150-167.
- Cottrell, S.P., Vaske, J.J. and Roemer, J.M. (2013), "Resident satisfaction with sustainable tourism: the case of Frankenwald Nature Park, Germany", *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 8, pp. 42-48.
- Cottrell, S., Van der Duim, R., Ankersmid, P. and Kelder, L. (2004), "Measuring the sustainability of tourism in Manuel Antonio and Texel: a tourist perspective", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 409-431.
- Cummings, J., Lee, G., Mortsdorf, T., Ritter, A. and Zhong, K. (2017), "Alzheimer's disease drug development pipeline: 2017", *Alzheimer's and Dementia: Translational Research and Clinical Interventions*, Vol. 3 No. 3, pp. 367-384.
- Dymond, S.J. (1997), "Indicators of sustainable tourism in New Zealand: a local government perspective", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 5 No. 4, pp. 279-293.
- Edén, M., Falkheden, L. and Malbert, B. (2000), "The built environment and sustainable development: research meets practice in a Scandinavian context", *Planning Theory and Practice*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 260-272.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50.

- Gao, L. and Bai, X. (2014), "A unified perspective on the factors influencing consumer acceptance of internet of things technology", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 26 No. 2.
- Gefen, D. and Straub, D. (2005), "A practical guide to factorial validity using PLS-Graph: tutorial and annotated example", *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 16 No. 1, p. 5.
- Hair, J., Anderson, R., Tatham, R. and Black, W. (1998a), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Prentice-Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hair, J.F., Jr, Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Sage publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hair, J.F., Jr, Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L. and Kuppelwieser, V.G. (2014), "Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): an emerging tool in business research", *European Business Review*.
- Hair, J.F., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E. and Tatham, R.L. (1998b), *Multivariate Data Analysis*, (Vol. 5), Prentice hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2015), "A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 115-135.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sinkovics, R.R. (2009), *The Use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing New Challenges to International Marketing*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, UK, pp. 277-319.
- Hill, J., Woodland, W. and Gough, G. (2007), "Can visitor satisfaction and knowledge about tropical rainforests be enhanced through biodiversity interpretation, and does this promote a positive attitude towards ecosystem conservation?", *Journal of Ecotourism*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 75-85.
- Huayhuaca, C.A., Cottrell, S., Raadik, J. and Gradl, S. (2010), "Resident perceptions of sustainable tourism development: Frankenwald Nature Park, Germany", *International Journal of Tourism Policy*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 125-141.
- Kusumawati, A., Utomo, H.S., Suharyono, S. and Sunarti, S. (2020), "Effects of sustainability on WoM intention and revisit intention, with environmental awareness as a moderator", *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, Vol. 31 No. 1.
- Maichum, K., Parichatnon, S. and Peng, K.-C. (2016), "Application of the extended theory of planned behavior model to investigate purchase intention of green products among Thai consumers", *Sustainability*, Vol. 8 No. 10, p. 1077.
- Mihalic, T. (2016), "Sustainable-responsible tourism discourse – towards 'responsustable' tourism", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 111, pp. 461-470.
- Miller, G. (2001), "The development of indicators for sustainable tourism: results of a Delphi survey of tourism researchers", *Tourism Management*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 351-362.
- Miller, G. and Twining-Ward, L. (2005), *Monitoring for a Sustainable Tourism Transition: The Challenge of Developing and Using Indicators*, CABI Pub, UK.
- Mobley, C., Vagias, W.M. and DeWard, S.L. (2010), "Exploring additional determinants of environmentally responsible behavior: the influence of environmental literature and environmental attitudes", *Environment and Behavior*, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 420-447.
- Nair, V., Hussain, K., Ali, F., Ragavan, N.A. and Manhas, P.S. (2015a), "Sustainable tourism and resulting resident satisfaction at Jammu and Kashmir, India", *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, Vol. 7 No. 5.
- Nair, V., Hussain, K., Chiun, L.M., Ragavan, M.N.A., Ali, F., Ragavan, N.A. and Manhas, P.S. (2015b), "Sustainable tourism and resulting resident satisfaction at Jammu and Kashmir, India", *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, Vol. 7 No. 5.
- Ngowi, R.E. and Jani, D. (2018), "Residents' perception of tourism and their satisfaction: evidence from Mount Kilimanjaro", *Development Southern Africa*, Vol. 35 No. 6, pp. 731-742.

- Nicholas, L. and Thapa, B. (2010), "Visitor perspectives on sustainable tourism development in the pitons management area world heritage site", *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 839-857.
- Nunnally, J. and Bernstein, I. (1978a), "Psychometric theory McGraw-Hill New York google scholar".
- Nunnally, J.C. and Bernstein, I. (1978b), "Psychometric theory McGraw-Hill New York, NY", *The Role of University in the Development of Entrepreneurial Vocations: A Spanish Study*.
- Ooi, K.-B. and Tan, G.W.-H. (2016), "Mobile technology acceptance model: an investigation using mobile users to explore smartphone credit card", *Expert Systems with Applications*, Vol. 59, pp. 33-46.
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.-Y. and Podsakoff, N.P. (2003), "Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 88 No. 5, p. 879.
- Rasoolimanesh, S.M., Ramakrishna, S., Hall, C.M., Esfandiar, K. and Seyfi, S. (2020), "A systematic scoping review of sustainable tourism indicators in relation to the sustainable development goals", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, pp. 1-21.
- Ringle, C.M., Wende, S. and Becker, J.-M. (2015), *SmartPLS 3*, SmartPLS GmbH, Boenningstedt.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2009), *Research Methods for Business Students*, Pearson education, New York, NY.
- Shen, F., Cottrell, S.P., Hughey, K.F. and Morrison, K. (2009), "Agritourism sustainability in rural Mountain areas of China: a community perspective", *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 123-145.
- Sirakaya, E., Jamal, T.B. and Choi, H.-S. (2001), "Developing indicators for destination sustainability", *The Encyclopedia of Ecotourism*, pp. 411-432.
- Spangenberg, J.H. (2002), "Environmental space and the prism of sustainability: frameworks for indicators measuring sustainable development", *Ecological Indicators*, Vol. 2 No. 3, pp. 295-309.
- Trupp, A. and Dolezal, C. (2020), "Tourism and the sustainable development goals in Southeast Asia", *Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies*, Vol. 13 No. 1, pp. 1-16.
- Twining-Ward, L. and Butler, R. (2002), "Implementing STD on a small island: Development and use of sustainable tourism development indicators in Samoa", *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 10 No. 5, pp. 363-387.
- Utomo, H. and Kusumawati, A. (2018), "Y generation participation in recommending sustainable tourism: a study of Asian travelers in Bali island", *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, Vol. 12 No. 84, pp. 167-178.
- Valentin, A. and Spangenberg, J.H. (1999), "Indicators for sustainable communities", Paper presented at the International Workshop: Assessment Methodologies for Urban Infrastructure, Stockholm.
- Wced, S.W.S. (1987), "World commission on environment and development", *Our Common Future*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 1-91.
- Wu, X. and Lai, I.K.W. (2021), "The acceptance of augmented reality tour app for promoting film-induced tourism: the effect of celebrity involvement and personal innovativeness", *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, Vol. 12 No. 3.
- Yuan, W., James, P., Hodgson, K., Hutchinson, S. and Shi, C. (2003), "Development of sustainability indicators by communities in China: a case study of Chongming county, Shanghai", *Journal of Environmental Management*, Vol. 68 No. 3, pp. 253-261.
- Yuxi, Z. and Linsheng, Z. (2017), "Impact of tourist environmental awareness on environmental friendly behaviors: a case study from Qinghai lake", *Journal of Resources and Ecology*, Vol. 8 No. 5, pp. 502-513.

Constructs	Measurement item	Source
Environmental dimension	ED1: Because of this site, residents' awareness of environmental protection has improved ED2: Tourism at this site is developed in harmony with the environment ED3: Tourism at this site is directed into areas with suitable facilities	(Ooi and Tan, 2016)
Economic dimension	ED1: Tourism to this site brings new income to local communities ED2: Tourism to this site diversifies the local economy ED3: Tourism to this site creates job opportunities for local people ED4: Products should be more available because of tourism at this site ED5: Tourism businesses should hire at least one-half of their employees from the local community ED6: Tourism to this site creates new markets for local products ED7: Tourism to this site is a strong economic contributor ED8: Tourism creates more jobs for women in this site	(Cottrell <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Sirakaya <i>et al.</i> , 2001)
Socio-cultural dimension	SD1: More people visit here because of this site SD2: Tourism to this site positively influences cultural values SD3: Local traditions become more important because of tourism SD4: Visitors to this site are encouraged to learn about local cultures SD5: Tourism to this site supports maintenance of local community SD6: Tourism promotes restoration of these sites SD7: Residents and tourists participate in the same activities provided by this site	(Cottrell <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Institutional dimension	ID1: Tour guides at this site are well trained ID2: Tourism facilities are developed in cooperation with local businesses ID3: Tourism services are developed in cooperation with local businesses ID4: Information distributed by the park accurately reflects the history of this site	(Cottrell <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Environmental awareness	EA1: I am very concerned about the state of the world's environment EA2: I am willing to reduce my consumption to help protect the environment EA3: Pro-environmental	(Kusumawati <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Maichum <i>et al.</i> , 2016)

Table A1.
Questionnaire

(continued)

Constructs	Measurement item	Source	Role of environmental awareness
Residents satisfaction	EA:4 Respecting attempts of environmental conservation	(Cottrell <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	87
	EA5: Major social changes are necessary to protect the natural environment		
	RS1: I can influence tourism development at this site		
	RS2: Tourism in this site benefits me		
	RS3: It is important to have sustainable tourism in this site		
	RS4: The attractiveness of the area has been improved because of tourism		
	RS5: My quality of life has improved because of tourism		

Table A1.

Corresponding author

Salman Khan can be contacted at: salman_pide@outlook.com

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com